## STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

GRANMOND CORPORATION and ORLANDO K. ASKINS, President, Owner, and

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING Whether there has been a violation of the

Mortgage Broker Practices Act of Washington by:

Designated Broker,

Respondents.

NO. C-05-251-07-FO01

FINAL ORDER

## I. DIRECTOR'S CONSIDERATION

This matter has come before the Director of the Department of Default. Α. Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Director), through his designee, Consumer Services Division Director Deborah Bortner, pursuant to RCW 34.05.440(1). On August 1, 2007, the Director, through Consumer Services Division Director Deborah Bortner, entered a Statement of Charges and Notice of Intention to Enter an Order to Revoke License, Collect Annual Assessment, Impose fine, Prohibit from Industry, and Collect Investigation Fee (Statement of Charges). A copy of the Statement of Charges is attached and incorporated into this order by this reference. The Statement of Charges was accompanied by a cover letter dated August 1, 2007, a Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, and blank Applications for Adjudicative Hearing for Granmond Corporation and Orlando K. Askins. The Department served the Statement of Charges, cover letter dated August 1, 2007, Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, and blank Applications for Adjudicative Hearing for Granmond Corporation and Orlando K. Askins on Respondents on August 1, 2007, by first class mail and Federal Express overnight delivery. The documents sent by Federal Express overnight delivery to Orlando K. Askins were signed for on

25

23

24

25

August 2, 2007, by Respondent Askins. The documents sent by Federal Express overnight delivery to Respondent Granmond Corporation were signed for on August 3, 2007, by Respondent Granmond Corporation's receptionist L. Beltran. The documents sent via first class mail were not returned to the Department by the United States Post Office.

Respondent Granmond Corporation did not request an adjudicative hearing within twenty calendar days after the Department served the company with the Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, as provided for in WAC 208-08-050(2).

Respondent Orlando K. Askins did not request an adjudicative hearing within twenty calendar days after the Department served him with the Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, as provided for in WAC 208-08-050(2).

- B. <u>Record Presented</u>. The record presented to the Director's designee for her review and for entry of a final decision included the Statement of Charges, cover letters dated August 1, 2007, Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, and blank Applications for Adjudicative Hearing for Granmond Corporation and Orlando K. Askins, with documentation of service.
- C. <u>Factual Findings and Grounds For Order</u>. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.440(1), the Director's designee hereby adopts the Statement of Charges, which is attached hereto.

## II. FINAL ORDER

Based upon the foregoing, and the Director's designee having considered the record and being otherwise fully advised, NOW, THEREFORE:

## A. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, That:

- 1. Respondents Granmond Corporation and Orlando K. Askins' license to conduct the business of a Mortgage Broker is revoked; and
- 2. Respondent Orlando K. Askins' license to conduct the business of a Loan Originator is revoked; and

PO Box 41200

(360) 902-8703

Olympia, WA 98504-1200

C-05-251-07-FO01

21

22

23

24

25

A timely Petition for Reconsideration is deemed denied if, within twenty (20) days from the date the petition is filed, the agency does not (a) dispose of the petition or (b) serve the parties with a written notice specifying the date by which it will act on a petition.

- C. <u>Stay of Order.</u> The Director's designee has determined not to consider a Petition to Stay the effectiveness of this order. Any such requests should be made in connection with a Petition for Judicial Review made under chapter 34.05 RCW and RCW 34.05.550.
- D. <u>Judicial Review</u>. Respondents have the right to petition the superior court for judicial review of this agency action under the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW. For the requirements for filing a Petition for Judicial Review, see RCW 34.05.510 and sections following.
- E. <u>Non-compliance with Order</u>. If you do not comply with the terms of this order, the Department may seek its enforcement by the Office of Attorney General to include the collection of the fines, fees, and restitution imposed herein.
- F. <u>Service</u>. For purposes of filing a Petition for Reconsideration or a Petition for Judicial Review, service is effective upon deposit of this order in the U.S. mail, declaration of service attached hereto.

DATED this day of September, 2007.

TOWNER AND MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE PA

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

DEBORAH BORTNER

DIRECTOR

**DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES**