ORDER SUMMARY – Case Number: C-19-2754

Respondent Names:	West Coast Funding, Inc.	
- -	Henry S. Chu	
Order Number:	C-19-2754-22-FO03	
Effective Date:	9/23/2022	
NMLS Number:	West Coast Funding, Inc. – 227255; Henry S. Chu – 133293	
License Effect:	The Department has revoked the Mortgage Broker license of West Coast Funding, Inc., and the Mortgage Loan Officer license of Mr. Chu.	

Prohibition Until:

Investigation Costs	\$	Due:	Paid: Y N	Date:
Fine	\$5,000	Due: Within 30	Paid: Y N	Date:
		days of receipt of		
		Final Order.		
Cost of Prosecution	\$	Due:	Paid: Y N	Date:

1 2	STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES			
3	IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING No.: C-19-2754-22-FO03 Whether there has been a violation of the			
4	Mortgage Broker Practices Act of Washington by:			
5	WEST COAST FUNDING, INC., d/b/a WestFINAL ORDER LIFTING STAYS ANDCoast Financial, NMLS No. 227255, andIMPOSING STAYED SANCTIONS			
6	HENRY S. CHU, President and Designated Broker, NMLS No. 133293,			
7	Respondents.			
8				
9	Language Access Notice			
10	English This document has important information about your hearing. If you do not appear or take			
11	other action, you could lose important rights. Please call 1-877-746-4334 if you need help understanding this document.			
12	Chinese Simplified 本文件包含有关您听证会的重要信息。如果您没有出席或采取其他措施,您可能会失去重要权			
13	利。如果您在理解本文件方面需要帮助,请致电 1-877-746-4334			
14 15	Chinese Traditional 本檔案包含有關您聽證會的重要資訊。如果您沒有出席或採取其他措施,您可能會失去重要權利。如果您在理解本檔案方面需要幫助,請致電 1-877-746-4334			
16				
17	I. <u>DIRECTOR'S CONSIDERATION</u>			
18	A. <u>Default</u> . This matter has come before the Director of the Department of Financial			
	Institutions of the State of Washington (Director), through his designee, Consumer Services Division			
19	Director Lucinda Fazio (Director's designee), pursuant to RCW 34.05.440(1). On February 25, 2022,			
20	the Director, through the Director's designee, entered into Consent Order No. C-19-2754-22-CO02			
21	(the "Consent Order") with West Coast Funding, Inc. (Respondent West Coast) and Henry S. Chu			
22	(Respondent Chu). A copy of the Consent Order is attached and incorporated into this order by this			
23	reference. The Consent Order revoked Respondent West Coast's mortgage broker license, revoked			
24	FINAL ORDER1DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONSC-19-2754-22-FO03Division of Consumer ServicesWEST COAST FUNDING, INC. and HENRY S. CHU150 Israel Rd SWPO Box 41200			

1 Respondent Chu's mortgage loan originator license, and imposed a fine. The revocations and \$5,000 2 of the fine were stayed contingent on Respondents' compliance with the Consent Order. Pursuant to 3 the terms of the Consent Order, Respondent West Coast and Respondent Chu were required, among 4 other things, to: (1) within three months of entry of the Consent Order, ensure that Respondent Chu 5 voluntarily surrendered his Designated Broker registration; (2) ensure that Respondent Chu did not 6 act as the Designated Broker for Respondent West Coast; and (3) within three months of entry of the 7 Consent Order, replace Respondent Chu as Respondent West Coast's Designated Broker with a qualified Designated Broker. Since entry of the Consent Order on February 25, 2022, Respondents 8 9 have not completed the three requirements listed above.

10 On June 29, 2022, and on August 11, 2022, the Department served Respondents with a Notice of Noncompliance with Consent Order and Opportunity to Contest (Notice of Noncompliance). The 11 12 Notice of Noncompliance included a cover letter, Notice of Noncompliance, and an Application for 13 Adjudicative Hearing for each Respondent (collectively, "accompanying documents"). On June 29, 14 2022, the Department served the Notice of Noncompliance and accompanying documents by First-15 Class mail and Federal Express overnight delivery to the address of record for Respondents in the 16 Nationwide Multistate Licensing System & Registry. The documents sent to this address by First-17 Class mail were returned to the Department by the United States Postal Service. The documents sent by Federal Express overnight delivery were delivered on July 8, 2022. On August 11, 2022, the 18 19 Department served the Notice of Noncompliance and accompanying documents by First-Class mail 20 to the home address for Respondent Chu. The documents sent by First-Class mail were not returned 21 to the Department as undeliverable by the United States Postal Service.

Respondents did not request an adjudicative hearing within twenty calendar days after the
 Department served the Notice of Noncompliance and accompanying documents, as provided for in

2

WAC 208-08-050(2).

2	B. <u>Record Presented</u> . The record presented to the Director's designee for his review and			
3	for entry of a final decision included the following: Consent Order, Notice of Noncompliance, cover			
4	letter dated June 29, 2022, and blank Application for Adjudicative Hearing for each Respondent, with			
5	documentation for service.			
6	C. <u>Factual Findings and Grounds for Order</u> . Pursuant to RCW 34.05.440(1), the			
7	Director's designee hereby adopts the Notice of Noncompliance, which is attached hereto.			
8	II. <u>FINAL ORDER</u>			
9	Based upon the foregoing, and the Director's designee having considered the record and being			
10	otherwise fully advised, NOW, THEREFORE:			
11	A. <u>IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, That:</u>			
12	1. The stay of the revocation of Respondent West Coast's mortgage broker license is			
13	lifted, and Respondent West Coast's license to conduct the business of a mortgage broker is revoked.			
14 15	2. The stay of the revocation of Respondent Chu's mortgage loan originator license is lifted, and Respondent Chu's license to conduct the business of a mortgage loan originator is revoked.			
16	 The stay of the \$5,000 portion of the fine is lifted, and Respondents shall pay a fine in the amount of \$5,000 to the Department. 			
17	B. <u>Reconsideration</u> . Pursuant to RCW 34.05.470, Respondents have the right to file a			
18	Petition for Reconsideration stating the specific grounds upon which relief is requested. The Petition			
19 20	must be filed in the Office of the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions by courier at			
20	150 Israel Road SW, Tumwater, Washington 98501, or by U.S. Mail at P.O. Box 41200, Olympia,			
21	Washington 98504-1200, within 10 days of service of the Final Order upon Respondents. The			
22	Petition for Reconsideration shall not stay the effectiveness of this order nor is a Petition for			
23	Reconsideration a prerequisite for seeking judicial review in this matter.			
24	FINAL ORDER 3 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS C-19-2754-22-F003 Division of Consumer Services WEST COAST FUNDING, INC. and HENRY S. CHU 150 Israel Rd SW PO Box 41200			

A timely Petition for Reconsideration is deemed denied if, within twenty (20) days from the date the petition is filed, the agency does not (a) dispose of the petition or (b) serve the parties with a written notice specifying the date by which it will act on a petition.

C. <u>Stay of Order</u>. The Director's designee has determined not to consider a Petition to Stay the effectiveness of this order. Any such requests should be made in connection with a Petition for Judicial Review made under chapter 34.05 RCW and RCW 34.05.550.

D. <u>Judicial Review</u>. Respondents have the right to petition the superior court for judicial review of this agency action under the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW. For the requirements for filing a Petition for Judicial Review, see RCW 34.05.510 and sections following. You must file your Petition for Judicial Review and serve it on the Department within 30 days of the date of mailing.

