STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF INVESTIGATING the Loan Originator License Application and Determining Whether there has been a violation under the Mortgage Broker Practices Act of Washington by:

NO. C-07-336-09-CO03

HAMED SEPEHRI (Loan Originator Applicant), SEATTLE EQUITY GROUP, INC. AND EVERGREEN PACIFIC SERVICES, INC., CONSENT ORDER HAMED SEPEHRI

Respondents.

COMES NOW the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions (Director), through his designee Deborah Bortner, Division Director, Division of Consumer Services, and Hamed Sepehri (hereinafter Respondent), by and through his attorney John Long, and finding that the issues raised in the above-captioned matter may be economically and efficiently settled, agree to the entry of this Consent Order. This Consent Order is entered pursuant to chapter 19.146 of Revised Code of Washington (RCW), and RCW 34.05.060 of the Administrative Procedure Act, based on the following:

AGREEMENT AND ORDER

The Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Consumer Services (Department) and Respondent have agreed upon a basis for resolution of the matters alleged in Statement of Charges No. C-07-336-08-SC01 (Statement of Charges), entered July 18, 2008, (copy attached hereto). Pursuant to chapter 19.146 RCW, the Mortgage Broker Practices Act (Act) and RCW 34.05.060 of the Administrative Procedure Act, Respondent hereby agrees to the Department's entry of this Consent Order and further agrees that the issues raised in the above-captioned matter may be economically and efficiently settled by entry of this Consent Order. The parties intend this Consent Order to fully resolve the Statement of Charges. Respondent agrees not to contest the Statement of Charges in consideration of the terms of this Consent Order.

Based upon the foregoing:

CONSENT ORDER C-07-336-09-CO03 HAMED SEPEHRI DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Division of Consumer Services
150 Israel Rd SW
PO Box 41200
Olympia, WA 98504-1200
(360) 902-8703

,	
1	A. Jurisdiction. It is AGREED that the Department has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the
2	activities discussed herein.
3	B. Waiver of Hearing. It is AGREED that Respondent has been informed of the right to a hearing
4	before an administrative law judge, and he hereby waives his right to a hearing and any and all administrative and
5	judicial review of the issues raised in this matter, or of the resolution reached herein. Accordingly, Respondent,
6	by his signature below, withdraws his appeal to the Office of Administrative Hearings.
7	C. License Denial. It is AGREED that Respondent's application for a loan originator license with the
8	Department is denied.
9	D. Not Apply. It is AGREED that Respondent will not apply for a loan originator, mortgage broker, or
10	consumer loan license issued by the Department for a period of 10 years.
11	E. Fine. It is AGREED that Respondent shall pay to the Department a fine of \$1,000, in the form of a
12	cashier's check made payable to the "Washington State Treasurer," upon entry of this Consent Order.
13	F. Investigation Fee. It is AGREED that Respondent shall pay to the Department an investigation fee
14	of \$2,100, in the form of a cashier's check made payable to the "Washington State Treasurer," upon entry of this
15	Consent Order. The fine in Paragraph E and investigation fee may be paid with one cashier's check.
16	G. Authority to Execute Order. It is AGREED that the undersigned Respondent has represented and
17	warranted that he has the full power and right to execute this Consent Order on behalf of the parties represented.
18	H. Non-Compliance with Order. It is AGREED that Respondent understands that failure to abide
19	by the terms and conditions of this Consent Order may result in further legal action by the Director. In the
20	event of such legal action, Respondent may be responsible to reimburse the Director for the cost incurred in
21	pursuing such action, including but not limited to, attorney fees.
22	I. No Admission of Liability. The parties intend this Consent Order to fully resolve the Statement of
23	Charges and agree that Respondent does not admit to any wrongdoing by its entry.
24	
25	
	CONSENT OPDER 2 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

CONSENT ORDER C-07-336-09-CO03 HAMED SEPEHRI

25

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF INVESTIGATING the Loan Originator License Application and Determining Whether there has been a violation under the Mortgage Broker Practices Act of Washington by:

HAMED SEPEHRI (Loan Originator Applicant), SEATTLE EQUITY GROUP, INC. AND EVERGREEN PACIFIC SERVICES, INC.,

Respondents.

NO. C-07-336-08-SC01

STATEMENT OF CHARGES and NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENTER AN ORDER TO DENY LICENSE APPLICATION, PROHIBIT FROM INDUSTRY, ORDER RESTITUTION, IMPOSE A FINE, AND COLLECT INVESTIGATION FEES

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to RCW 19.146.220 and RCW 19.146.223, the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Director) is responsible for the administration of chapter 19.146 RCW, the Mortgage Broker Practices Act (Act)¹. After having conducted an investigation pursuant to RCW 19.146.210, RCW 19.146.235 and RCW 19.146.310, and based upon the facts available as of the date of this Statement of Charges, the Director, through his designee, Division of Consumer Services Director Deborah Bortner, institutes this proceeding and finds as follows:

I. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

1.1 Respondents.

A. Respondent Hamed Sepehri (Respondent Sepehri) submitted an application to the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Department) for a loan originator license under Seattle Equity Group, Inc., a mortgage broker licensed under the Act. The on-line application was received by the Department on or about February 16, 2007. On November 15, 2007,

1

STATEMENT OF CHARGES C-07-336-08-SC01 Hamed Sepehri, Seattle Equity Group, Inc. and Evergreen Pacific Services, Inc.

¹ RCW 19.146 (1994 and 2006).

the Department sent a letter to Respondent Sepehri rejecting his application as incomplete because he did not provide proof to the Department that he was eligible to work in the United States. On November 27, 2007, Respondent Sepehri re-applied for his loan originator license under Nationwide Home Lending LLC, a mortgage broker licensed under the Act. Respondent Sepehri provided the Department with proof of his ability to work in the United States. On December 19, 2007, Respondent Sepehri established an additional relationship with Quality Express Mortgage Processing Center. As of the date of this Statement of Charges, Respondent Sepehri has not been issued a loan originator license.

- B. Respondent Seattle Equity Group, Inc. (Respondent Seattle Equity) was licensed by the Department to conduct business as a mortgage broker on August 2, 2006, and continues to be licensed to date.
- C. Respondent Evergreen Pacific Services, Inc. (Respondent Evergreen) was licensed by the Department to conduct business as a mortgage broker on March 21, 2001, and continues to be licensed to date.
- 1.2 Borrower FR. In May of 2006, Borrower FR was introduced to Respondent Sepehri through a third-party. Borrower FR informed Respondent Sepehri that he was interested in purchasing an apartment. Respondent Sepehri told Borrower FR that he should purchase a house rather than an apartment as he would make more money in the long term. Borrower FR informed Respondent Sepehri that he made roughly \$1,500 a month. Respondent Sepehri told Borrower FR that he could buy a house and rent it to someone else to cover the cost of the mortgage.
- A. Purchase #1. Borrower FR located a home to purchase in Bothell, Washington.

 Borrower FR applied for a loan (#32001842) with Respondent Sepehri on or about May 16, 2006.

 Borrower FR informed Respondent Sepehri he was not a U.S. Citizen, worked for the University of

Washington, was purchasing the home as an investment, and made roughly \$1,500 per month. Despite having this information, Respondent Sepehri falsely reported Borrower FR as the Marketing and Sales Manager for Abracadabra Carpet Cleaning (for the previous 4 years) making \$7,900 per month, was a U.S. Citizen, and was going to occupy the home (owner-occupied) as his primary residence. Respondent Sepehri falsely reported these items on the loan application to facilitate the approval of the loan knowing Borrower FR would not otherwise qualify.

When Borrower FR applied for the loan, Respondent Sepehri provided him with a Truth In Lending (TIL) statement that did not have the "Variable Rate Feature" box checked even though the loan applied for and eventually received by Borrower FR was an adjustable rate loan (ARM).

Respondent Sepehri was working for Respondent Evergreen as a loan originator at the time of this loan transaction. The loan funded on or about May 30, 2006, in the amount of \$360,000.

B. Purchase #2. After Borrower FR completed purchase #1, he inquired of Respondent Sepehri if he knew of an apartment he could rent for himself. Respondent Sepehri again told Borrower FR that he should not rent, but purchase a second home to live in. Borrower FR told Respondent Sepehri he could not afford to make payments on another home because he was already supplementing the mortgage payment for purchase #1. Respondent Sepehri informed Borrower FR that he could refinance purchase #1 which would lower the monthly payment as well as get him \$15,000 "cash-out" to facilitate the purchase of a second home.

On or about August 25, 2006, Borrower FR found a second home he wished to purchase located in Bothell, Washington and applied for a second residential home loan (#320035871) from Respondent Sepehri. Respondent Sepehri again falsely reported on the loan application that Borrower FR was the owner of Abracadabra Carpet Cleaning (for the previous 2.5 years), made \$9,500 (up from \$7,900) per month, and was a U.S. Citizen. Respondent Sepehri falsely reported these items on the

loan application to facilitate the approval of the loan knowing Borrower FR would not otherwise qualify.

When Borrower FR applied for the loan, Respondent Sepehri provided him with a Truth In Lending (TIL) statement that did not have the "Variable Rate Feature" box checked even though the loan applied for and eventually received by Borrower FR was an adjustable rate loan (ARM).

Respondent Sepehri was working for Respondent Evergreen as a loan originator at the time of this loan transaction. This loan funded on or about September 20, 2006, in the amount of \$365,000. Borrower FR did not refinance the loan for purchase #1 and never received the \$15,000 "cash-out" as promised by Respondent Sepehri.

C. Purchase #3. In September of 2006, Borrower FR was approached by Respondent Sepehri for the purpose of purchasing a third home. Respondent Sepehri knew Borrower FR had a fiancée and her parents wished to purchase a home of their own, but could not due to their credit. Respondent Sepehri told Borrower FR that if he purchased the home (a third-party purchaser), his fiancée's parents would make the mortgage payments. Respondent Sepehri assured Borrower FR that this situation would not cause him any problems and he would not have to worry about making any payments.

On or about September 26, 2006, Borrower FR found a third home he wished to purchase located in Bellevue, Washington and applied for a third residential home loan (#320038301) from Respondent Sepehri. Respondent Sepehri again falsely reported Borrower FR was the owner of Abracadabra Carpet Cleaning in Seattle (for the previous 4 years), Washington, made \$20,000 (up from \$9,500 one month prior) per month in salary, and was a U.S. Citizen. Respondent Sepehri falsely reported these items on the loan application to facilitate the approval of the loan knowing Borrower FR would not otherwise qualify.

Respondent Sepehri was working for Respondent Seattle Equity as a loan originator at the time of this loan transaction. The loan funded on or about October 6, 2006, in the amount of \$486,000.

1.3 On-Going Investigation. The Department's investigation into the alleged violations of the Act by Respondents continues to date.

II. GROUNDS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER

- 2.1 Prohibited Acts. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(1), (2), (3), (6), and (14) for directly or indirectly employing a scheme, device or artifice to defraud or mislead borrowers or lenders or any person, engaging in an unfair or deceptive practice toward any person, obtaining property by fraud or misrepresentation, failing to make disclosures to loan applicants and noninstitutional investors as required by RCW 19.146.030 and any other applicable state or federal law or failing to comply with any provision of RCW 19.146.030 through 19.146.080 or any rule adopted under those sections.
- **2.2** Requirement to Disclose Variable Rate Feature. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondent Sepehri fails to meet the requirements of RCW 19.146.030(2) by failing to disclose the variable rate feature.
- 2.3 Requirement to Demonstrate Character and General Fitness. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondent Sepehri fails to meet the requirements of RCW 19.146.310(1)(g) and WAC 208-660-350(2)(a) by failing to demonstrate character and general fitness such as to command the confidence of the community and to warrant a belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly within the purposes of the Act.

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

III. AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE SANCTIONS

- 3.1 Authority to Deny Application for Loan Originator License. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.220(1), the Director may deny licenses to loan originators. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.310(2) and WAC 208-660-350(7), the Director shall not issue a loan originator license if the conditions of RCW 19.146.310(1) have not been met by the applicant, and shall notify the loan originator applicant and any mortgage brokers listed on the application of the denial.
- 3.2 Authority to Prohibit from the Industry. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.220(2)(e)(i), the Director may issue orders removing from office or prohibiting from participation in the conduct of the affairs of a licensed mortgage broker, or both, any officer, principal, employee, or loan originator of any licensed mortgage broker or any person subject to licensing under the Act for any violation of RCW 19.146.0201(1) through (9) or (12), RCW 19.146.030, RCW 19.146.050, or RCW 19.146.200.
- **3.3 Authority to Impose Liability Upon Mortgage Broker.** Pursuant to RCW 19.146.245, a licensed mortgage broker is liable for any conduct violating the Act by a loan originator while employed or engaged by the licensed mortgage broker.
- **3.4 Authority to Impose Fine.** Pursuant to RCW 19.146.220(2)(c)(i) (1994) and RCW 19.146.220 (3) (2006), the Director may impose fines on a licensee, employee or loan originator of the licensee, or other person subject to the Act for any violations of RCW 19.146.0201(1) through (9) or (12), RCW 19.146.030, or RCW 19.146.050.
- **3.5 Authority to Order Restitution.** Pursuant to RCW 19.146.220(2)(d)(ii) (1994) the Director may order licensees or other persons subject to the Act to pay restitution to injured borrowers for any violation of the Act.
- 3.6 Authority to Collect Investigation Fee. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.228(2) (2006), WAC 208-660-520 and WAC 208-660-550(5)(a) (2006), the Department is entitled to collect the costs of any

STATEMENT OF CHARGES

C-07-336-08-SC01

V. AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE

This Statement of Charges and Notice of Intention to Enter an Order to Deny License Application, Prohibit from Industry, Order Restitution, Impose Fine and Collect Investigation Fees (Statement of Charges) is entered pursuant to the provisions of RCW 19.146.220, RCW 19.146.221, RCW 19.146.223 and RCW 19.146.230, and is subject to the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW (The Administrative Procedure Act). Respondents may make a written request for a hearing as set forth in the NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING accompanying this Statement of Charges.

Dated this day of July, 2008.

DEBORAH BORTNER

Director

Division of Consumer Services

Department of Financial Institutions

Presented by:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WILLIAM HALSTEAD Financial Legal Examiner

|| Approved by:

AMES R. BRUSSELBACK

Inforcement Chief

SAME IN WASHINGTON

8