## **ORDER SUMMARY – Case Number: C-16-1936**

Name(s):	PHH Home Loans LLC dba PHH Home Mortgage LLC			
Order Number:	C-16-1936-17-	-CO02		
<b>Effective Date</b> :	3/31/2017			
License Number: Or NMLS Identifier [U/L]	NMLS 2726			
License Effect:	none			
Not Apply Until:	n/a			
Not Eligible Until:	n/a			
Prohibition/Ban Until:	n/a			
<b>Investigation Costs</b>	\$0	Due	Paid N N	Date
Fine	\$0	Due	Paid Y N	Date
Assessment(s)	\$0	Due	Paid Y N	Date
Restitution	\$0	Due	Paid Y N	Date
Judgment	\$0	Due	Paid Y N	Date
Satisfaction of Judgment F		☐ Y ⊠ N	1	
	No. of Victims:			
Comments: Comply with WA AGO	) Consent Decree 1	7-2-03467-3		

## STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS **DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING Whether there has been a violation of the Consumer Loan Act of Washington by:

No.: C-16-1936-17-CO02

PHH MORTGAGE CORPORATION,

**CONSENT ORDER** 

NMLS #2726,

Respondent.

7

6

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

COMES NOW the Acting Director of the Department of Financial Institutions (Director), through her designee Charles E. Clark, Division Director, Division of Consumer Services, and PHH Mortgage Corporation (Respondent), and finding that the issues raised in the above-captioned matter may be economically and efficiently settled, agree to the entry of this Consent Order. This Consent Order is entered pursuant to Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 31.04, the Consumer Loan Act (Act), and RCW 34.05.060 of the Administrative Procedure Act, based on the following:

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1.1 On or about November 4, 1999, Respondent obtained a license from the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Department) to conduct the business of a Consumer Loan Company and continues to be licensed to date.
- 1.2 On or about August 16, 2012, the Washington Supreme Court held that Mortgage Electronic Registrations Systems, Inc. (MERS) was not the "beneficiary" to a Deed of Trust in Washington as defined by RCW 61.24.005(2) if it did not hold the corresponding promissory note. Bain v. Metropolitan Mortgage Group, Inc., 175 Wn.2d 83, 110 (2012). The Bain court further held that "characterizing MERS as the beneficiary has the capacity to deceive...." *Id.* at 117.

24

	.	
1	1.3	Between August 16, 2012, and the date of this Consent Order, Respondent filed numerous
2	appoin	tment of successor trustee documents in several Washington county land records offices which
3	charac	terized MERS as the beneficiary when MERS did not hold the corresponding promissory note.
4		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
5	2.1	Based on the above Findings of Fact, the Director concludes that Respondent violated RCW
6	31.04.0	027(2) and (13) by directly or indirectly engaging in a deceptive practice toward any person
7	contrai	ry to applicable state law relating to activities governed by the Act.
8		AGREEMENT AND ORDER
9		The Department and Respondent have agreed upon a basis for resolution of the Findings of
10	Fact ar	nd Conclusions of Law identified in this Consent Order. Pursuant to RCW 31.04.093(7) and
11	RCW	34.05.060, Respondent and the Department agree to entry of this Consent Order and further
12	agree t	that the matters alleged herein may be economically and efficiently settled by the entry of this
13	Conse	nt Order.
14		Based upon the foregoing:
15	A.	Jurisdiction. It is AGREED that the Department has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the
16	activiti	es discussed herein.
17	B.	Waiver of Hearing. It is AGREED that Respondent hereby waives any right it has to a hearing
18	and an	y and all administrative and judicial review of the issues raised in this matter or the resolution
19	reache	d herein.
20	C.	No Admission of Liability. The parties intend this Consent Order to fully resolve the matters
21	allegec	d herein and agree that Respondent neither admits nor denies any wrongdoing by its entry.
22		
23		
24	CONSEN	T ORDER 2 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

D.

E.

- 11
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 20
- 22

23

shall be determined by the Department's Consumer Services Division, Examinations Unit. F. **Multistate Examination.** It is AGREED and understood that Respondent has recently been subjected to a multi-state examination of which the Department was a participant and for which no

tracking Washington appointments of successor trustee documents such that a report of activity may

be expeditiously prepared upon request by the Department. The sufficiency of any such methodology

**Compliance with Consent Decree.** It is AGREED that Respondent will comply with all

**Compliance with the Act.** It is AGREED that Respondent shall develop a methodology for

provisions of the Consent Decree entered into with the Attorney General of Washington under King

County cause 17-2-03467-3, which are incorporated herein by reference.

- resolution has yet been issued. It is further AGREED that this Consent Order does not address any aspect of said multistate examination, including fines, costs, fees, or sanctions, and shall not preclude the Department, or any other government entity participating in said multi-state examination, from
- addressing findings from said multi-state examination or fully participating in an action resulting
- G. **Non-Compliance with Order.** It is AGREED that Respondent understands that failure to abide by the terms and conditions of this Consent Order may result in further legal action by the Director. In the event of such legal action, Respondent may be responsible to reimburse the Director for the cost incurred in pursuing such action, including but not limited to, attorney fees.
- H. **Voluntarily Entered.** It is AGREED that Respondent has voluntarily entered into this Consent Order, which is effective when signed by the Director's designee.
- I. Completely Read, Understood, and Agreed. It is AGREED that Respondent's representatives have read this Consent Order in its entirety and fully understand and agree to all of the same.

3

from the multi-state examination.

1	J. Authority to Execute Order. It is AGREED that the undersigned authorized representative					
2	has represented and warranted that he has the full power and right to execute this Consent Order on					
3	behalf of Respondent.					
4	<b>K. Counterparts.</b> This Consent Order may be executed by the Respondent in any number of					
5	counterparts, including by facsimile or e-mail of a .pdf or similar file, each of which shall be deemed					
6	to be an original, but all of which, taken together, shall constitute one and the same Consent Order.					
7 8	RESPONDENT: PHH Mortgage Corporation By:					
9	/s/					
10	Stephen Staid Date Senior Vice President, Servicing					
11	APPROVED FOR ENTRY: By:					
13	/s/					
14	Lane Powell PC					
	Attorney for Respondent					
15	DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE					
16	THIS ORDER ENTERED THIS 31 <sup>st</sup> DAY OF March, 2017.					
17						
18	/s/ CHARLES E. CLARK					
19	Director Division of Consumer Services					
20	Department of Financial Institutions					
21	Presented by:					
22						
23	STEVEN C. SHERMAN					
24	Enforcement Chief  CONSENT ORDER 4 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS C-16-1936-17-CO02 Division of Consumer Services PHH Mortgage Corporation 150 Israel Rd SW					

PO Box 41200 Olympia, WA 98504-1200 (360) 902-8703