11

13

12

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

25



STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING Whether there has been a violation of the Mortgage Broker Practices Act of Washington by:

MATTHEW H. SMITH, Loan Originator,

NO. C-07-026-09-FO03

FINAL ORDER

Respondent.

I. DIRECTOR'S CONSIDERATION

A. <u>Default</u>. This matter has come before the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Director), through his designee, Consumer Services Division Director Deborah Bortner, pursuant to RCW 34.05.440(2). On June 29, 2007, the Director, through Consumer Services Division Director Deborah Bortner, entered a Statement of Charges and Notice of Intention to Enter an Order to Prohibit from Industry and Collect Investigation Fee (Statement of Charges). A copy of the Statement of Charges is attached and incorporated into this order by this reference. The Statement of Charges was accompanied by a cover letter dated July 9, 2007, a Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, and a blank Application for Adjudicative Hearing for Matthew H. Smith. The Department served the Statement of Charges, cover letter dated July 9, 2007, Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, and blank Application for Adjudicative Hearing for Matthew H. Smith on Respondent on July 9, 2007, by First-Class mail and Federal Express overnight delivery.

On January 16, 2008, Respondent filed an Application for Adjudicative Hearing. On April 21, 2008, the Department made a request to the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) to assign an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) to schedule and conduct a hearing on the Statement of Charges. On July 17, 2008, OAH issued a Notice of Pre-Hearing Conference by Telephone scheduling a prehearing conference on Wednesday, August 6, 2008, at 8:30 a.m. On August 6, 2008, the Respondent and a representative for the Department called OAH to attend a telephonic prehearing conference, but the ALJ was not notified that the Respondent called and found Respondent in default. On August 11, 2008, the ALJ learned that the Respondent had timely called in for the hearing and the ALJ vacated the default finding. On March 20, 2009, OAH issued a Notice of Assignment of Administrative Law Judge assigning ALJ Gail G. Maurer to Respondent's appeal. The Notice was accompanied by an Order of Continuance of Prehearing Conference resetting the prehearing conference for April 17, 2009, at 2:30 p.m..

On April 17, 2009, the Respondent and a representative for the Department attended the telephonic prehearing conference. On April 30, 2009, ALJ Maurer issued a Prehearing Conference Order scheduling a hearing on July 30, 2009, at 9:00 a.m. On July 30, 2009, the hearing was convened by ALJ Maurer at 9:00 a.m. Respondent failed to appear and the Department moved for an order of default based on Respondent's failure to appear. ALJ Maurer found Respondent in default, and on August 4, 2009, issued an Order of Default and Dismissal (Order of Default) finding the Respondent in default and dismissing the Respondent's appeal of the Statement of Charges. ALJ Maurer sent the Order of Default to the address in Respondent's Application for Adjudicative Hearing on the same date.

¹ Due to difficulties locating Respondent, the Statement of Charges and Application for Adjudicative Hearing were delivered to Respondent on December 28, 2007.

	Pursuant to RCW 34.05.440(3), Respondent had seven days from the date of service of the
	Order of Default to file a written motion with OAH requesting that the Order of Default be vacated,
-	and stating the grounds relied upon. Respondent did not make a request to vacate during the statutory
	period. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.464 and WAC 10-08-211, Respondent had 20 days from the date of
	service of the Order of Default to file a Petition for Review of the Order of Default with the Director.
	Respondent did not file a Petition for Review during the statutory period.

- B. <u>Record Presented</u>. The record presented to the Director for review and for entry of a final decision included the following:
 - 1. Statement of Charges, cover letter dated July 9, 2007, and Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, with documentation of service;
 - 2. Application for Adjudicative Hearing for Matthew H. Smith;
 - 3. Request to OAH for Assignment of Administrative Law Judge;
 - 4. Notice of Pre-Hearing Conference by Telephone dated July 17, 2008, with documentation of service;
 - 5. Notice of Assignment of Administrative Law Judge dated March 20, 2009, with documentation of service;
 - 6. Order of Continuance of Prehearing Conference dated March 20, 2009, with documentation of service;
 - 7. PreHearing Conference Order and Notice of Hearing dated April 30, 2009, with documentation of service;
 - 8. Order of Default and Dismissal dated August 4, 2009, with documentation of service.
- C. <u>Factual Findings and Grounds For Order</u>. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.440(2), the Director hereby adopts the Statement of Charges, which is attached hereto.