E. <u>Non-compliance with Order</u>. If you do not comply with the terms of this order,
including payment of any amounts owed within 30 days of receipt of this order, the Department
may seek its enforcement by the Office of the Attorney General to include the collection of the fines,
imposed herein. The Department also may assign the amounts owed to a collection agency for
collection.

F. <u>Service</u>. For purposes of filing a Petition for Reconsideration or a Petition for Judicial Review, service is effective upon deposit of this order in the U.S. mail, declaration of service attached hereto.

DATED this 23rd day of September , 2022.



STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

/s/

4

LUCINDA FAZIO, Director Division of Consumer Services

FINAL ORDER C-19-2754-22-FO03 WEST COAST FUNDING, INC. and HENRY S. CHU

ORDER SUMMARY – Case Number: C-19-2754

Name(s):	West Coast Funding Inc. and Mr. Henry S. Chu, President	
Order Number:	C-19-2754-21-CO02	
Effective Date:	2/25/2022	
NMLS Number:	West Coast Funding Inc. – 227255	
	Henry S. Chu – 133293	
License Effect: (Stayed)	West Coasts' MB license revocation stayed for eighteen (18) months and Mr. Chu's MLO license revocation stayed for two (2) years	
	contingent upon compliance with the Consent Order (Order), the	
	Mortgage Broker Practices Act (Act), and the administrative rules	
	related to the Act (Rules).	
Designated Broker	Mr. Chu to surrender his Designated Broker (DB) registration within three (3) months and shall not act as the DB for Respondent West Coast for as long as it is licensed by the Department. Prior to the surrender of Mr. Chu's DB registration, and within three (3) months of entry of this Order, West Coast shall replace Mr. Chu as DB with a qualified (i.e., registered with NMLS and the Department) DB.	
Prohibition:	Mr. Chu is prohibited from participating as a DB in the conduct of the affairs of any mortgage broker licensed by or subject to licensure or regulation by the Department for a period of ten (10) years from the date of surrendering his DB registration.	
Fine: (Partially Stayed)	Respondents West Coast and Mr. Chu are subject to a \$10,000 fine, with \$5,000 stayed for two (2) years contingent upon compliance with the Order, Act, and Rules.	
Investigation Costs:	\$5,000	

In addition to the sanctions identified above, Respondents were ordered and agreed to:

- To be subject to a full-scope compliance examination between 12 and 15 months after entry of the Order, which will be to ascertain compliance with this Order, the Act, the Rules, and applicable federal laws and regulations;
- (2) That depending on the Risk Rating established in that Compliance Examination, the Department may lift the stay and impose the stayed license revocations, prohibitions, and fine.

1 2	STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES		
3	IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING No. C-19-2754-22-CO02		
4	Whether there has been a violation of the Mortgage Broker Practices Act of Washington by:CONSENT ORDER		
5	WEST COAST FUNDING, INC., d/b/a West Coast Financial, NMLS No. 227255, and		
6	HENRY S. CHU, President and Designated Broker, NMLS No. 133293,		
7	Respondents.		
8	COMES NOW the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions (Director), through his		
9	designee Lucinda Fazio, Division of Consumer Services Director, and West Coast Funding, Inc.		
10	(Respondent West Coast) and Henry S. Chu (Respondent Chu), by and through their attorney, Jessica M.		
11	Creager of Seattle Litigation Group, PLLC, and finding that the issues raised in the above-captioned		
12	matter may be economically and efficiently settled, agree to the entry of this Consent Order (Order). The		
13	Department enters this Order pursuant to RCW 19.46, the Mortgage Broker Practices Act (Act), and		
14	RCW 34.05.060 of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), based upon the following:		
15	AGREEMENT AND ORDER		
16	The Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Consumer Services (Department) and		
17	Respondent West Coast and Respondent Chu (collectively, Respondents) have agreed upon a basis for		
18	resolution of the matters alleged in the attached Notice of Noncompliance (Notice), entered October 13,		
19	2021. Pursuant to the Act, chapter 208-660 WAC (the "Rules"), and the APA, Respondents hereby agree		
20	to the Department's entry of this Order and further agree that the issues raised in the above-captioned		
21	matter may be settled by entry of this Order, which the parties intend to fully resolve the Notice.		
22	Based upon the foregoing:		
23	A. Completely Read, Understood, and Agreed. It is AGREED that Respondent Chu, whose		
24	primary language is Mandarin Chinese, and not English, has read this English-language Order in its		

Page 1 of 7

entirety; has had the opportunity to have this Order translated into Mandarin Chinese and declined to take
 that opportunity; and fully understands and agrees to this Order both individually and as the President
 and Designated Broker (DB) for Respondent West Coast.

B. Jurisdiction. It is AGREED that the Department has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the activities discussed herein.

C. Waiver of Hearing. It is AGREED that Respondents have been informed of the right to a hearing before an administrative law judge (ALJ), and that Respondent Chu, individually and as an authorized agent for Respondent West Coast, hereby waives their right to a hearing and any and all administrative or judicial review of the issues raised in this matter, or of the resolution reached herein. Accordingly, Respondent Chu by his signature, and by the signature of Respondents' legal representative, withdraw Respondents' appeal of the Notice to the Office of Administrative Hearings.

D. Admissions. It is AGREED that Respondents failed to comply with the Affirmative Remedial
 Action section of the prior Consent Order (No. C-19-2754-21-CO01) entered in this matter. In particular,
 Respondents, despite their best efforts, were unable to retain a compliance consulting firm.

E. Revocation of Mortgage Broker License (Stayed). It is AGREED and ORDERED that the mortgage broker (MB) license of Respondent West Coast is revoked. It is FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that, contingent upon Respondent West Coast's compliance with this Order, the Act, and the Rules, the Department stays that revocation for eighteen (18) months from the date of entry of this Order. If the Department does not seek to lift the stay and impose the stayed MB license revocation, the stayed MB license revocation shall expire without further notice or action by the Department.

F. Revocation of Mortgage Loan Origination License (Stayed). It is AGREED and ORDERED
that the mortgage loan originator (MLO) license of Respondent Chu is revoked. It is FURTHER
AGREED and ORDERED that contingent upon his compliance with this Order, the Act, and the Rules,

 24
 the Department stays that revocation for two (2) years from the date of entry of this Order. If the

 CONSENT ORDER
 Page 2 of 7

 C-19-2754-22-CO02
 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

 WEST COAST FUNDING, INC. and
 PO Box 41200

 HENRY S. CHU, President and Designated Broker
 Olympia, WA 98504-1200

 (360) 902-8703
 (360) 902-8703

Department does not seek to lift the stay and impose the stayed MLO license revocation, the stayed MLO
 license revocation shall expire without further notice or action by the Department.

G. Surrender of Designated Broker Registration. It is AGREED and ORDERED that, in lieu of revocation, Respondent Chu will voluntarily surrender his Designated Broker (DB) registration within three (3) months of entry of this Order. It is FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that Respondent Chu shall not act as the DB for Respondent West Coast for as long as it is licensed by the Department. It is FURTHER AGREED that Respondent Chu may continue to originate mortgage loans while licensed as a MLO and appropriately sponsored.

H. Affirmative Remedial Action. It is AGREED and ORDERED that prior to surrender of
Respondent Chu's DB registration, and within three (3) months of entry of this Order, Respondent West
Coast shall replace Respondent Chu as DB with a qualified (i.e., registered with NMLS and the
Department) DB. The new DB shall be required to comply with this Order, the Act, and the Rules, and as
DB shall be specifically required to acknowledge his or her responsibility for, and take full responsibility
for, the conduct of the affairs of Respondent West Coast.

I. Prohibition from Industry. It is AGREED and ORDERED that, once Respondent Chu voluntarily surrenders his DB registration, for a period of ten (10) years from the date of that surrender, Respondent Chu is prohibited from participating as a DB in the conduct of the affairs of any mortgage broker licensed by or subject to licensure or regulation by the Department.

J. Fine (Partially Stayed). It is AGREED that Respondents shall pay a fine to the Department in the amount of \$10,000 pursuant to the Payments section of this Order, below.

K. Investigation Fee. It is AGREED that Respondents shall pay an investigation fee to the Department in the amount of \$5,000 pursuant to the Payments section of this Order, below.

L. Payments. It is AGREED and ORDERED that Respondents shall pay \$5,000 of the fine and

 24
 the entire investigation fee, a total of \$10,000, upon delivery to the Department of this Order fully

 CONSENT ORDER
 Page 3 of 7

 C-19-2754-22-C002
 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

 WEST COAST FUNDING, INC. and
 PO Box 41200

 HENRY S. CHU, President and Designated Broker
 Olympia, WA 98504-1200

 (360) 902-8703
 (360) 902-8703

1 executed and dated. It is FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that, contingent upon Respondents' 2 compliance with this Order, the Act, and the Rules, the Department stays the remaining \$5,000 of the fine 3 for two (2) years from the date of entry of this Order. If the Department does not seek to lift the stay and 4 impose the stayed fine, the stayed fine shall expire without further notice or action by the Department. It 5 is FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that Respondents shall pay the outstanding balance of the fine 6 and investigation fee from the prior Consent Order, a total of \$11,000, upon delivery to the Department 7 of this Order fully executed and dated. With the exception of the stayed fine, the combined payments of the fines and investigation fees from the prior Consent Order and this Order, a total of \$21,000, shall be 8 9 made in the form of a cashier's check made payable to the "Washington State Treasurer." It is AGREED 10 that, if Respondents properly make this payment of \$21,000, the outstanding balance of the fine and investigative fees from the prior Consent Order will be considered paid in full. 11

M. Compliance Examination. It is AGREED and ORDERED that in lieu of the Compliance
Examination required under the prior Consent Order, at the Department's convenience and Respondents'
cost, within 12 and 15 months after entry of this Order, the Department will conduct a full-scope
compliance examination of Respondent West Coast. The purpose of the examination will be to ascertain
compliance with the applicable terms of the prior Consent Order, this Order, the Act, the Rules, and
applicable federal laws and regulations, including, but not limited to:

TILA, 15 USC Sec. 1601, and Reg. Z, 12 CFR Part 1026;

- RESPA, 12 USC Sec. 2601, and Reg. X, 12 CFR Part 1024; and
- ECOA, 15 USC Sec. 1691, and Reg. B, 12 CFR Part 1002.

It is FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that that an examination rating of three (3) *may* result, and
that an examination rating of four (4) *will* result, in the lifting of the stayed fine and the stayed license
revocations, including the revocation of Respondent West Coast's MB license and the revocation of
Respondent Chu's MLO license.

24

18

1	N. Lifting of Stays and	mposition of Stayed Sanctions	s. It is AGREED and ORDERED that:
2		year stay the Department determ: Jules, have not complied with the	ines Respondents have not complied e applicable terms of the prior
3	Consent Order or t examination risk r	his Order, or that Respondent W	Vest Coast has received an I the Department seeks to lift the stays
5			itten notice of alleged noncompliance.
6	2. The notice will inc	lude:	
7	-		ne stayed fine and either or both of the
8	c. Notice that Re requesting an a	spondents can contest the notice adjudicative hearing before an A	e of alleged noncompliance by either LJ from the Office of Administrative
9	Hearings, or b alleged noncor		se to the Department contesting the
10			lies only to the prior Consent Order
11	2 Desman lanta harra	t (20) 1 from the 1-t	
12	noncompliance to	submit a written request to the D	e of service of the notice of alleged bepartment for an adjudicative hearing intesting the alleged noncompliance.
13	4. The scope and iss	ues of the adjudicative hearing	are limited solely to whether or not
14	Respondents are i	n violation of the Act, the pric	or Consent Order, this Order, or that isk rating of three (3) or four (4).
15	5. At the conclusion	of the adjudicative hearing the A	LJ will issue an initial decision. Either
16	party may file a l Department.	Petition for Review of that init	ial decision with the Director of the
17	6. In lieu of requesti	ng an adjudicative hearing, with	in twenty (20) days from the date of
18	service of the no	tice of alleged noncompliance,	Respondents may submit a written esting the alleged noncompliance. The
19	response must incl	ude each Respondent's waiver of	of the right to an adjudicative hearing,
20	the stayed sanction		seek an alternative resolution to lifting
21	-	• •	adjudicative hearing, or timely submit
22			noncompliance, the Department may ed license revocations without further
23			
24	CONSENT ORDER C-19-2754-22-CO02	Page 5 of 7	DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIO Division of Consumer Serv

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

O. Authority to Execute Order. It is AGREED that Respondent Chu has represented and warranted to the Department that he has the full power and right to execute this Order on behalf of Respondents.

4 **P.** Non-Compliance with Order. It is AGREED that Respondents understand that failure to abide by the terms and conditions of this Order may result in further legal action by the Director. In the event of such legal action, Respondents may be responsible to reimburse the Director for the cost incurred in pursuing such action, including but not limited to, attorney fees.

Q. Voluntarily Entered. It is AGREED that Respondents have voluntarily entered into this Consent Order, which is effective when signed by the Director's designee.

R. Counterparts and Signatures. It is AGREED that this Order may be executed in one or more separate counterparts, each of which when so executed shall be deemed an original. Such counterparts shall together constitute a single document. An electronic signature, or a faxed, photocopied, or scanned copy of an original signature, shall be deemed the same as an original signature.

RESPONDENTS: Respondent West Coast by:

Respondent Chu:

/s/02/21/2022 Henry S. Chu, President and Owner Date

02/21/2022 |s|Henry S. Chu, Individually Date

APPROVED FOR ENTRY:

/s/Jessica M. Creager, WSBA No. 42183 Seattle Litigation Group, PLLC Attorney for Respondents

Date

February 22, 2022

DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE

CONSENT ORDER C-19-2754-22-CO02 WEST COAST FUNDING, INC. and HENRY S. CHU, President and Designated Broker Page 6 of 7

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Division of Consumer Services PO Box 41200 Olympia, WA 98504-1200 (360) 902-8703

1	THEODD				2022
1		ER ENTERED THIS <u>2</u> .	5th DAY OF	February	2022.
2		A CONTRACTOR	-	1.1	
3			LUCI	/s/ NDA FAZIO, Directo	or Division
4		観察く		nsumer Services Depa cial Institutions	artment of
5		24 A			
6	Presented by:	and a stiller	Appro	oved by:	
7				/s/	
8	$\frac{\frac{/s}{DREW STILLMA}}{\frac{1}{DREW STILLMA}}$	AN .	JACK	/s/ McCLELLAN	
9	Financial Legal E Division of Consu	mer Services	Divisi	cement Chief on of Consumer Serv	
10	Department of Fir	nancial Institutions	Depar	tment of Financial In	stitutions
11					
12					
13					
13					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
	CONSENT ORDER C-19-2754-22-CO02 WEST COAST FUNDINC HENRY S. CHU, Presiden		Page 7 of 7	DEPARTME	NT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Division of Consumer Services PO Box 41200 Olympia, WA 98504-1200 (360) 902-8703

1 2	STATE OF WA DEPARTMENT OF FINA DIVISION OF CONS	NCIAL INSTITUTIONS	
3	IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING	No: C-19-2754-21-CO01	
4	Whether there has been a violation of the Mortgage Broker Practices Act of Washington by:	CONSENT ORDER	
5	WEST COAST FUNDING, INC., d/b/a West Coast		
6	Financial, NMLS No. 227255, and HENRY S. CHU, President and Designated Broker, NMLS No. 133293,		
7	Respondents.		
8		I	
9	COMES NOW the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions (Director), through his		
10	designee Lucinda Fazio, Director, Division of Consumer Services, and West Coast Funding, Inc.		
11	(Respondent West Coast) and Mr. Henry S. Chu (Respondent Chu), by and through their attorney, Jessica		
12	M. Creager of Seattle Litigation Group, PLLC, and finding that the issues raised in this matter may be		
13	economically and efficiently settled, agree to the entry of this Consent Order (Order). The Department		
14	enters this Order pursuant to RCW 19.146, the Mortgage Broker Practices Act (Act), WAC 208-660		
15	(Rules), and RCW 34.05.060 of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), based upon the following:		
16	AGREEMENT AND ORDER		
17	The Department of Financial Institutions, Division	on of Consumer Services (Department) and	
18	Respondent West Coast and Respondent Chu (collectively, Respondents) have agreed upon a basis for		
19	resolution of the matters alleged in the attached Statement of Charges No. C-19-2754-19-SC01		
20	(Charges) entered September 30, 2019. Pursuant to Act, Rules, and the APA, Respondents hereby agree		
21	to the Department's entry of this Order, which the parties intend to fully resolve the Charges.		
22	Based upon the foregoing:		
23	A. Completely Read, Understood, and Agreed. It is AGREED that Respondent Chu, whose		
24	primary language is Mandarin Chinese, and not Englis CONSENT ORDER C-19-2754-21-CO01 WEST COAST FUNDING, INC., et al.	sh, has read this English-language Order in its DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Division of Consumer Services PO Box 41200	

entirety, has had the opportunity to have this Order translated into Mandarin Chinese, declined to take 1 2 that opportunity, and fully understands and agrees to this Order both individually and the President and 3 Designated Broker for Respondent West Coast.

B. Jurisdiction. It is AGREED that the Department has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the activities discussed herein.

C. Waiver of Hearing. It is AGREED that Respondents have been informed of the right to a hearing before an administrative law judge, and that Respondent Chu, individually and as an authorized agent of Respondent West Coast, hereby waives their right to any and all administrative or judicial review of the issues raised in this matter, or of the resolution reached herein. Accordingly, Respondent Chu by his signature, and by the signature of Respondents' legal representative, withdraw Respondents' appeal of the Charges to the Office of Administrative Hearings.

D. Admissions. It is AGREED that Respondent West Coast admits that its failure to maintain adequate compliance procedures contributed to the repeat violations of the Act noted in the 2019 Report of Examination (2019 ROE) and the Factual Allegations in the Charges. It is further AGREED that with the above exception, Respondents neither admit nor deny the Factual Allegations in the Charges; will not take any action or make or permit to be made any public statement creating the impression that this Order is without factual basis; and that nothing in this paragraph affects Respondents' testimonial obligations or right to take legal or factual positions in defense of any administrative proceedings or civil litigation.

E. Cease and Desist and Future Compliance. It is AGREED that Respondent Chu has represented and warranted to the Department that Respondents have ceased and desisted the violations of the Act, the Rules, and applicable federal laws and regulations noted in the 2019 ROE and the Charges. It is FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that Respondents shall henceforth comply with the Act and Rules, both now existing and as hereafter amended or enacted.

2

4

5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

F. Affirmative Remedial Action. It is AGREED that Respondent Chu has represented and warranted to the Department that Respondents have already taken significant affirmative remedial actions necessary to comply with the Act, including utilization of compliance software for continuous loan file review. It is FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that Respondents shall continue to utilize either compliance software for continuous loan file review, or a qualified human loan file reviewer to achieve the same purpose for as long as Respondents are licensed by the Department.

G. Compliance Consulting Firm. It is AGREED and ORDERED that within one (1) month of entry of this Order, Respondents shall retain a compliance consulting firm not objectionable to the Department, and that while licensed by the Department, Respondents shall maintain a relationship with that or another compliance consulting firm not objectionable to the Department. It is FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that within one (1) month of retaining any compliance consulting firm, Respondents shall provide the Department with a copy of the retainer agreement, specifically including the scope of work to be performed under the retainer agreement. It is FURTHER AGREED that Respondents shall accept and timely implement any compliance policy and procedure recommendations of any compliance consulting firm retained by Respondents.

H. Technical Assistance Examination. It is AGREED that at the Department's convenience and at Respondents' cost, within three (3) months after the entry of this Order, the Department will conduct a limited-scope Technical Assistance Examination (TAE) of Respondent West Coast. The scope of the TAE will be limited to review of the 2019 ROE findings, and will not result in either a ROE or a Risk Rating. It is FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that:

- 1. The Department will not use the TAE to lift any stay or impose any stayed sanctions;
- 2. A representative of the compliance consulting firm retained by Respondents shall be present and participate in the TAE;
- **3.** Within three (3) months of completion of the TAE, the compliance consulting firm will review Respondent West Coast's existing compliance policies and procedures, and deliver a report to Respondent Chu and the Department recommending new or revised

policies and procedures reasonably designed to detect and prevent future violations of the 1 Act and Rules; 2 4. Annually thereafter, any compliance consulting firm retained by Respondents will review Respondent West Coast's compliance policies and procedures, deliver a report to Respondent Chu, and recommend new or revised compliance policies and procedures 3 reasonably designed to detect and prevent future violations of the Act and Rules; and 5. Respondents shall accept and timely implement any compliance policy and procedure 4 recommendations of any compliance consulting firm retained by Respondents. 5 6 I. Revocations of Licenses (Stayed). It is AGREED and ORDERED that Respondent West Coast's 7 mortgage broker license, and Respondent Chu's mortgage loan originator license, are revoked. It is 8 FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that, subject to compliance with this Order, the Act, and the 9 Rules, the Department stays those revocations for a period of two (2) years from the date of this Order. 10 J. Prohibitions from Industry (Stayed). It is AGREED and ORDERED that Respondents are prohibited from participating, in any capacity, in the conduct of the affairs of any mortgage broker 11 12 licensed, or subject to, licensure by the Department. It is FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that, 13 subject to compliance with this Order, the Act, and the Rules, the Department stays those prohibitions for 14 a period of two (2) years from the date of this Order. 15 K. Fine (Partially Stayed). It is AGREED and ORDERED that Respondent are liable to the Department for a fine of \$75,000. It is FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that, in consideration of the 16 17 terms of this Order, Respondents shall pay to the Department \$25,000 of the fine pursuant to Paragraph 18 M, Payments. It is FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that, subject to compliance with this Order, the 19 Act, and Rules, the Department stays payment of the \$50,000 balance of the fine for a period of two (2) 20 years from the date of this Order. 21 L. Investigation Fee. It is AGREED and ORDERED that Respondents shall pay to the Department 22 an investigation fee of \$10,000 pursuant to Paragraph M, Payments. 23 **M. Payments.** It is AGREED and ORDERED that \$10,000 of the \$25,000 fine, along with \$5,000 of 24 the \$10,000 investigation fee, shall be paid to the Department in the form of a \$15,000 cashier's check CONSENT ORDER DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS C-19-2754-21-CO01 Division of Consumer Services

PO Box 41200

(360) 902-8703

Olympia, WA 98504-1200

WEST COAST FUNDING, INC., et al.

payable to the "Washington State Treasurer," to be delivered to the Department with Respondents' fully 1 2 executed Order. It is FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that beginning on the last business day of the month following entry of this Order, and continuing monthly until the \$20,000 balance of the fine and 3 4 investigation fee is paid in full, Respondents shall make monthly payments of \$1,000 to the Department 5 in the form of cashier's checks payable to the "Washington State Treasurer."

