# STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS CONSUMER SERVICES DIVISION

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

NO. C-04-218-07-FO01

FINAL ORDER

# I. DIRECTOR'S CONSIDERATION

Respondents.

A. Procedural History. This matter has come before the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington ("Director") pursuant to RCW 34.05.440(2) and RCW 34.05.464. On November 2, 2004, the former Director, through former Consumer Services Division Director Chuck Cross (Division Director Cross), entered a Temporary Order to Cease and Desist ("TCD"), a copy of which is attached to and made a part of this order by this reference. The TCD was accompanied by a Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing and blank Applications for Adjudicative Hearing for Cash U.S.A., Inc. ("Cash USA"), Nilo Tuazon ("N. Tuazon"), President, Chief Executive Officer and Owner, and Marita Tuazon ("M. Tuazon"), Secretary, Vice-President and Owner. The Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington ("Department") served the TCD, Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, and blank Applications for Adjudicative Hearing for Cash USA, N. Tuazon, and M. Tuazon on Respondents on November 2, 2004 by ABC Legal Services.

1

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING

Whether there has been a violation of the

CASH U.S.A., INC., and

and Owner, and

Owner.

Check Cashers and Sellers Act of Washington by:

NILO TUAZON, President, Chief Executive Officer

MARITA TUAZON, Secretary, Vice-President and

On November 2, 2004, Respondents Cash USA and M. Tuazon filed applications for adjudicative hearing. On November 3, 2004, the Department made a request to the Office of Administrative Hearings ("OAH") to assign an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") to schedule and conduct a hearing on the TCD. On November 12, 2004, OAH issued a Notice of Assignment of Administrative Law Judge assigning ALJ Michelle C. Mentzer ("ALJ Mentzer") to preside over prehearing and hearing proceedings and issue an Initial Decision.

On December 3, 2004, ALJ Mentzer issued an Order for Telephonic Prehearing Conference scheduling a prehearing conference for Tuesday, December 21, 2004 at 11:00 a.m. On December 17, 2004, ALJ Mentzer issued a Corrected Order for Telephonic Prehearing Conference scheduling a prehearing conference for Tuesday, December 21, 2004 at 12:00 p.m. On December 20, 2004, Respondent N. Tuazon filed an Answer with Special and Affirmative Defenses dated December 16, 2004. On December 21, 2004, all parties attended a telephonic prehearing conference. On December 23, 2004, ALJ Mentzer issued a Prehearing Conference Order and Notice of Hearing scheduling the hearing for January 13, 2005.

On January 13, 2005, the hearing was conducted by ALJ Mentzer with all parties in attendance. On February 14, 2005, ALJ Mentzer issued an Initial Order Continuing Temporary Order to Cease and Desist ("Initial Order Continuing TCD"), with copies of Chapter 31.45 RCW and Chapter 208-630 WAC attached as Appendix A, ordering that the provisions of the TCD remain in effect pending issuance and final resolution of a Statement of Charges. On February 14, 2005, ALJ Mentzer served the Initial Order Continuing TCD on Respondents via first class mail.

On June 15, 2005, the Director, through Division Director Cross, entered a Statement of Charges and Notice of Intention to Enter an Order to Deny License Application, Impose Fine, Order

Restitution, Ban from Industry, and Collect Investigation Fee ("Statement of Charges"), a copy of which is attached to and made a part of this order by this reference. The Statement of Charges was accompanied by a cover letter dated June 14, 2005, a Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, and blank Applications for Adjudicative Hearing for Cash USA, N. Tuazon, and M. Tuazon. The Department served the Statement of Charges, cover letter dated June 14, 2005, Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, and blank Applications for Adjudicative Hearing for Cash USA, N. Tuazon, and M. Tuazon on Respondents and on Respondents' attorney, Jason E. Anderson, on June 15, 2005, by first class mail and on June 16, 2005 by Federal Express overnight delivery.

On July 1, 2005, Respondents each filed an Application for Adjudicative Hearing. On July 5, 2005, the Department made a request to OAH to assign an ALJ to schedule and conduct a hearing on the Statement of Charges. On July 15, 2005, OAH issued a Notice of Re-Assignment of Administrative Law Judge assigning ALJ Mentzer to preside over prehearing and hearing proceedings and issue an Initial Decision. On August 31, 2005, ALJ Mentzer issued an Order for Telephonic Prehearing Conference scheduling a prehearing conference on Thursday, September 15, 2005 at 10:00 a.m.

On September 21, 2005, OAH issued a Notice of Re-Assignment of Administrative Law Judge assigning ALJ Michael W. Furtado ("ALJ Furtado") to preside over prehearing and hearing proceedings and issue an Initial Decision. On October 31, 2005, ALJ Furtado issued an Order for Telephonic Prehearing Conference scheduling a prehearing conference on Monday, November 28, 2005 at 8:00 a.m. On November 30, 2005, ALJ Furtado issued an Order for Telephonic Prehearing Conference granting Respondents' request to reschedule the prehearing conference to Monday,

December 19, 2005 at 8:00 a.m. On December 19, 2005, representatives for all parties attended a telephonic prehearing conference.

On December 20, 2005, ALJ Furtado issued a Prehearing Conference Order and Notice of Hearing allowing the Department to file a Motion for Summary Judgment postmarked by April 3, 2006, allowing Respondents to file a Response postmarked by April 17, 2006, scheduling a hearing on the Motion for Summary Judgment by telephone on May 1, 2006, and scheduling a hearing on the Statement of Charges on May 30, 2005. On December 30, 2005, ALJ Furtado issued a Corrected Prehearing Conference Order and Notice of Hearing changing the date for the hearing on the Statement of Charges to May 30, 2006.

On March 31, 2006, the Department filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, including a memorandum and two declarations in support of the Motion, requesting that the Statement of Charges be affirmed in all respects, except for the amount of restitution owed by Respondents under Paragraph 3.3 of the Statement of Charges, which required a brief hearing.

On May 1, 2006, representatives for all parties attended a telephonic hearing on the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.

On May 10, 2006, ALJ Furtado issued an Initial Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary

Judgment and Instructions Regarding Respondent Filing Bankruptcy with a copy of the Initial Order

Continuing Temporary Order to Cease and Desist attached as Exhibit A thereof, granting the

Department's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment in its entirety. In particular, this Initial Order —

- 1. Affirmed the sanctions imposed by the Department's Statement of Charges in:
  - a. Paragraph 3.1 (denying Respondent Cash USA's application for a license to conduct the business of a Check Casher with a Small Loan Endorsement);

- b. Paragraph 3.2 (ordering Respondents Cash USA, N. Tuazon and M. Tuazon to jointly and severally pay a fine of \$65,000.00 for violations of specific provisions of RCW
   31.45, the Check Cashers and Sellers Act of Washington);
- c. Paragraph 3.4 (banning Respondent Cash USA from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any check casher or seller subject to licensure by the Director, in any manner, for a period of ten years);
- d. Paragraph 3.5 (banning Respondent N. Tuazon from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any check casher or seller subject to licensure by the Director, in any manner, for a period of ten years);
- e. Paragraph 3.6 (banning Respondent M. Tuazon from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any check casher or seller subject to licensure by the Director, in any manner, for a period of ten years); and
- f. Paragraph 3.7 (ordering Respondents Cash USA, N. Tuazon and M. Tuazon to jointly and severally pay an investigation fee of \$15,015.52);
- 2. Ordered Respondents Cash USA, N. Tuazon and M. Tuazon, pursuant to Paragraph 3.3 of the Statement of Charges, to jointly and severally pay restitution to all affected borrowers for:
  - a. Any interest or fees collected on small loans from July 27, 2003 through June 15, 2005;
  - Any fees collected related to delinquent small loans collected from July 27, 2003
     through June 15, 2005; and
  - c. Any fees collected related to payment plans collected from July 27, 2003 through June
     15, 2005; and

 Ordered and adjudged that there was a material issue of fact concerning the amount of restitution owed, which needed to be proven at the hearing scheduled for May 30, 2006.

On May 10, 2006, ALJ Furtado mailed the Initial Order to Respondents and their counsel.

Under RCW 34.05.464 and WAC 10-08-211, Respondents had twenty (20) days from the date this

Initial Order was served to file a Petition for Review of the Initial Decision and Order.

Respondents have not filed a Petition for Review.

On May 31, 2006, ALJ Furtado issued a Notice of Postponement of Hearing, and New Prehearing Conference, granting the parties' request to postpone the hearing scheduled for May 30, 2006, in order to evaluate the effect of Respondents' filing of bankruptcy and scheduling a telephonic prehearing conference for Wednesday, July 19, 2006 at 8:30 a.m.

On July 25, 2006, ALJ Furtado issued a Notice of Hearing and Pre-Hearing Readiness Conference, granting the parties' request to delay the hearing so the bankruptcy issue could be resolved, scheduling a telephonic pre-hearing readiness conference for November 6, 2006 at 9:00 a.m., and scheduling a hearing for November 14, 2006. Part 4 of this Notice indicated "DEFAULT. A party who fails to appear or participate in a hearing or other stage of an adjudicative proceeding may be held in default in accordance with RCW 34.05.440."

On November 10, 2006, counsel for Respondents filed a Notice of Withdrawal and Request for Stay, including a declaration regarding the Notice, requesting to be allowed to immediately withdraw as counsel for Respondents at Respondents' direction and requesting that the hearing be stayed until March 2007. ALJ Furtado received the Notice on November 13, 2006. On November 14, 2006, representatives for the Department and Respondents' counsel appeared for the hearing to determine the amount of restitution owed by Respondents. At the commencement of the hearing, ALJ Furtado

allowed Respondents' counsel to withdraw. Respondents did not appear at the hearing. ALJ Furtado proceeded with the hearing, and the Department presented the testimony of one witness to explain exhibits relating to restitution, which had been submitted to ALJ Furtado and Respondents six months prior to this hearing. On November 22, 2006, ALJ Furtado issued Initial Orders on: Notice of Withdrawal, Motion to Continue, and Amount of Restitution Owed, with a schedule of restitution owed, to specific borrowers attached as Exhibit A. These Initial Orders —

- 1. Affirmed Respondents' counsel's Notice of Withdrawal;
- 2. Denied Respondents' Motion to Continue;
- 3. Found Respondents in default pursuant to RCW 34.05.440(2);
- 4. Affirmed the Department's determination that the total interest and fees collected on small loans by Respondents from July 27, 2003 through July 27, 2005 was \$242,110.68;
- Ordered such interest and fees be payable to individual borrowers in the specific amounts set forth in <u>Exhibit A</u>;
- 6. Ordered that payment of such restitution shall be as follows:
  - a. Payment of restitution for interest and fees to borrowers totaling
     \$242,110.68 shall be evidenced by Respondents providing the Department
     with copies of the front and back of cancelled restitution checks payable to
     the specific borrowers set forth in *Exhibit A*;
  - b. Evidence that Respondents have discharged a borrower's outstanding debt,
     up to the amount set forth in *Exhibit A*, may be provided as evidence of total or partial satisfaction of restitution; and

23

24

25

c. In the event that Respondents are unable to locate specific borrowers to make restitution, Respondents shall submit such restitution to the Washington State Department of Revenue as unclaimed property and provide the Department with evidence of the submittal and payment.

On November 22, 2006, ALJ Furtado mailed the Initial Orders to Respondents and their withdrawn counsel.

On December 7, 2006, ALJ Furtado issued Corrected Initial Orders on: Notice of Withdrawal, Motion to Continue, and Amount of Restitution Owed with a schedule of restitution owed to specific borrowers attached as Exhibit A, correcting typographical errors in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. On December 7, 2006, ALJ Furtado mailed the Initial Orders to Respondents and their withdrawn counsel.

Under RCW 34.05.440(3), Respondents had seven (7) days from the date the Initial Order was served to file a Petition to Vacate the Order of Default. Respondents have not filed a Petition to Vacate the Order of Default. Under RCW 34.05.464 and WAC 10-08-211, Respondents had twenty (20) days from the date the Initial Order was served to file a Petition for Review of the Initial Decision and Order. Respondents have not filed a Petition for Review.

- B. <u>Record Presented</u>. The record presented to the Director for his review and for entry of a final decision included the following:
  - Temporary Order to Cease and Desist, and Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, with documentation of service;
  - 2. Applications for Adjudicative Hearing for Cash U.S.A., Inc. and Nilo Tuazon;
  - 3. Request to OAH for Assignment of Administrative Law Judge;

1	4. Notice of Assignment of Administrative Law Judge dated November 12, 2004, with
2	documentation of service;
3	5. Order for Telephonic Prehearing Conference dated December 3, 2004, with
4	documentation of service;
5	6. Corrected Order for Telephonic Prehearing Conference dated December 3, 2004, with
6	documentation of service;
7 8	7. Respondents' Answer with Special and Affirmative Defenses dated December 16,
9	2004;
10	8. Prehearing Conference Order and Notice of Hearing dated December 23, 2004, with
11	documentation of service;
12	9. Initial Order Continuing Temporary Order to Cease and Desist dated February 14,
13	2005, with documentation of service;
14	10. Statement of Charges, cover letters dated June 14, 2005, and Notice of Opportunity to
15	Defend and Opportunity for Hearing, with documentation of service;
16	11. Applications for Adjudicative Hearing for Cash U.S.A., Inc., Nilo Tuazon and Marita
17 18	Tuazon;
19	12. Request to OAH for Assignment of Administrative Law Judge;
20	13. Notice of Re-Assignment of Administrative Law Judge dated July 15, 2005, with
21	documentation of service;
22	14. Order for Telephonic Prehearing Conference dated August 31, 2005, with
23	documentation of service;
24	
25	

11	
1	15. Notice of Re-Assignment of Administrative Law Judge dated September 21, 2005,
2	with documentation of service;
3	16. Order for Telephonic Prehearing Conference dated October 31, 2005, with
4	documentation of service;
5	17. Order for Telephonic Prehearing Conference dated November 30, 2005, with
6	documentation of service;
7	18. Prehearing Conference Order and Notice of Hearing dated December 20, 2005, with
8	documentation of service;
9	
10	19. Corrected Prehearing Conference Order and Notice of Hearing dated December 30,
11	2005, with documentation of service;
12	20. Department's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment dated March 31, 2006, with
13	Memorandum and two Declarations in support of Motion;
14	21. Initial Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Instructions
15	Regarding Respondent Filing Bankruptcy dated May 10, 2006, with documentation of
16	service;
17 18	22. Notice of Postponement of Hearing, and New Prehearing Conference dated May 31,
19	2005 [sic], with documentation of service;
20	23. Notice of Hearing and Pre-Hearing Readiness Conference dated November 14, 2006,
21	with documentation of service;
22	
23	24. Notice of Withdrawal and Request for Stay with Declaration Regarding Notice;
24	25. Initial Orders on: Notice of Withdrawal, Motion to Continue, and Amount of
25	Restitution Owed dated November 22, 2006, with documentation of Service;
	10

26.	Corrected	Initial	Orders	on:	Notice	of	Withdrawal,	Motion	to	Continue,	and	Amount
	of Restitut	tion Ov	ved date	ed D	ecembe	er 7	, 2006, with	docume	ntai	tion of Ser	vice.	

- C. <u>Factual Findings and Grounds For Order</u>. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.461 and there appearing from the record to be no triable issue of material fact, the Director hereby adopts
  - The Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order in the Initial Order Continuing Temporary Order to Cease and Desist, which is attached hereto without Appendix A thereof (copies of Chapter 31.45 RCW and Chapter 208-630 WAC);
  - 2. The Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order in the Initial Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Instructions Regarding Respondent Filing Bankruptcy, which is attached hereto without Exhibit A thereof (copy of Initial Order Continuing Temporary Order to Cease and Desist); and
  - The Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order in the Corrected Initial Orders on: Notice of Withdrawal, Motion to Continue, and Amount of Restitution Owed, which is attached hereto.
- C. <u>Dismissal of Chapter 11 Bankruptcy</u>. The Director takes official notice of the dismissal, on August 11, 2006, by Judge Samuel J. Steiner, U.S. Bankruptcy Judge for the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Washington, of Case No. 06-11407 therein, which was a Chapter 11 Petition previously filed by N. Tuazon and M. Tuazon. Respondents N. Tuazon and M. Tuazon, who were represented by legal counsel, Jason Anderson, never supplied ALJ Furtado or the Department with any evidence, legal authority or argument as to the applicability, if any, of "automatic stay" under Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code (11 U.S.C. § 362) to this administrative proceeding. However, the Director has

determined that the effect of such filing has no prospective validity or bearing on the decision and final order herein, because of the dismissal of said Chapter 11 Petition on August 11, 2006.