II. FINAL ORDER

Based upon the foregoing, and the Director having considered the record and being otherwise fully advised, NOW, THEREFORE:

A. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that:

- 1. Respondent Matthew H. Smith is prohibited from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any mortgage broker licensed by the Department or any mortgage broker exempt from licensing under Washington law, in any manner, for a period of five years from the date of this Final Order; and
- 2. Respondent Matthew H. Smith shall pay an investigation fee of \$382.24.
- B. Reconsideration. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.470, Respondent has the right to file a Petition for Reconsideration stating the specific grounds upon which relief is requested. The Petition must be filed in the Office of the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions by courier at 150 Israel Road SW, Tumwater, Washington 98501, or by U.S. Mail at P.O. Box 41200, Olympia, Washington 98504-1200, within ten days of service of the Final Order upon Respondent. The Petition for Reconsideration shall not stay the effectiveness of this order nor is a Petition for Reconsideration a prerequisite for seeking judicial review in this matter.

A timely Petition for Reconsideration is deemed denied if, within 20 days from the date the petition is filed, the agency does not (a) dispose of the petition or (b) serve the parties with a written notice specifying the date by which it will act on a petition.

C. <u>Stay of Order</u>. The Director has determined not to consider a Petition to Stay the effectiveness of this order. Any such requests should be made in connection with a Petition for Judicial Review made under chapter 34.05 RCW and RCW 34.05.550.

- D. <u>Judicial Review</u>. Respondent has the right to petition the superior court for judicial review of this agency action under the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW. For the requirements for filing a Petition for Judicial Review, see RCW 34.05.510 and sections following.
- E. <u>Non-compliance with Order</u>. If you do not comply with the financial terms of this order within 30 days of service of this Final Order, the Department may seek its enforcement by the Office of Attorney General to include the collection of the fee imposed herein and lawful attorney fees.
- F. <u>Service.</u> For purposes of filing a Petition for Reconsideration or a Petition for Judicial Review, service is effective upon deposit of this order in the U.S. mail, declaration of service attached hereto.

DATED this 15 day of December 2009.



STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

SCOTT JARVIS DIRECTOR

Matthew H. Smith

6

11

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

Sales Agreement on behalf of the buyers and submitted it to the real estate agent for the Sellers. The purchase price stated on the Purchase and Sale Agreement was \$435,000, but the list price at the time was only \$415,000.

The Purchase and Sales Agreement included a document entitled "Addendum/Amendment," also dated September 14, 2006, proposing that the seller agree to pay the buyer the sum of \$50,000 at closing for "upgrades." This document states in large print, "NOT PART OF PURCHASE & SALES AGREEMENT."

The Purchase and Sales Agreement was faxed to the agent for the sellers on the same date, accompanied by a letter drafted by Respondent that stated in relevant part, "[The buyers] would like to get the funds to complete the commercial conversion from your seller, but we must do so outside the P&S Agreement, hence the second addendum." The faxed Purchase and Sales Agreement was also accompanied by a fax cover from Respondent that stated in relevant part, "\$462,500 final \$\$."

Respondent Smith told the sales agent for the seller that the \$50,000 payment outside of closing needed to be done secretly and should not be mentioned to anyone. This alarmed the seller's agent, who reported the matter to her supervisor, Wayne Snoey. Mr. Snoey confronted Respondent Smith and asked for the name of the lender who had agreed to fund a loan that had \$50,000 going back to the buyer. Respondent Smith did not provide the name of a lender, but claimed it was the type of transaction he did "all the time."

1.3 On-Going Investigation. The Department's investigation into the alleged violations of the Act by Respondent Smith continues to date.

II. GROUNDS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER

- 2.1 Definition of Mortgage Broker. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.010(12) and WAC 208-660-010(29), "Mortgage Broker" means any person who, for compensation or gain, or in the expectation of compensation or gain (a) makes a residential mortgage loan or assists a person in obtaining or applying to obtain a residential mortgage loan or (b) holds himself or herself out as being able to make a residential mortgage loan or assist a person in obtaining or applying to obtain a residential mortgage loan.
- 2.2 Prohibited Acts. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondent Smith is in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(1) and (2) by directly or indirectly employing a scheme, device, or

V. AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE

This Statement of Charges and Notice of Intention to Enter an Order to Prohibit from Industry (Statement of Charges) is entered pursuant to the provisions of RCW 19.146.220, RCW 19.146.221, RCW 19.146.223, and RCW 19.146.230, and is subject to the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW (The Administrative Procedure Act). Respondent may make a written request for a hearing as set forth in the NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING accompanying this Statement of Charges.

Dated this 2007.

DEBORAH BORTNER

Director

Division of Consumer Services

Department of Financial Institutions

Presented by:

STEVEN C. SHERMAN Financial Legal Examiner

19 Approved by:

22 Inforcement Chief

23 24

20

21

25