1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

Ü

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

FINAL ORDER – Anita M. Harrell-Gonzales C-08-176-08-FO01

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING
Whether there has been a violation of the
Mortgage Broker Practices Act of Washington by:

ANITA M. HARRELL-GONZALES, and TERRY W. GOBLE,

NO. C-08-176-08-FO02

FINAL ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST

ANITA M. HARRELL-GONZALES

Respondents.

I. DIRECTOR'S CONSIDERATION

A. Default. This matter has come before the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Director) pursuant to RCW 34.05.440(1). On May 21, 2008, the Director, through Consumer Services Division Director Deborah Bortner, entered a Temporary Order to Cease and Desist against Respondent Anita M. Harrell-Gonzales. A copy of the Temporary Order to Cease and Desist is attached and incorporated into this order by this reference. The Temporary Order to Cease and Desist was accompanied by a cover letter dated May 21, 2008, a Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, and a blank Application for Adjudicative Hearing for Anita M. Harrell-Gonzales. The Department served the Temporary Order to Cease and Desist, cover letter dated May 21, 2008, Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, and blank Applications for Adjudicative Hearing for Anita M. Harrell-Gonzales on Respondent Harrell-Gonzales on May 21, 2008, by first class mail, Federal Express overnight delivery, and personal service. The documents sent by Federal Express overnight delivery were delivered on May 22, 2008. The documents sent via first class mail were not returned to the Department by the United States Post Office. On May 21, 2008, Respondent Harrell-Gonzales spoke with a member of the Consumer Services Division and confirmed that she had received the documents served by personal service.

3

10

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21.

22

23

24

25

FINAL ORDER – Anita M. Harrell-Gonzales C-08-176-08-FO01

Respondent Harrell-Gonzales did not request an adjudicative hearing within twenty calendar days after the Department served her with the Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, as provided for in WAC 208-08-050(2).

- B. Record Presented. The record presented to the Director's designee for her review and for entry of a final decision included the Temporary Order to Cease and Desist, cover letter dated May 21, 2008, Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, and blank Application for Adjudicative Hearing for Anita M. Harrell-Gonzales, with documentation of service.
- C. <u>Factual Findings and Grounds For Order</u>. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.440(1), the Director's designee hereby adopts the Temporary Order to Cease and Desist, which is attached hereto.

II. FINAL ORDER

Based upon the foregoing, and the Director's designee having considered the record and being otherwise fully advised, NOW, THEREFORE:

- A. <u>IT IS HEREBY ORDERED</u>, that Respondent Anita M. Harrell-Gonzales shall permanently cease and desist from participating in the conduct of the affairs of any mortgage broker subject to licensure by the Director, in any manner, specifically including, but not limited to originating any residential mortgage loan, assisting with the origination of any residential mortgage loan, and holding himself out as able to assist any person with applying for or obtaining any residential mortgage loan.
- B. Reconsideration. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.470, Respondent Harrell-Gonzales has the right to file a Petition for Reconsideration stating the specific grounds upon which relief is requested. The Petition must be filed in the Office of the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions by courier at 150 Israel Road SW, Tumwater, Washington 98501, or by U.S. Mail at P.O. Box 41200, Olympia, Washington 98504-1200, within ten days of service of the Final Order upon Respondent Harrell-Gonzales. The Petition for Reconsideration shall not stay the effectiveness of this order nor is a Petition for Reconsideration a prerequisite for seeking judicial review in this matter.

25