## II. FINAL ORDER

Based upon the foregoing, and the Director having considered the record and being otherwise fully advised, NOW, THEREFORE:

### A. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. That Respondent Cash U.S.A. Inc.'s application for a license to conduct the business of a Check Casher with a Small Loan Endorsement is denied;
- 2. That Respondents Cash U.S.A., Inc., Nilo Tuazon, and Marita Tuazon, jointly and severally, pay a fine of \$65,000.00 for:
  - a. Engaging in the business of a check casher making small loans without a check casher license with a small loan endorsement, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 383 days;
  - b. Failing to disclose the terms of small loans to borrowers, calculated at \$75.00 per day for 30 days;
  - Making small loans in excess of the statutory maximum, calculated at \$100.00
     per day for 30 days;
  - d. Charging interest or fees on small loans in excess of the statutory maximum,
     calculated at \$100.00 per day for 30 days;
  - e. Accepting multiple postdated checks for small loans, calculated at \$75.00 per day for 30 days;

2425

- f. Allowing small loans to be repaid with the proceeds of successive small loans,
   calculated at \$100.00 per day for 30 days;
- g. Charging fees on delinquent small loans in excess of the statutory maximum, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 30 days;
- h. Charging fees on small loan payment plans in excess of statutory maximum,
   calculated at \$100.00 per day for 30 days; and
- i. Violating an Order of the Director, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 72 days;
- 3. That Respondents Cash U.S.A. Inc., Nilo Tuazon, and Marita Tuazon, jointly and severally, pay \$242,110.68 in restitution to individual borrowers in the specific amounts listed in the schedule [attached as *Exhibit A* and made a part hereof] to the Corrected Initial Orders on: Notice of Withdrawal, Motion to Continue, and Amount of Restitution Owed attached hereto, for all interest and fees collected on small loans originated without a license from July 2003 through June 15, 2005; and that payment of restitution and other requirements associated therewith shall be as follows:
  - a. Payment of restitution shall be evidenced by Respondents providing the
     Department with copies of the front and back of cancelled restitution checks
     payable to the specific borrowers set forth in <u>Exhibit A</u>;
  - b. Evidence that the Respondents have discharged a specific borrower's outstanding debt, up to the amount set forth in <u>Exhibit A</u>, may be provided as evidence of total or partial satisfaction of restitution due to that specific borrower, as applicable to each specific borrower; and

- c. In the event that the Respondents are unable to locate specific borrowers to
  make restitution, Respondents shall submit such restitution to the Washington
  State Department of Revenue as unclaimed property and provide the
  Department with evidence of the submittal and payment;
- 4. That Respondent Cash U.S.A., Inc. is banned from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any check casher or seller subject to licensure by the Director, in any manner, for a period of ten (10) years;
- 5. That Respondent Nilo Tuazon is banned from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any check casher or seller subject to licensure by the Director, in any manner, for a period of ten (10) years;
- 6. That Respondent Marita Tuazon is banned from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any check casher or seller subject to licensure by the Director, in any manner, for a period of ten (10) years; and
- 7. Respondents Cash U.S.A., Inc., Nilo Tuazon, and Marita Tuazon, jointly and severally, pay an investigation fee of \$15,015.52.
- B. <u>RECONSIDERATION</u>. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.470, Respondents have the right to file a Petition for Reconsideration stating the specific grounds upon which relief is requested. The Petition must be filed in the Office of the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions by courier at 150 Israel Road SW, Tumwater, Washington 98501, or by U.S. Mail at P.O. Box 41200, Olympia, Washington 98504-1200, within ten (10) days of service of the Final Order upon Respondents. The Petition for Reconsideration shall not stay the effectiveness of this order nor is a Petition for Reconsideration a prerequisite for seeking judicial review in this matter.

2

A timely Petition for Reconsideration is deemed denied if, within twenty (20) days from the date the petition is filed, the agency does not (a) dispose of the petition or (b) serve the parties with a written notice specifying the date by which it will act on a petition.

- C. <u>STAY OF ORDER</u>. The Director has determined not to consider a Petition to Stay the effectiveness of this order. Any such requests should be made in connection with a Petition for Judicial Review made under chapter 34.05 RCW and RCW 34.05.550.
- D. <u>JUDICIAL REVIEW</u>. Respondents have the right to petition the superior court for judicial review of this agency action under the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW. For the requirements for filing a Petition for Judicial Review, see RCW 34.050.510 and sections following.
- E. <u>NON-COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER</u>. If you do not comply with the terms of this order, the Department may seek its enforcement by the Office of Attorney General to include the collection of the fines, fees and restitution imposed herein.
- F. <u>SERVICE</u>. For purposes of filing a Petition for Reconsideration or a Petition for Judicial Review, service is effective upon deposit of this order in the U.S. mail, declaration of service attached hereto.

DATED this 23 rd day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2007



STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

SCOTT JARVIS DIRECTOR

1 STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS 2 CONSUMER SERVICES DIVISION 3 IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING NO. C-04-218-05-SC01 Whether there has been a violation of the 4 Check Cashers and Sellers Act of Washington by: 5 STATEMENT OF CHARGES and CASH U.S.A., INC., and NILO TUAZON, President, Chief Executive NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENTER 6 Officer and Owner, and AN ORDER TO DENY LICENSE APPLICATION, IMPOSE FINE, ORDER MARITA TUAZON, Secretary, Vice-President and 7 RESTITUTION, BAN FROM INDUSTRY, Owner, AND COLLECT INVESTIGATION FEE Respondents. 8 9 INTRODUCTION 10 Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110 and RCW 31.45.200, the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions 11 of the State of Washington (Director) is responsible for the administration of chapter 31.45 RCW, the Check Cashers and Sellers Act (Act). The referenced statutes (RCW) and rules (WAC) are attached, in pertinent part. 12 After having conducted an investigation pursuant to RCW 31.45.100, and based upon the facts available as of June 13 15, 2005 the Director institutes this proceeding and finds as follows: 14 15 I. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS 16 1.1 Respondents. 17 Cash U.S.A., Inc. (Cash USA) submitted an application to the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Department) for a license to conduct the business of a check casher with a 18 small loan endorsement at the following location: 19 18230 East Valley Road, Suite 141 20 Kent, Washington 98032 21 The application was received by the Department on November 8, 2004. 22 Nilo Tuazon (N. Tuazon) is listed as President, Chief Executive Officer and Owner of B.

STATEMENT OF CHARGES C-04-218-05-SC01 Cash USA, Inc. and Nilo Tuazon and Marita Tuazon

Respondent Cash USA in the application.

23

24

25

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Division of Consumer Services
150 Israel Rd SW
PO Box 41200
Olympia, WA 98504-1200
(360) 902-8795

- C. **Marita Tuazon** is listed as Secretary, Vice-President and Owner of Respondent Cash USA in the application.
- **1.2 Locations.** For at least the period beginning July 2003 through January 13, 2005, Respondents have conducted business from the following locations:
  - A. 6951 Martin Luther King Way, Ste 103 & 104, Seattle, WA 98118.
  - B. 18230 East Valley Highway, Ste 141, Kent, WA 98032.
- 1.3 Check Casher License with Small Loan Endorsement. To date, the Department has not issued a license to any of the Respondents to conduct the business of a check casher with a small loan endorsement. To date, the Department has not issued a license to any person to conduct the business of a check casher with a small loan endorsement from either of the addresses listed in paragraph 1.2.

# 1.4 Temporary Order to Cease and Desist.

- A. On November 2, 2004, the Department issued Temporary Order to Cease and Desist C-04-218-04-TD01 (TCD) and served the TCD on Respondents. The TCD ordered Respondents to immediately cease and desist from: the making of any small loans and from all small loan activity regulated under the Act at any locations; and engaging in any loan business or from negotiation of loan activity regulated under the Act at any locations. Respondents filed timely applications requesting an adjudicative hearing on the TCD.
- B. On December 20, 2004, Respondent N. Tuazon filed an Answer with Affirmative Defenses (Answer Pleading) on behalf of all Respondents.
- C. On January 13, 2005, an adjudicative hearing was conducted in Tacoma Washington by the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH). Administrative Law Judge Michelle C. Mentzer (ALJ Mentzer) presided over the hearing.
- D. On February 14, 2005, ALJ Mentzer issued an Initial Order Continuing Temporary Order to Cease and Desist (Initial Order), making specific findings of fact and conclusions of law and ordering that the provisions of the TCD shall remain in effect pending issuance and final resolution of a Statement of Charges in this matter.

24

25

23

21

1.5 Unauthorized Making of Small Loans. Respondents have engaged in the business of making small loans from the addresses listed in paragraph 1.2 from at least July 2003 through January 13, 2005.