6 **N.** Compliance Examination. It is AGREED and ORDERED that at the Department's convenience and at Respondents' cost, between 12 and 18 months after entry of this Order, the Department will 8 conduct a full-scope compliance examination of Respondent West Coast. The purpose of the examination 9 will be to ascertain compliance with this Order, the Act, the Rules, and applicable federal laws and regulations including, but not limited to: 10

1.

7

11

12

- TILA, 15 USC Sec. 1601, and Reg. Z, 12 CFR Part 1026;
- 2. RESPA, 12 USC Sec. 2601, and Reg. X, 12 CFR Part 1024; and
- 3. ECOA, 15 USC Sec. 1691, Reg. B, 12 CFR Part 1002.

In order to provide Respondents with sufficient time to refine their use of the compliance software 13 14 for continuous loan file review, and to implement any compliance policy and procedure changes 15 recommended by the compliance consultant, the Department will limit its examination. Depending on 16 when the Department conducts the compliance examination, the Department will only review residential 17 mortgage loan files, and related books and records, that were originated between January 1, 2022, and 18 September 30, 2022. At the conclusion of the examination, the Department will generate a Report of 19 Examination (2022 ROE) based upon the loan file review, review of related books and records, and on 20 statements made to the examiners by authorized representatives of Respondent West Coast. The 2022 21 ROE will include an examination Risk Rating. 22 A Risk Rating of one (1) or two (2) will result in the stayed Revocations, Prohibitions, and Fine

23 (Stayed Sanctions) expiring without further notice or action by the Department. A Risk Rating of three

24 (3) may result in the Department lifting the stay and imposing some or all of the Stayed Sanctions CONSENT ORDER DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS C-19-2754-21-CO01 Division of Consumer Services WEST COAST FUNDING, INC., et al. PO Box 41200

1	pursuant to Paragraph M, while a Risk Rating of four (4) or five (5) <i>will</i> result in the Department lifting
2	the stay and imposing some or all of the Stayed Sanctions pursuant to Paragraph M. A Risk Rating of (3),
3	four (4), or five (5) may also result in the imposition of other sanctions necessary for the enforcement of
4	this Order, the Act and the Rules, and the protection of the public. Regardless of the assigned Risk
5	Rating, Respondents must timely respond to and address all findings in the ROE, and timely pay the
6	invoice for the examination. Failure to timely pay any examination invoice is a breach of this Order.
7	O. Lifting of Stay and Imposition of Stayed Sanctions . It is AGREED and ORDERED that:
8	1. If, during the two (2) year stay, the Department determines that Respondents have not
9	complied with this Order, the Act, or the Rules, or Respondent West Coast received an 2022 ROE Risk Rating of three (3), four (4), or five (5), and the Department seeks to lift
10	the stay and impose some or all of the Stayed Sanctions, the Department will first serve Respondents with a written notice of alleged noncompliance.
11	2. The notice will include:a. A description of the alleged noncompliance;
10	b. A statement that the Department seeks to lift the stay and impose some or all of the
12	Stayed Sanctions;c. Notice that either Respondent can contest the Department's determination of
13	alleged noncompliance either in an adjudicative hearing before an Administrative Law Judge assigned by the Office of Administrative Hearings; and
14	d. Notice that the notification and adjudicative hearing process provided in this Paragraph M applies only to this Order solely in the event either Respondent
15	chooses to contest the Department's determination of alleged noncompliance.
16	3. Any Respondent who wishes to contest the Department's determination will have twenty (20) days from the date of receipt of the Department's notice of alleged noncompliance to
17	submit a written request to the Department for an adjudicative hearing.4. The scope and issues of the adjudicative hearing are limited solely to whether or not
18	Respondents are in violation of the terms of this Order, the Act, or the Rules, or received a 2022 ROE Risk Rating of three (3), four (4), or five (5).
10	5. If either Respondent requests an adjudicative hearing, at the conclusion of the hearing, the
19	Administrative Law Judge will issue an Initial Decision and Order. Either party may file a Petition for Review of that Initial Decision with the Director of the Department.
20	6. DEFAULT: If any Respondent does not timely request an adjudicative hearing the Department will lift the stay, impose some or all of the Stayed Sanctions, and pursue
21	whatever other enforcement action it deems necessary to enforce this Order, the Act, the
22	Rules, and to protect the public.
23	P. Authority to Execute Order. It is AGREED that the Respondent Chu has represented and
24	warranted that he has the full power and right to execute this Order on behalf of Respondent West Coast. CONSENT ORDER 6 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS C-19-2754-21-CO01 Division of Consumer Services

1	Q. Non-Compliance with Order. It is AGREED that Respondents understand that failure to abide				
2	by the terms and conditions of this Order may result in further legal action by the Director. In the event of				
3	such legal action, Respondents may be responsible to reimburse the Director for the cost incurred in				
4	pursuing such action, including, but not limited to, attorney fees.				
5	R. Voluntarily Entered. It is AGREED that Respondents have voluntarily entered into this Order,				
6	which is effective when signed by the Director's designee.				
7	S. Counterparts. This Order may be executed by the Respondents and their counsel in any number				
8	of counterparts, including by facsimile, e-mail of a .pdf, or similar file, each of which shall be deemed to				
9	be an original, but all of which, taken together, shall constitute one and the same Order.				
10	RESPONDENTS: West Coast Funding, Inc. by				
11	west coast Funding, ne. by				
12	/s/ 3/10/2021 Henry S. Chu, President and Owner Date /s/ 3/10/2021 Henry S. Chu, Individually Date				
13	APPROVED FOR ENTRY:				
14					
15	<u>/s/</u> <u>March 11, 2021</u> Jessica M. Creager, WSBA No. 42183 <u>Date</u>				
16	Jessica M. Creager, WSBA No. 42183 Date Seattle Litigation Group, PLLC Attorney for Respondents				
17	DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE				
18	//				
19	//				
20	//				
21	//				
22	//				
23	//				
24	// CONSENT ORDER 7 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS C-19-2754-21-CO01 WEST COAST FUNDING, INC., et al. PO Box 41200 Olympia, WA 98504-1200 (360) 902-8703				

1	THIS ORDER ENTERED THIS 18	DAY OF MARCH 2021.	
2			
3		/s/	
4		LUCINDA FAZIO, Director Division of Consumer Services	
5		Department of Financial Institutions	
6			
7			
8	Presented by:	Approved by:	
9	<u>/s/</u>	<u>/s/</u>	
10	ANTHONY W. CARTER Senior Legal Examiner	STEVEN C. SHERMAN Enforcement Chief	
11	Division of Consumer Services Department of Financial Institutions	Division of Consumer Services Department of Financial Institutions	
12			
13 14			
14			
15			
10			
18			
10			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
	CONSENT ORDER C-19-2754-21-CO01 WEST COAST FUNDING, INC., <i>et al.</i>	8 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIA Division of	L INSTITUTIONS Consumer Services PO Box 41200

1 2	STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES		
		SUMER SERVICES	
3	IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING Whether there has been a violation of the	No. C-19-2754-19-SC01	
4	Mortgage Broker Practices Act of Washington by:	STATEMENT OF CHARGES and NOTICE OF INTENT TO ENTER AN ORDER TO REVOKE	
5	WEST COAST FUNDING, INC., d/b/a West Coast Financial, NMLS No. 227255, and	LICENSES, PROHIBIT FROM INDUSTRY, IMPOSE FINE, COLLECT INVESTIGATION	
6	HENRY S. CHU, President and Designated Broker, NMLS No. 133293,	FEE, and RECOVER COSTS AND EXPENSES OF PROSECUTION	
7	Respondents.		
8			
9	INTRODUCTION		
10	Pursuant to RCW 19.146.220 and RCW 19.146.223, the Director of the Washington State Department		
11	of Financial Institutions (Director) is responsible for the administration of chapter 19.146 of the Revised		
12	Code of Washington (RCW), the Mortgage Broker Practices Act (Act). Having conducted an		
13	investigation pursuant to RCW 19.146.235, and based upon the facts available as of the date of this		
14	Statement of Charges (Charges), the Director, through his designee, Division of Consumer Services		
15	Acting Director Richard St. Onge, institutes this pro	ceeding and finds as follows:	
16	I. FACTUAL	ALLEGATIONS	
17	1.1 Respondents.		
18	e 1	tial Institutions (Department) licensed West Coast Respondent West Coast) to conduct business as a	
19	Funding, Inc., d/b/a West Coast Financing (Respondent West Coast) to conduct business as a mortgage broker under the Act on or about December 30, 2010, and it continues to be licensed to date. There are presently ten (10) Department-licensed Mortgage Loan Originators (MLOs), sponsored by Respondent West Coast, including Henry S. Chu.		
20			
21	B. The Department licensed Henry S. Chu (Respondent Chu), the President and sole owner of Respondent West Coast, to conduct huriness of an MLO sponsored by Respondent West Coast.		
22	Respondent West Coast, to conduct business as an MLO sponsored by Respondent West Coast under the Act on or about January 2, 2010, and he continues to be licensed to date. The Department registered Respondent Chu as the Designated Proker for Respondent West Coast on		
23	Department registered Respondent Chu as the Designated Broker for Respondent West Coast on or before December 30, 2010, and he continues to be the Designated Broker for Respondent West Coast to date.		
24	//		
	STATEMENT OF CHARGES 1 of 11 C-19-2754-19-SC01 West Coast Funding, Inc., <i>et al.</i>	DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Division of Consumer Services P.O. Box 41200	

1 **1.2** Examinations.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Respondent West Coast has been subject to four compliance examinations while licensed by the

3 Department. The most recent examination, conducted in February 2019, found numerous repeat

violations continuing since the Department's initial examination conducted in 2012.

A. 2012. The Department conducted a full-scope on-site examination of Respondent West Coast in September 2012. On or about September 24, 2012, the Department mailed a cover letter and the 2012 Report of Examination (ROE) to Respondents noting twelve state law violations, four federal law violations, and three Internal Routine and Control Exceptions. Respondent West Coast (the "Company" in the 2012 ROE), was given a risk rating as follows:

The Company is assigned a rating of "4." The Company has an inordinate volume of operational control and asset quality weaknesses and/or combination of other identified weaknesses that cause its overall condition to be considered unsatisfactory. Unless prompt action is taken to correct these conditions, the problems could be expected to impair the licensee's future viability. Close supervisory attention and enhanced monitoring of the licensee's operational controls and financial condition is warranted. Licenses accorded this rating are generally under some type of regulatory action with the Department.

The cover letter requested a written response to the findings detailed in the 2012 ROE within one month. On or about October 22, 2012, Respondents replied to the Department, noting that they had addressed all the findings. The Department determined that the response was adequate, and, based on the number and nature of the findings, scheduled Respondent West Coast for a follow-up Supervisory Watch Examination (SWE).

B. 2013. In April 2013, the Department conducted a SWE to verify whether Respondent West Coast had implemented the changes noted in their response to the 2012 ROE and were compliant with the Act. On or about April 30, 2013, the Department mailed a cover letter and the 2013 SWE ROE to Respondents noting, "there were four repeat violations disclosed during this SWE. The company must take remedial corrective actions to comply with the requirements of the Act and applicable federal rules and regulations."

The cover letter requested a written response to the findings detailed in the 2013 SWE ROE within one month, and advised that due to the repeat findings, the Department would schedule a second SWE. On or about June 6, 2013, Respondents replied to the Department's request, again noting that they had addressed all the findings. The Department determined that the response was adequate, that a second SWE was not needed, and closed the examination.

C. 2016. In May 2016, the Department conducted a third examination of Respondent West Coast. On or about June 8, 2016, the Department mailed a cover letter and the 2016 ROE to Respondents noting eight state law violations, ten federal law violations, and two Internal Routine and Control Exceptions. Seven of the violations were repeat violations of those noted in the 2012 ROE. The Department gave Respondent West Coast another risk rating of four with its attendant warnings.

The cover letter requested a written response to the findings detailed in the 2016 ROE within one month. On or about July 26, 2016, Respondents replied, once again noting again that they had addressed all the findings. Though the Department determined that Respondents' response was partially inadequate, in August 2016, the Department closed the examination. The Department's closing letter to Respondents advised that due to the risk rating and repeat findings, the Department had placed Respondent West Coast on a Supervisory Watch, would conduct a follow-up SWE, and that failure to implement corrective action might result in a referral to the Department's Enforcement Unit.

D. 2018 Examination.¹ Instead of a follow-up SWE, in February 2019, the Department conducted a fourth examination of Respondent West Coast. On or about April 24, 2019, the Department mailed a cover letter and the 2018 ROE to Respondents noting nine state law violations (eight of which were repeat findings), seven federal law violations (two of which were repeat findings), and one Internal Routine and Control Exception (also a repeat finding). Four of the violations were repeat violations of those noted in both the 2012 and 2016 ROEs. Based on the eleven repeat findings the Department gave Respondent West Coast another risk rating of four. The cover letter, this time identified as a Directive, required a written response to the findings detailed in the 2018 ROE within one month. After multiple deadline extensions, and after Respondents failed to adequately address the findings, the Examinations Unit referred Respondents to the Enforcement Unit.

1.3 Violations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. Failure to Comply with Director's Authority. On or about April 24, 2019, the Department mailed a cover letter and the 2018 ROE to Respondents. The cover letter, a Directive issued pursuant to the Act, required a response by May 24, 2019, to the State and Federal Law Findings and the Internal Routine Control and Exceptions detailed in the 2018 ROE. Respondents failed to timely respond, but did, on or about June 12, 2019, e-mail the Department a partial response.

On or about July 17, 2019, the Department mailed a second Directive to Respondents advising that the prior response had "not adequately addressed" the findings detailed in the 2018 ROE. The second Directive advised that the Department does not accept responses via e-mail, that the Department only accepts responses to ROEs via a secure website, Box.com, and required a more detailed response to the 2018 ROE by July 26, 2019. Respondents failed to timely respond.