- A. On November 2, 2004, Subpoena to Produce Records C-04-218-04-SB01 (Subpoena) was issued by the Department and served on Respondents concurrently with the TCD discussed in paragraph 1.4. Pursuant to the subpoena, Department personnel obtained small loan files for over one hundred twenty-five (125) borrowers from Respondents. According to these small loan files, all of these borrowers had outstanding small loans due to Respondents as of November 2, 2004, with principal balances totaling over ninety thousand dollars (\$90,000.00).
- B. Pursuant to the subpoena discussed in paragraph 1.5A, Department personnel obtained loan activity schedules from Respondents for the period from January 2004 through November 2, 2004. According to these loan activity schedules, Respondents made over one million eighty-four thousand dollars (\$1,084,000.00) in small loans and collected over one hundred seventy-seven thousand dollars (\$177,000.00) in interest on small loans during this period.
- C. From June 2003 through October 2004, Respondents made over four hundred fifteen thousand dollars (\$415,000.00) in deposits and over four hundred seven thousand dollars (\$407,000.00) in withdrawals in their operating account at Bank of America, NA.
- D. According to financial statements provided to the Department by Respondents as part of their license application materials, Respondent Cash USA earned over one hundred fifty-five thousand dollars (\$155,000.00) in fees between January 1, 2004 and September 30, 2004, and had notes receivable totaling over one hundred seventy thousand dollars (\$170,000.00) as of September 30, 2004.
- E. At least ten (10) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that they obtained small loans from Respondents Cash USA and N. Tuazon during at least the period from March 2004 through November 2004, and that each of these borrowers had a small loan outstanding with Respondents.
- F. From July 2003 through October 2004, Respondents filed over one hundred thirty (130) Small Claims in the West Division of the King County District Court to collect on dishonored checks written to

Respondent Cash USA. In some of these Small Claims, Respondents entered dishonored checks and loan applications as evidence.

- G. In the Answer Pleading discussed in paragraph 1.4B, Respondent N. Tuazon stated that Respondents operated their business without first obtaining a license from the Department.
- H. At the OAH adjudicative hearing discussed in paragraph 1.4C, Respondent N. Tuazon testified under oath that Respondents had engaged in the business of making small loans from the addresses listed in paragraph 1.2 from at least May 2003 through the date of the hearing.<sup>1</sup>
- 1.6 Failing to Disclose Terms of Small Loans to Borrowers. Respondents have failed to provide borrowers with statutorily required written agreements or written disclosures during the course of making small loans.
- A. None of the small loan files discussed in paragraph 1.5A contain copies of statutorily required written agreements or written disclosures.
- B. At least two (2) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that Respondents did not provide any written agreements or written disclosures related to the small loans these borrowers obtained from Respondents.
- C. In the Answer Pleading discussed in paragraph 1.4B, Respondent N. Tuazon did not deny that Respondents had failed to disclose terms of small loans to borrowers from at least July 2003 through October 28, 2004, but rather argued that such allegation was part and parcel with operating without a license and was already included in such charge.
- D. At the OAH adjudicative hearing discussed in paragraph 1.4C, Respondent N. Tuazon testified under oath that Respondents had failed to provide borrowers with statutorily required written agreements or written disclosures during the course of making small loans.<sup>2</sup>
- 1.7 Making Small Loans in Excess of Statutory Maximum. Respondents have provided borrowers with small loans with aggregated principal exceeding seven hundred dollars (\$700.00) at any one time.

<sup>2</sup> TR P 149 LN 25 - P 150 LN 9, TR P 162 LN 14 - P 163 LN 2, TR P 182 LN 22 - P 183 LN 6.

24

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Transcript (TR) Page (P) 139 Line (LN) 24 - P 140 LN 8, TR P 142 LN 2-15, TR P 181 LN 2-20.

A. Upon review of the small loan files discussed in paragraph 1.5A, at least forty-three (43) borrowers had small loans due to Respondents with aggregated principal exceeding seven hundred dollars (\$700.00) as of November 2, 2004. At least fourteen (14) of these borrowers had small loans due to Respondents with aggregated principal of one thousand four hundred dollars (\$1,400.00) or more (twice the statutory limit), and at least eight (8) of these borrowers had small loans due to Respondents with aggregated principal of two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) or more (nearly three times the statutory limit). The highest aggregated principal balance of any of these borrowers exceeded four thousand two hundred dollars (\$4,200.00).

B. At least seven (7) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that Respondents provided them with small loans with aggregated principal exceeding seven hundred dollars (\$700.00) at any one time.

- C. In the Answer Pleading discussed in paragraph 1.4B, Respondent N. Tuazon did not deny that Respondents had made small loans in excess of the statutory maximum from at least July 2003 through October 28, 2004, but rather argued that such allegation was part and parcel with operating without a license and was already included in such charge.
- D. At the OAH adjudicative hearing discussed in paragraph 1.4C, Respondent N. Tuazon testified under oath that Respondents had provided borrowers with small loans with aggregated principal exceeding seven hundred dollars (\$700.00) at any one time.<sup>3</sup>
- 1.8 Charging Interest or Fees on Small Loans in Excess of Statutory Maximum. Respondents have charged interest or fees in the aggregate exceeding fifteen percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of aggregated principal and ten percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of aggregated principal of small loans outstanding at any one time.
- A. Upon review of the small loan files discussed in paragraph 1.5A, at least fifty-seven (57) borrowers had small loans outstanding with Respondents where interest or fees were being charged that, in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> TR P 145 LN 17 – P 146 LN 14, TR P 150 LN 17-21, TR P 181 LN 21 – P 182 LN 7.

aggregate, exceeded fifteen percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of aggregated principal and ten percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of aggregated principal outstanding as of November 2, 2004.

- B. At least eight (8) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that Respondents charged them interest or fees in the aggregate exceeding fifteen percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of aggregated principal and ten percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of aggregated principal of small loans outstanding at any one time.
- C. In the Answer Pleading discussed in paragraph 1.4B, Respondent N. Tuazon did not deny that Respondents had charged interest on small loans in excess of the statutory maximum from at least July 2003 through October 28, 2004, but rather argued that such allegation was part and parcel with operating without a license and was already included in such charge.
- D. At the OAH adjudicative hearing discussed in paragraph 1.4C, Respondent N. Tuazon testified under oath that Respondents had charged interest or fees in the aggregate exceeding fifteen percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of aggregated principal and ten percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of aggregated principal of small loans outstanding at any one time.<sup>4</sup>
- **1.9** Accepting Multiple Postdated Checks. Respondents have accepted more than one postdated check per small loan as security for the small loan.
- A. Upon review of the small loan files discussed in paragraph 1.5A, at least sixteen (16) borrowers had provided two (2) or more postdated checks as security for their small loans outstanding as of November 2, 2004.
- B. At least one (1) borrower has provided the Department with a declaration that Respondents requested that the borrower provide two (2) postdated checks as security for a small loan.
- 1.10 Small Loans Repaid with Proceeds of Successive Small Loans (Rolling). Respondents have allowed borrowers to extend existing small loans by paying the interest portion of their small loan balance when the

25

18

19

20

21

22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> TR P 147 LN 1-5, TR P 150 LN 22-25.

small loan was due and either allowing Respondents to change the date on their post dated check to a later date or exchanging their post dated check for a new check post dated to a later date than the original, effectively allowing borrowers to repay small loans with proceeds from successive small loans. This practice is commonly referred to as rolling loans.

- A. Upon review of the small loan files discussed in paragraph 1.5A and the loan activity schedules discussed in paragraph 1.5B, at least twenty (20) borrowers were allowed to repay small loans with proceeds from successive small loans from April 2004 through November 2, 2004. During this period, these twenty (20) borrowers extended small loans with aggregated principal balances ranging from one hundred dollars (\$100.00) to four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00), each making between eight (8) and fourteen (14) interest-only payments cumulatively totaling thirty-six thousand nine hundred forty-one dollars (\$36,941.00). As of November 2, 2004, each of these twenty (20) borrowers still had outstanding small loans due to Respondents, with cumulative principal balances of twenty-three thousand six hundred fifty dollars (\$23,650.00).
- B. At least ten (10) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that Respondents allowed them to extend their existing small loans by paying the interest portion of their small loan balance when the small loan was due and either allowing Respondents to change the date on their post dated check to a later date or exchanging their post dated check for a new check post dated to a later date than the original.
- C. In the Answer Pleading discussed in paragraph 1.4B, Respondent N. Tuazon did not deny that Respondents had allowed borrowers to repay small loans with the proceeds from successive small loans from at least July 2003 through October 28, 2004, but rather argued that such allegation was part and parcel with operating without a license and was already included in such charge.
- D. At the OAH adjudicative hearing discussed in paragraph 1.4C, Respondent N. Tuazon testified under oath that Respondents had allowed borrowers to extend their existing small loans by paying the interest portion of their small loan balance when the small loan was due, but under his understanding of the Act "I have not violated [the Act] by just accepting the interest and then [giving] the borrower another term of two weeks

because this one, as defined, what is prohibited, and I do not fall in it.<sup>5</sup>" Respondent N. Tuazon further testified that complying with the Act's prohibition on rolling loans "is like playing games<sup>6</sup>" and Respondents "appeared ridiculous<sup>7</sup>" if they required borrowers to pay their entire small loan balance before getting a new small loan.<sup>8</sup>

1.11 Charging Fees on Delinquent Small Loans in Excess of Statutory Maximum. When Respondents have taken civil action to collect on delinquent small loans, which generally involved checks that have been dishonored, Respondents have charged borrowers fees in excess of: (1) a one-time fee up to twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) where a borrower's check has been returned unpaid by the financial institution upon which it is drawn;

RCW 62A.3-515 (the lesser of forty dollars (\$40.00) or the face amount of the check) but not attorney's fees or

and (2) where civil action is taken under Title 62A RCW, the allowable cost of collection as allowed under

A. Pursuant to the subpoena discussed in paragraph 1.5A, Department personnel obtained documents related to at least fifty (50) Writs of Garnishment filed by attorneys acting as third-party collectors

on behalf of Respondents to collect on Small Claims judgments against small loan borrowers. At least nine (9)

of these Writs of Garnishment included fees for items such as: pre-collection and post-collection interest; Writ

application filing fees; postage; and garnishing attorney fees. The fees in these nine (9) cases totaled over one

thousand dollars (\$1,000.00), in addition to the fees allowed by the Act discussed above.

any other interest or damages as allowed under RCW 62A.3-515.

B. From March 2004 through October 2004, Respondents deposited checks from attorneys acting as third party collectors totaling almost three thousand five hundred dollars (\$3,500.00) into their operating

account at Bank of America, NA.

1.12 Charging Fees on Small Loan Payment Plans in Excess of Statutory Maximum. When

Respondents have set up small loan payment plans with borrowers, Respondents have charged borrowers fees in excess of: (1) a one-time fee up to twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) where a borrower's check has been returned

unpaid by the financial institution upon which it is drawn; and (2) a one-time payment plan fee up to fifteen

<sup>5</sup> TR P 183 LN 9-13.

<sup>6</sup> TR P 151 LN 8.

21

22

23

24

25

TR P 151 LN 9-10.

<sup>8</sup> TR P 148 LN 10 – P 149 LN 24, TR P 151 LN 1-15, TR P 183 LN 7-13.

STATEMENT OF CHARGES

C-04-218-05-SC01

Cash USA, Inc. and Nilo Tuazon and Marita Tuazon

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Division of Consumer Services
150 Israel Rd SW
PO Box 41200
Olympia, WA 98504-1200
(360) 902-8795

percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of aggregated principal and ten percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of aggregated principal; and (3) a one-time payment plan default fee of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) where a borrower defaults on the payment plan (a borrower may not be charged any fee for any dishonored check accepted as payment under the payment plan).

- A. Pursuant to the subpoena discussed in paragraph 1.5A, Department personnel obtained documents related to at least twenty-seven (27) payment plans Respondent entered into with borrowers for their small loans from January 2004 through October 2004. According to these documents:
  - four (4) of the payment plans not involving dishonored checks added fees ranging from one hundred thirty-five dollars (\$135.00) to seven hundred dollars (\$700.00) to the outstanding small loan balance;
  - four (4) of the payment plans involving dishonored checks added fees ranging from one hundred thirty-one dollars (\$131.00) to two hundred thirty-six dollars (\$236.00) to the outstanding small loan balance;
  - three (3) of the payment plans contained provisions for the payment of interest at a rate of two percent (2%) per month until the completion of the payments;
  - all twenty-seven (27) of the payment plans contained provisions for a penalty payable to Respondents in the event the borrowers failed to pay any single installment due under the plan, twenty-three (23) provisions were for a penalty ranging from one hundred dollars (\$100.00) to five hundred dollars (\$500.00) and four (4) provisions were for a penalty of twenty-five percent (25%) of the outstanding payment plan balance.
- В. At least one (1) borrower has provided the Department with a declaration that Respondents charged a three hundred twenty-five dollar (\$325.00) fee to set up a payment plan for the outstanding two thousand dollar (\$2,000.00) principal balance of the borrower's small loan. The terms of the payment plan included a five hundred dollar (\$500.00) fee payable to Respondents in the event the borrower failed to pay any single installment due under the plan.
- C. At the OAH adjudicative hearing discussed in paragraph 1.4C, Respondent N. Tuazon testified under oath that Respondents had allowed borrowers to enter into payment plans for the repayment of their small loans and that such payment plans, including the payment plan for the borrower discussed in paragraph 1.12B, contained no provision for the payment of interest.9

25

17

18

19

20

21

22

TR P 159 LN 4 - P 160 LN 1.

**1.13 Violating an Order.** Respondents violated the TCD issued by the Department on November 2, 2004, discussed in paragraph 1.4.

A. On November 9, 2004, James R. Brusselback (Brusselback), then Supervisor of the Investigation and Enforcement Section of the Department, received a voicemail from Respondent N. Tuazon requesting the return of some checks and loan folders, provided to the Department pursuant to the subpoena discussed in paragraph 1.5A, to facilitate the redemption of such checks by borrowers. On November 9, 2004, Brusselback sent a letter via telefacismile and overnight mail to Respondent N. Tuazon clarifying that the TCD ordered Respondents to immediately cease and desist from any and all check cashing/selling and small loan activity, including the acceptance of interest on existing small loans and the rolling of existing small loans. On November 10, 2004, Respondent N. Tuazon left Brusselback the following voicemail message:

- "Hello there James, uh, this is, uh, Nilo from Cash USA. I got your fax, uh, message and I am sorry for this because I thought the, uh, cease and desist order only pertains to the granting of new and fresh loans. I did not know it also covered payments that are being made to us. So please be assured that with this notification we will not do so anymore. And then, uh, again, uh, please, uh, have our assurance that this will not happen anymore. So I look forward to your calling me on the, uh, PC that was told to me by Mark that may be available by Friday. So far as your letter is concerned, again I am assuring you that we will not do it anymore. Thank you."
- B. At least eight (8) borrowers provided the Department with declarations that, after November 2, 2004, Respondents were continuing to collect on their small loans and contact them to discuss payment arrangements.
- C. On December 3, 2004, the Superior Court for King County issued a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause (TRO) after a hearing attended by Respondent N. Tuazon on behalf of all Respondents. The court found that irreparable harm would result to the public if Respondents continued conducting an unlicensed business as a check casher or seller in violation of the Act and engaging in check cashing or selling activities in violation of the Act.
- D. At least two (2) borrowers provided the Department with declarations that, after December 3, 2004, Respondents were continuing to collect on their small loans and contact them to discuss payment arrangements.

- E. On January 4, 2005, the Superior Court for King County issued an Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Preliminary Injunction) after a hearing attended by Respondent N. Tuazon on behalf of all Respondents. The Preliminary Injunction enjoined Respondents from doing business as a check casher or seller as defined in the Act or engaging in any check cashing or selling activities as defined in the Act.
- F. On January 13, 2005, at the OAH adjudicative hearing discussed in paragraph 1.4C,
   Respondent N. Tuazon testified under oath that:
  - Respondents collected money from small loan borrowers after the issuance of the TCD<sup>10</sup> because "...it is not a crime nor against the law to collect or to be paid payments from my borrowers because I read the order, cease and desist order, as not having contained a specific provision that I should forego totally with the payments of my borrowers<sup>11</sup> ...nowhere in the order does it say I should not even accept nor even attempt. It is not specific, it is not written in black and white that I am forbidden and prohibited from accepting money or payments<sup>12</sup>...I understand [the TCD] to mean no fresh and new loans should be done by me. That is my interpretation of that order<sup>13</sup>;" and
  - Respondents collected money from small loan borrowers after receiving Brusselback's letter, and after Respondent N. Tuazon left Brusselback the voicemail message<sup>14</sup>, both discussed in paragraph 1.13A, because "...later on, after I read the order, I have changed my mind or my decision on it because the order, which is the controlling one, not what I said to Mr. Brusselback, is the one to be followed. And if you follow the order, there is no express prohibition not to even accept or even attempt to collect payments<sup>15</sup>;" and
  - Respondents collected money from small loan borrowers after the issuance of the TRO<sup>16</sup> because "...the order contained in the cease and desist order has no specific order for me not to accept payments nor even to attempt collection<sup>17</sup>" and if Respondents had stopped collecting money from small loan borrowers after the issuance of the TRO, "[a] ridiculous situation arises, that if I am prevented from even accepting payments from existing borrowers<sup>18</sup>;" and
  - Respondents collected money from small loan borrowers after the issuance of the Preliminary Injunction<sup>19</sup> because "...the order does not expressly prohibit me, and [the Department's] conclusion that I am prohibited is just an inference by reading in between the lines of the order because the order does not so speak<sup>20</sup>...I am not prohibited by the order. Neither is it against the law or a crime to be collecting on payments from borrowers<sup>21</sup>;" and

```
<sup>10</sup> TR P 152 LN 4 – P 154 LN 3, TR P 183 LN 14 – P 184 LN 15, TR P 187 LN 3-20.
```

23

24

25

STATEMENT OF CHARGES

C-04-218-05-SC01

Cash USA, Inc. and Nilo Tuazon and Marita Tuazon

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> TR P 152 LN 22 – P 153 LN 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> TR P 153 LN 25 – P 154 LN 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> TR P 187 LN 6-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> TR P 187 LN 21 – P 189 LN 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> TR P 188 LN 21 – P 189 LN 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> TR P 153 LN 2 – P 154 LN 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> TR P 153 LN 7-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> TR P 153 LN 15-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> TR P 154 LN 17 – P 155 LN 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> TR P 154 LN 22-25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> TR P 155 LN 20-22.

- Respondents were keeping the money they collected from small loan borrowers after the issuance of the TCD<sup>22</sup> because "That is my money, to begin with. Of course, I keep it, because that is my money. I have not harmed anybody when they pay me because that, in the first place, is my money<sup>23</sup>;" and
  - as for applying the money Respondents collected from small loan borrowers after the issuance of the TCD<sup>24</sup>, "...some are interest, some are principal because that indicate there this is a payment for the principal. Some say it is a payment for the interest. So it depends on the paying how he wants his money or his payment applied25;" and
  - as for discussions with small loan borrowers regarding the status of Respondents' license application with the Department after the issuance of the TCD<sup>26</sup>, "I have not told them anything about licensing. I do not want them to be alarmed because, again, there is that thought, that if the department will give me another chance, I don't like to lose them. I could hunt them back as customers. 27,
- On February 14, 2005, ALJ Mentzer issued the Initial Order discussed in paragraph 1.4D, G. ordering that the provisions of the TCD shall remain in effect pending issuance and final resolution of a Statement of Charges in this matter.
- On February 17, 2005, applications for writs of garnishment were filed in at least two of the H. Small Claims discussed in paragraph 1.5F. On February 24, 2005, requests were filed to transfer at least two of the Small Claims discussed in paragraph 1.5F to Civil Dockets (a preliminary step for collecting on a Small Claim judgment).
- On-Going Investigation: The Department's investigation into the alleged violations of the Act by Respondents continues to date.

#### II. GROUNDS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER

**Definition of Check Casher.** Pursuant to RCW 31.45.010(5), a "Check Casher" is defined as an 2.1 individual, partnership, unincorporated association, or corporation that, for compensation, engages, in whole or in part, in the business of cashing checks, drafts, money orders, or other commercial paper serving the same purpose.

25

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> TR P 157 LN 9-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> TR P 157 LN 11-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> TR P 157 LN 17-23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> TR P 157 LN 19-23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> TR P 165 LN 5-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> TR P 165 LN 10-14.

2.2	<b>Definition of Licensee.</b> Pursuant to RCW 31.45.010(12), a "Licensee" is defined as a check casher of
seller li	censed by the director to engage in business in accordance with the Act. For the purpose of the
enforce	ment powers of the Act, including the power to issue cease and desist orders under RCW 31.45.110,
"license	ee" also means a check casher or seller who fails to obtain the license required by the Act.

- **2.3 Definition of Small Loan.** Pursuant to RCW 31.45.010(19), a "Small Loan" is defined as a loan up to the maximum amount and for a period of time up to the maximum term specified in RCW 31.45.073. (See paragraph 2.7).
- 2.4 Check Casher License Required. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.030(1) for engaging in the business of a check casher without first obtaining a license from the Director.
- 2.5 Small Loan Endorsement Required. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.070 and RCW 31.45.073 for engaging in the business of making small loans without first obtaining a small loan endorsement from the Director.
- 2.6 Small Loan Disclosures Required. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.088(3), WAC 208-630-065, WAC 208-630-068 and WAC 208-630-080(3) for failing to provide disclosures to small loan borrowers including the terms of the small loan, the principal amount of the small loan, the total of payments of the small loan, the fee or interest rate charged by the licensee on the small loan, and the annual percentage rate resulting from this fee or interest rate.
- 2.7 Statutory Maximum Principal Amount of Small Loan. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.073(2) for making small loans with aggregated principal exceeding seven hundred dollars (\$700.00) at any one time.
- 2.8 Statutory Maximum Interest or Fees on Small Loan. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.073(3) for charging interest or fees in the aggregate exceeding fifteen percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of principal and ten percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of principal of the small loans.

2.9	Acceptance of Multiple Postdated Checks.	Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I
above,	Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW	31.45.073(4) for accepting more than one postdated
check	per small loan as security for the small loan.	

- 2.10 Small Loan Repaid with Proceeds of Another Small Loan. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.073(4) and WAC 208-630-085(2)(a) for redeeming post dated checks held as collateral for small loans with subsequent post dated checks, and for applying the proceeds of subsequent small loans to outstanding small loans.
- 2.11 Statutory Maximum Fees on Delinquent Small Loans. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.082 for charging fees on delinquent small loans in excess of: (1) a one-time fee as determined in rule by the director where a borrower's check has been returned unpaid by the financial institution upon which it is drawn; and (2) where civil action is taken under Title 62A RCW, the allowable cost of collection as allowed under RCW 62A.3-515 but not attorney's fees or any other interest or damages as allowed under RCW 62A.3-515. Pursuant to WAC 208-630-085(1)(b), the allowable one-time fee where a borrower's check has been returned unpaid by the financial institution upon which it is drawn is currently up to twenty-five dollars (\$25.00). Pursuant to RCW 62A.3-515(a), the allowable cost of collection is currently the lesser of forty dollars (\$40.00) or the face amount of the check.
- 2.12 Statutory Maximum Fees on Small Loan Payment Plans. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.084(1) for charging fees on small loan payment plans in excess of the fee or interest on the outstanding principal of the loan as allowed under RCW 31.45.073(3).
- 2.13 Investigation of License Application. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.040(1), the director shall conduct an investigation of the applicant to determine the financial responsibility, experience, character, and general fitness of the applicant. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.040(1)(b), prior to the issuance of a license the director must determine to his or her satisfaction that the applicant is financially responsible and appears to be able to conduct the business of cashing or selling checks or making small loans in an honest, fair, and efficient manner with the

confidence and trust of the community. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, the
Director has not determined to his satisfaction that Respondents are financially responsible and able to conduct
the business of cashing or selling checks or making small loans in an honest, fair, and efficient manner with the
confidence and trust of the community.

- **2.14 Authority to Deny License.** Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(a), the Director may deny a license application if a licensee or applicant is violating or has violated the Act including rules and orders, or commits any act or engages in conduct that demonstrates incompetence or untrustworthiness, or is a source of injury or loss to the public.
- 2.15 Authority to Impose Fine. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(c), the Director may impose a fine, not to exceed one hundred dollars per day for each day's violation of the Act, on any licensee or applicant, or any director, officer, sole proprietor, partner, controlling person, or employee of a licensee or applicant, that is violating or has violated the Act including rules and orders, or commits any act or engages in conduct that demonstrates incompetence or untrustworthiness, or is a source of injury or loss to the public.
- **2.16 Authority to Order Restitution.** Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(d), the Director may order restitution to borrowers damaged by the licensee's violation of this chapter.
- 2.17 Authority to Remove and Ban from the Industry. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(e), the Director may remove from office or ban from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any licensee any director, officer, sole proprietor, partner, controlling person, or employee of a licensee that is violating or has violated the Act including rules and orders, or commits any act or engages in conduct that demonstrates incompetence or untrustworthiness, or is a source of injury or loss to the public.
- **2.18** Authority to Charge Investigation Fee. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.050(1), RCW 31.45.100, WAC 208-630-020, WAC 208-630-023 and WAC 208-630-02303, upon completion of any investigation of the books and records of a licensee, the Director shall collect from the licensee the actual cost of the investigation. The investigation charge will be calculated at the rate of sixty-nine dollars and one cent (\$69.01) per hour that each staff person devoted to the investigation.