- **B.** False Statements and Material Misrepresentations. On or about June 8, 2016, the Department mailed a cover letter and the 2016 ROE to Respondents. The cover letter required a response to the findings detailed in the 2016 ROE by July 8, 2016. On or about July 22, 2016, the Department received the required response, wherein Respondent Chu stated the following:
 - 1) We have submitted accurate MCRs for 2014 Q1 and Q2...on NMLS;
 - 2) We've already filed the Q1 2016 MCR on NMLS; and

¹ The examination process was started in 2018.

	3) We will file all the accurate reports to the Department from now on
201 late	or about July 28, 2016, the Department determined that Respondents had neither updated the 14 Q1 and Q2 MCRs nor filed the Q1 2016 MCR, which was by then already three months e. During the 2018 Examination, The Department found that five different MCRs had been ed late, and all four quarterly 2017 MCRs were inaccurate.
	12 -2018 Examination Violations: From on or about January 1, 2010, through on or about cember 31, 2018, Respondents:
	Failed to file with the Department at least ten (10) timely, complete, or accurate quarterly Mortgage Call Reports.
	Failed to file with the Department at least one (1) timely, complete, or accurate annual Financial Condition Report.
3)	Failed to provide at least twenty (20) borrowers with timely, complete, or accurate Rate Lock Agreements, or failed to provide borrowers with Rate Lock Agreements at all.
	Failed to provide at least five (5) borrowers with timely, complete, or accurate Truth in Lending disclosures.
	Failed to provide at least thirty (30) borrowers with timely, complete, or accurate Good Faith Estimate disclosures.
	Failed to provide at least five (5) borrowers with timely, complete, or accurate Loan Estimates.
	Failed to provide at least ten (10) borrowers with timely, complete, or accurate Variable Rate Mortgage or Adjustable Rate Mortgage disclosures.
,	Failed to include at least three (3) pieces of required information in print and Internet advertising, including information related to trade and licensed names, NMLS numbers, and links to the NMLS Consumer Access Internet webpage.
	Engaged in false, deceptive, and misleading advertising by using at least three (3) prohibited terms and phrases, including advertising Respondents had the lowest rates, the best rates, and the availability of "no fee, no cost" refinance loans.
10)	Failed to provide at least thirty (30) borrowers with written notice of Borrower Paid Services.
11)	Failed to provide at least five (5) borrowers with accurate Credit Score Disclosure forms.
12)	Failed to provide at least ten (10) borrowers with complete and accurate Privacy Policies, or failed to provide borrowers with Privacy Policies at all.
STATEMENT	F OF CHARGES 4 of 11 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

1	13) Engaged in improper Records Maintenance by failing to produce documents requested by Examiners; by failing to preserve the security of borrower non-public personal information			
2	(NPI) by re-using documents with NPI relating to one borrower when dealing with another borrower; and by altering dates on documents in closed loan files.			
3	14)) Failed to prepare, adopt, and implement compliant pol	icies and procedures required under	
4	state and federal law and regulation, including a Red Flags Rule, Bank Secrecy Act and Ar Money Laundering Rules, Disaster Recovery Plan/Business Resumption Plans, and			
5	Mortgage Loan Originator Compensation Plans.			
6 7	15) Failed to timely deposit into a trust account for at least five (5) borrowers the borrowers' third-party fees received from escrow agents, instead depositing and commingling those fees into Respondent West Coast's general operating account.			
0				
8 9	 16) Failed to adhere to required RESPA and/or TILA tolerance limits for at least two (2) borrowers when charging fees on the final Closing Disclosure that were greater than those disclosed on the final Loan Estimate. 			
10	17)) Delivered unnecessary disclosures to at least ten (10) b	porrowers, including California state-	
11	,	specific disclosures and, in 2017, a RESPA Servicing required since October 2015.	-	
12	18)) Failed to provide to at least one borrower a complete a	and accurate Anti-Steering Disclosure.	
13	1.4 On-G	Going Investigation. The Department's investigation in	nto the alleged violations of the Act by	
14	Respondent West Coast and Respondent Chu continues to date.			
15		II. GROUNDS FOR ENTRY OF	ORDER	
16	2.1 Liabi	bility of Mortgage Broker. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.24	45, a licensed mortgage broker is liable	
17	for any conduct violating the Act by the Designated Broker or mortgage loan originators employed or			
18	engaged by the licensed mortgage broker. Pursuant to WAC 208-660-530(6), a licensed mortgage broker			
19	and each of its principals, officers, Designated Brokers, and mortgage loan originators must comply with			
20	the Act, and are subject to a fine of up to \$100 per day for each violation of the Act.			
21	2.2 Resp	ponsibility of Designated Broker. Pursuant to RCW 19	0.146.200(3), every licensed mortgage	
22	broker must have at all times a Designated Broker responsible for all activities of the mortgage broker. A		all activities of the mortgage broker. A	
23	Designated Broker with supervisory authority over a mortgage broker is responsible for violations of the			
24	Act by that mortgage broker and its mortgage loan originators.			
	STATEMENT	T OF CHARGES 5 of 11	DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS	

2.3 Requirement to Comply with Director's Authority. Based on the Factual Findings set forth in
 Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.235 by failing to comply with the
 Director's Authority.

2.4 False Statements or Material Misrepresentations. Based on the Factual Findings set forth in
Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(8) by negligently making
any false statement, or knowingly and willfully making any omission of material fact in connection with
any reports filed by a licensee with the Department.

8 2.5 Requirement to Submit Mortgage Call Reports. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in
9 Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(2) and (8),

10 RCW 19.146.390, and WAC 208-660-400(1) for failing to file timely, complete, or accurate Mortgage
11 Call Reports with the Department through NMLS.

12 2.6 Requirement to Submit Financial Condition Reports. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth
13 in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(2) and (8), RCW
14 19.146.390, and WAC 208-660-400(1), for failing to file timely, complete, or accurate Financial
15 Condition Reports with the Department through NMLS.

16 2.7 Requirement to Provide Rate Lock Agreements. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in
17 Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(2), RCW 19.146.030(2)(c),
18 and WAC 208-66-430(3)(c) and (6), for failing to provide borrowers with timely, complete, or accurate
19 rate lock agreements.

20 2.8 Requirement to Provide Truth in Lending Disclosures. Based on the Factual Allegations set
21 forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.030 and 19.146.0201(11)
22 for failing to provide borrowers with timely, complete, or accurate Truth in Lending disclosures.

23 24 \parallel

2.9 Requirement to Provide Good Faith Estimates. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in
 Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.030 and 19.146.0201(11) for
 failing to provide borrowers with timely, complete, or accurate Good Faith Estimates.

2.10 Requirement to Provide Loan Estimates. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I
above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.030 and 19.146.0201(11) for failing to
provide borrowers with timely, complete, or accurate Loan Estimates.

2.11 Requirement to Provide Variable Rate Mortgage Disclosures. Based on the Factual Allegations
set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.030 and

9 19.146.0201(11) for failing to provide borrowers with timely, complete, or accurate Variable Rate

10 Mortgage or Adjustable Rate Mortgage disclosures.

2.12 Requirement to Make Certain Advertising Disclosures. Based on the Factual Allegations set
forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(2), (7), (10), and
(11), for failing to include required information in advertising.

2.13 False, Deceptive, or Misleading Advertising. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in
Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(2), (7), (10), and (11) for
using prohibited terms and phrases and failing to disclose the APR when advertising any rate of interest.
2.14 Requirement to Provide Borrower Paid Services Disclosure. Based on the Factual Allegations
set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.030 for failing to
provide borrowers with the written notice of Borrower Paid Services.

20 2.15 Unnecessary Disclosures. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above,

21 Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(2) for making unnecessary disclosures.