1	
1	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

23

25

STATEMENT OF CHARGES C-04-218-05-SC01

Cash USA, Inc. and Nilo Tuazon and Marita Tuazon

#### III. NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENTER ORDER

Respondents' violations of the provisions of chapter 31.45 RCW and chapter 208-630 WAC, as set forth in the above Factual Allegations and Grounds for Entry of Order, constitute a basis for the entry of an Order under RCW 31.45.110 and RCW 31.45.200. Therefore, it is the Director's intention to ORDER that:

- 3.1 Respondent Cash USA, Inc.'s application for a license to conduct the business of a Check Casher with a Small Loan Endorsement be denied; and
- 3.2 Respondents Cash USA, Inc., Nilo Tuazon, and Marita Tuazon jointly and severally pay a fine of \$65,000.00 for:
  - Engaging in the business of a check casher making small loans without a check casher license with a small loan endorsement, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 383 days; and
  - Failing to disclose the terms of small loans to borrowers, calculated at \$75.00 per day for 30 days;
  - Making small loans in excess of the statutory maximum, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 30 days; c.
  - Charging interest or fees on small loans in excess of the statutory maximum, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 30 days; and
  - Accepting multiple postdated checks for small loans, calculated at \$75.00 per day for 30 days; and
  - Allowing small loans to be repaid with the proceeds of successive small loans, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 30 days; and
  - Charging fees on delinquent small loans in excess of the statutory maximum, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 30 days; and
  - h. Charging fees on small loan payment plans in excess of statutory maximum, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 30 days; and
  - Violating an Order of the Director, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 72 days; and
- Respondents Cash USA, Inc., Nilo Tuazon, and Marita Tuazon jointly and severally pay restitution to 3.3 all affected borrowers for:
  - any interest or fees collected on small loans originated without a license from July 2003 through the date of this order, including at least \$177,000.00 collected from borrowers between January 2004 and November 2, 2004 as discussed in paragraph 1.5B, and any interest or fees collected from borrowers after the issuance of the TCD as discussed in paragraph 1.13; and
  - any fees collected related to delinquent small loans originated without a license from July 2003 through the date of this order, including at least \$1,000.00 to the borrowers discussed in paragraph 1.11; and
  - any fees collected related to payment plans on small loans originated without a license, including the borrowers discussed in paragraph 1.12; and
- 3.4 Respondent Cash USA, Inc. be banned from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any check casher or seller subject to licensure by the Director, in any manner, for a period of ten (10) years; and
- Respondent Nilo Tuazon be banned from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any check casher 3.5 or seller subject to licensure by the Director, in any manner, for a period of ten (10) years; and
- Respondent Marita Tuazon be banned from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any check 3.6 casher or seller subject to licensure by the Director, in any manner, for a period of ten (10) years; and

3.7 Respondents Cash USA, Inc., Nilo Tuazon, and Marita Tuazon jointly and severally pay an investigation fee in the amount of \$15,015.52, calculated at \$69.01 per hour for two hundred ten (210) staff hours devoted to the investigation, less the fifteen (15) staff hours paid out of Respondents' application deposit, plus \$1,558.57 in expenses related to the investigation.

# IV. AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE

This Statement of Charges and Notice of Intention to Enter an Order to Deny License Application, Impose Fine, Order Restitution, Ban from Industry, and Collect Investigation Fee is entered pursuant to the provisions of RCW 31.45.110 and RCW 31.45.200, and is subject to the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW (The Administrative Procedure Act). Respondents may make a written request for a hearing as set forth in the NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING accompanying this Statement of Charges and Notice of Intention to Enter an Order to Deny License Application, Impose Fine, Order Restitution, Ban from Industry, and Collect Investigation Fee.

Dated this 15th day of June, 2005.

CHUCK CROSS

Director

Division of Consumer Services Department of Financial Institutions

Presented by:

Mark T. Olson Financial Examiner

STATEMENT OF CHARGES C-04-218-05-SC01 Cash USA, Inc. and Nilo Tuazon and Marita Tuazon



150 Israel Rd SW PO Box 41200

(360) 902-8795

Olympia, WA 98504-1200

23

24

25

#### RCW 31.45.070 Licensee -- Permissible transactions -- Restrictions.

- (1) No licensee may engage in a loan business or the negotiation of loans or the discounting of notes, bills of exchange, checks, or other evidences of debt on the same premises where a check cashing or selling business is conducted, unless the licensee:
  - (a) Is conducting the activities of pawnbroker as defined in RCW 19.60.010:
  - (b) Is a properly licensed consumer loan company under chapter 31.04 RCW;
  - (c) Is conducting other lending activity permitted in the state of Washington; or
  - (d) Has a small loan endorsement.
- (2) Except as otherwise permitted in this chapter, no licensee may at any time cash or advance any moneys on a postdated check or draft. However, a licensee may cash a check payable on the first banking day following the date of cashing if:
- (a) The check is drawn by the United States, the state of Washington, or any political subdivision of the state, or by any department or agency of the state or its subdivisions; or
- (b) The check is a payroll check drawn by an employer to the order of its employee in payment for services performed by the employee.
- (3) Except as otherwise permitted in this chapter, no licensee may agree to hold a check or draft for later deposit. A licensee shall deposit all checks and drafts cashed by the licensee as soon as practicable.
- (4) No licensee may issue or cause to be issued any check, draft, or money order, or other commercial paper serving the same purpose, that is drawn upon the trust account of a licensee without concurrently receiving the full principal amount, in cash, or by check, draft, or money order from a third party believed to be valid.
- (5) No licensee may advertise, print, display, publish, distribute, or broadcast or cause or permit to be advertised, printed, displayed, published, distributed, or broadcast, any statement or representation that is false, misleading, or deceptive, or that omits material information, or that refers to the supervision of the licensee by the state of Washington or any department or official of the state.
- (6) Each licensee shall comply with all applicable federal statutes governing currency transaction reporting.

[2003 c 86 § 7; 1995 c 18 § 7; 1994 c 92 § 280; 1991 c 355 § 7.]

# RCW 31.45.073 Making small loans -- Endorsement required -- Termination date -- Maximum amount -- Interest --Fees -- Postdated check or draft as security.

- (1) No licensee may engage in the business of making small loans without first obtaining a small loan endorsement to its license from the director in accordance with this chapter. An endorsement will be required for each location where a licensee engages in the business of making small loans, but a small loan endorsement may authorize a licensee to make small loans at a location different than the licensed locations where it cashes or sells checks. A licensee may have more than one endorsement.
- (2) The termination date of a small loan may not exceed the origination date of that same small loan by more than fortyfive days, including weekends and holidays, unless the term of the loan is extended by agreement of both the borrower and the licensee and no additional fee or interest is charged. The maximum principal amount of any small loan, or the outstanding principal balances of all small loans made by a licensee to a single borrower at any one time, may not exceed seven hundred dollars.
- (3) A licensee that has obtained the required small loan endorsement may charge interest or fees for small loans not to exceed in the aggregate fifteen percent of the first five hundred dollars of principal. If the principal exceeds five hundred dollars, a licensee may charge interest or fees not to exceed in the aggregate ten percent of that portion of the principal in excess of five hundred dollars. If a licensee makes more than one loan to a single borrower, and the aggregated principal of all loans made to that borrower exceeds five hundred dollars at any one time, the licensee may charge interest or fees not to exceed in the aggregate ten percent on that portion of the aggregated principal of all loans at any one time that is in excess

A-2

Appendix - Pertinent Check Casher and Seller Statutes & Rules

(3) When making a small loan, each licensee shall disclose to the borrower the terms of the small loan, including the

A-3

on the small loan, and the annual percentage rate resulting from this fee or interest rate.

principal amount of the small loan, the total of payments of the small loan, the fee or interest rate charged by the licensee

[2003 c 86 § 14.]