22 **2.16** Requirement to Provide Credit Score Disclosures. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in

- 23 Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.030 and 19.146.0201(11) for
- 24 || failing to provide borrowers with timely, complete, or accurate Credit Score Disclosure forms.

2.17 Requirement to Provide Privacy Policies. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I
 above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.030 and 19.146.0201(11) for failing to
 provide borrowers with timely, complete, or accurate Privacy Policies.

2.18 Requirement to Maintain Records. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I
above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(2), 19.146.060(2) and (4)(b), and
19.146.235(9)(a), by failing to maintain business records and protect borrower NPI.

2.19 Requirement to Maintain Borrower Funds in Trust. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth
in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(2), 19.146.050, and
WAC 208-660-410(3) by failing, prior to the end of the third day following receipt, to deposit funds
received from, or on behalf of, borrowers for payment of third-party provider services, into a trust
account of a federally insured financial institution located in Washington, and for commingling trust
account funds with operating funds.

2.20 Requirement to Adopt and Implement Compliant Policies. Based on the Factual Allegations set
 forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201 for failing to adopt
 and implement compliant policies and procedures required under state and federal law and regulation.

16 2.21 Requirement to Deliver Privacy Policy. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I
above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(2) and (11) for failing to provide
borrowers with complete or accurate privacy policy notices.

2.22 Requirement to Comply with Applicable Federal Laws. Based on the Factual Allegations set
forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(11) for failing to
comply with federal laws and regulations applicable to the activities governed by the Act, including:

A. The Truth in Lending Act (TILA), 15 U.S.C. §1601 *et seq.*, and Regulation Z, 12 C.F.R.§1026 *et seq.*, for failing to provide borrowers with timely, complete, or accurate disclosures, for advertising variable rate loans without making the required disclosure, for failing to adhere to tolerance limits, for failure to develop compliant MLO compensation plans, and for failing to provide borrowers with the Anti-Steering Disclosure;

22

23

1	B. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA), 12 U.S.C. §2601 <i>et seq.</i> , and Regulation X, 24 C.F.R. §1024 <i>et seq.</i> , for failing to provide borrowers with timely, complete, or accurate disclosures and by failing to adhere to telerones limits:			
2	disclosures and by failing to adhere to tolerance limits;			
3	C. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLBA), 12 U.S.C. §6801 <i>et seq.</i> , and Regulation P, 12 C.F.R. §1016.4, including the Appendix, for failing to provide borrowers with complete or accurate privacy policy notices;			
4				
5 6	D. The Federal Trade Commission Act (FTC Act), 15 U.S.C. §45(a) <i>et seq.</i> , by engaging in unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce with respect to advertising, for making unnecessary disclosures to borrowers, and for failing to develop a compliant Red Flags Policy;			
0	unnecessary disclosures to borrowers, and for failing to develop a compliant Red Flags Foney,			
7 8	E. The Financial Crimes Enforcement Network's (FinCEN) Anti-Money Laundering (AML) and Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) Program by failing to develop a compliant BSA/AML Program as required by 31 C.F.R. §1029.210; and			
0	E The Eair Credit Departing Act (ECDA) 15 U.S.C. \$1691 at sec. and Dec V. 12 C.E.D.			
9 10	F. The Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA), 15 U.S.C. §1681 <i>et seq.</i> , and Reg V, 12 C.F.R. §1022.74(d), for failing to provide borrowers with complete or accurate credit score disclosures, and for failing to develop a compliant Red Flags Policy.			
11				
11				
12	III. AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE SANCTIONS			
13	3.1 Authority to Issue an Order to Revoke Licenses. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.220(2), the Director			
14	may issue an Order revoking Department-issued licenses for and violation of the Act.			
15	3.2 Authority to Prohibit From Industry. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.220(4), the Director may issue			
16	an Order prohibiting from participation in the mortgage broker industry any person subject to licensing			
17	under the Act for any violation of the Act.			
18	3.3 Authority to Impose Fine. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.220(2), the Director may impose a fine of up			
19	to \$100 per day for each violation of the Act.			
20	3.4 Authority to Collect Investigation Fee. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.228(2) and WAC 208-660-			
21	550(4)(a), the Director may charge forty-eight dollars per hour for an examiner's time devoted to an			
22	investigation.			
23	3.5 Authority to Recover Costs and Expenses. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.221(2), the Director may			
24	recover the state's costs and expenses for prosecuting violations of the Act.			
	STATEMENT OF CHARGES 9 of 11 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS C-19-2754-19-SC01 Division of Consumer Services West Coast Funding, Inc., et al. P.O. Box 41200 Olympia, WA 98504-1200 (360) 902-8703			

1	IV. NOTICE OF INTENT TO ENTER ORDER			
2	Respondents' violations of the provisions of chapter 19.146 RCW and chapter 208-660 WAC, as			
3	set forth in the above Factual Allegations, Grounds for Entry of Order, and Authority to Impose			
4	Sanctions constitute a basis for the entry of an Order under RCW 19.146.220, RCW 19.146.221, and			
5	RCW 19.146.223. Therefore, it is the Director's intent to ORDER that:			
6	4.1 The mortgage broker license of Respondent West Coast Funding, Inc., d/b/a West Coast Financial, be revoked.			
7 8	4.2 Respondent West Coast Funding, Inc., d/b/a West Coast Financial, be prohibited from the mortgage broker industry for ten (10) years.			
9	4.3	The mortgage loan originator license of Respondent Henry S. Chu be revoked.		
10	4.4 Respondent Henry S. Chu be prohibited from the mortgage broker industry for ten (10) years.			
11	4.5	Respondent West Coast Funding, Inc., d/b/a West Coast Financial, and Respondent Henry		
12				
13 14	4.6 Respondent West Coast Funding, Inc., d/b/a West Coast Financial, and Respondent Henry S. Chu, jointly and severally pay an investigation fee. As of the date of this Statement of Charges, the investigation fee totals \$5,000.			
15 16 17	 4.7 Respondent West Coast Funding, Inc., d/b/a West Coast Financial, and Respondent Henry S. Chu, jointly and severally pay the Department's costs and expenses for prosecuting violations of the Act in an amount to be determined at hearing or by declaration with supporting documentation in event of default. 			
18	V. AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE			
19	The Department enters this Statement of Charges pursuant to the provisions of RCW 19.146.220,			
20	RCW 19.146.221, RCW 19.146.223, and RCW 19.146.230, and subject to the provisions of the			
21	Administrative Procedure Act, RCW 34.05. Respondents may each make a written request for an			
22	//			
23	//			
24	//			
	STATEMENT OF C-19-2754-19-SC(West Coast Fundir	Division of Consumer Service		

1	adjudicative hearing as set forth in the NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AND FOR				
2	HEARING accompanying these Charges.				
3					
4	Dated this 30th day of September 2019.				
5					
6					
7			<u>_/s/</u> RICHARD ST. ONGE		
8			Acting Director, Division of Consumer Services Department of Financial Institutions		
9					
10					
11					
12	Presented by:		Approved by:		
13					
14	<u>_/s/</u> ANTHONY W. CARTER		<u>/s/</u> STEVEN C. SHERMAN		
15	Senior Legal Examiner Department of Financial Institutions		Enforcement Chief Department of Financial Institutions		
16	Division of Consumer Services		Division of Consumer Services		
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
	STATEMENT OF CHARGES C-19-2754-19-SC01 West Coast Funding, Inc., <i>et al.</i>	11 of 11	DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Division of Consumer Services P.O. Box 41200		