Appendix - Pertinent Check Casher and Seller Statutes &

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Division of Consumer Services 150 Israel Rd SW PO Box 41200 Olympia, WA 98504-1200 (360) 902-8795

25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

11

10

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

Appendix – Pertinent Check Casher and Seller Statutes & Rules

#### RCW 31.45.100 Examination or investigation -- Director's authority -- Costs.

The director or the director's designee may at any time examine and investigate the business and examine the books, accounts, records, and files, or other information, wherever located, of any licensee or person who the director has reason to believe is engaging in the business governed by this chapter. For these purposes, the director or the director's designee may require the attendance of and examine under oath all persons whose testimony may be required about the business or the subject matter of the investigation. The director or the director's designee may require the production of original books, accounts, records, files, or other information, or may make copies of such original books, accounts, records, files, or other information. The director or the director's designee may issue a subpoena or subpoena duces tecum requiring attendance and testimony, or the production of the books, accounts, records, files, or other information. The director shall collect from the licensee the actual cost of the examination or investigation.

[2003 c 86 § 16; 1994 c 92 § 283; 1991 c 355 § 10.]

# RCW 31.45.110 Violations or unsound financial practices -- Statement of charges -- Hearing -- Sanctions -- Director's authority.

- (1) The director may issue and serve upon a licensee or applicant a statement of charges if, in the opinion of the director, any licensee or applicant:
- (a) Is engaging or has engaged in an unsafe or unsound financial practice in conducting the business of a check seller governed by this chapter;
- (b) Is violating or has violated this chapter, including rules, orders, or subpoenas, any rule adopted under chapter 86, Laws of 2003, any order issued under chapter 86, Laws of 2003, any subpoena issued under chapter 86, Laws of 2003, or any condition imposed in writing by the director or the director's designee in connection with the granting of any application or other request by the licensee or any written agreement made with the director;
- (c) Is about to do the acts prohibited in (a) or (b) of this subsection when the opinion that the threat exists is based upon reasonable cause;
- (d) Obtains a license by means of fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, or through mistake or inadvertence of the director;
- (e) Provides false statements or omissions of material information on the application that, if known, would have allowed the director to deny the application for the original license;
  - (f) Fails to pay a fee required by the director or maintain the required bond;
- (g) Commits a crime against the laws of the state of Washington or any other state or government involving moral turpitude, financial misconduct, or dishonest dealings;
- (h) Knowingly commits or is a party to any material fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, conspiracy, collusion, trick, scheme, or device whereby any other person relying upon the word, representation, or conduct acts to his or her injury or damage;
  - (i) Converts any money or its equivalent to his or her own use or to the use of his or her principal or of any other person;
- (j) Fails, upon demand by the director or the director's designee, to disclose any information within his or her knowledge to, or to produce any document, book, or record in his or her possession for inspection of, the director or the director's designee;
- (k) Commits any act of fraudulent or dishonest dealing, and a certified copy of the final holding of any court, tribunal, agency, or administrative body of competent jurisdiction regarding that act is conclusive evidence in any hearing under this chapter; or

- (l) Commits an act or engages in conduct that demonstrates incompetence or untrustworthiness, or is a source of injury and loss to the public.
- (2) The statement of charges shall be issued under chapter 34.05 RCW. The director or the director's designee may impose the following sanctions against any licensee or applicant, or any director, officer, sole proprietor, partner, controlling person, or employee of a licensee or applicant:
  - (a) Deny, revoke, suspend, or condition the license;
- (b) Order the licensee to cease and desist from practices in violation of this chapter or practices that constitute unsafe and unsound financial practices in the sale of checks;
  - (c) Impose a fine not to exceed one hundred dollars per day for each day's violation of this chapter;
- (d) Order restitution to borrowers or other parties damaged by the licensee's violation of this chapter or take other affirmative action as necessary to comply with this chapter; and
- (e) Remove from office or ban from participation in the affairs of any licensee any director, officer, sole proprietor, partner, controlling person, or employee of a licensee.
- (3) The proceedings to impose the sanctions described in subsection (2) of this section, including any hearing or appeal of the statement of charges, are governed by chapter 34.05 RCW.

Unless the licensee personally appears at the hearing or is represented by a duly authorized representative, the licensee is deemed to have consented to the statement of charges and the sanctions imposed in the statement of charges.

[2003 c 86 § 17; 1994 c 92 § 284; 1991 c 355 § 11.]

### RCW 31.45.200 Director -- Broad administrative discretion.

The director has the power, and broad administrative discretion, to administer and interpret the provisions of this chapter to ensure the protection of the public.

[1994 c 92 § 291; 1991 c 355 § 20.]

## RCW 62A.3-515 Checks dishonored by nonacceptance or nonpayment; liability for interest; rate; collection costs and attorneys' fees; satisfaction of claim.

- (a) If a check as defined in RCW 62A.3-104 is dishonored by nonacceptance or nonpayment, the payee or person entitled to enforce the check under RCW 62A.3-301 may collect a reasonable handling fee for each instrument. If the check is not paid within fifteen days and after the person entitled to enforce the check or the person's agent sends a notice of dishonor as provided by RCW 62A.3-520 to the drawer at the drawer's last known address, and if the instrument does not provide for the payment of interest or collection costs and attorneys' fees, the drawer of the instrument is liable for payment of interest at the rate of twelve percent per annum from the date of dishonor, and cost of collection not to exceed forty dollars or the face amount of the check, whichever is less, payable to the person entitled to enforce the check. In addition, in the event of court action on the check, the court, after notice and the expiration of the fifteen days, shall award reasonable attorneys' fees, and three times the face amount of the check or three hundred dollars, whichever is less, as part of the damages payable to the person enforcing the check. This section does not apply to an instrument that is dishonored by reason of a justifiable stop payment order.
- (b)(1) Subsequent to the commencement of an action on the check (subsection (a)) but prior to the hearing, the defendant may tender to the plaintiff as satisfaction of the claim, an amount of money equal to the face amount of the check, a reasonable handling fee, accrued interest, collection costs equal to the face amount of the check not to exceed forty dollars, and the incurred court costs, service costs, and statutory attorneys' fees.
  - (2) Nothing in this section precludes the right to commence action in a court under chapter 12.40 RCW for small claims.

[2000 c 215 § 1; 1995 c 187 § 1; 1993 c 229 § 67; 1991 c 168 § 1; 1986 c 128 § 1; 1981 c 254 § 1; 1969 c 62 § 1; 1967

1

ex.s. c 23 § 1.]

## STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS CONSUMER SERVICES DIVISION

3

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING: Whether there has been a violation of the Check

Cashers and Sellers Act of Washington by:

CASH U.S.A., INC., and NILO TUAZON, President and Director, and MARITA TUAZON, Secretary and Vice-President, C-04-218-04-TD01

TEMPORARY ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST

7

8

9

10

11

12

2

4

5

6

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON TO:

Cash U.S.A., Inc.

Respondents.

18230 East Valley Highway, Ste 141

Kent, Washington 98032

AND TO:

Nilo Tuazon Cash U.S.A., Inc.

10413 SE 174th St., #4255

Renton, Washington 98055

AND TO:

Marita Tuazon

Cash U.S.A., Inc.

10413 SE 174<sup>th</sup> St., #4255 Renton, Washington 98055

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMES NOW the Director of the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions (Department),

by and through her designee, and finding that the public is likely to be substantially injured by delay in issuing a cease and desist order, the Director, through her designee, enters this temporary cease and desist order pursuant to

chapter 31.45 RCW, the Check Cashers and Sellers Act (Act), based on the following findings. The referenced

statutes (RCW) and rules (WAC) are attached, in pertinent part.

## I. FACTUAL FINDINGS

#### 1.1 Respondents:

Cash U.S.A., Inc. (Cash USA) was incorporated in the State of Washington on A.

November 25, 2002 and has maintained a corporate license in the State of Washington to date.

В. Nilo Tuazon (N. Tuazon) is listed as President and a Director of Respondent Cash USA

in the Profit Corporation License Renewal and Annual Report filed by Respondents for Respondent Cash USA

with the State of Washington Department of Licensing Master Licensing Service in October 2004.

- C. Marita Tuazon is listed as Secretary and Vice-President of Respondent Cash USA in the Profit Corporation License Renewal and Annual Report filed by Respondents for Respondent Cash USA with the State of Washington Department of Licensing Master Licensing Service in October 2004.
- 1.2 **Locations.** The Department has reason to believe that Respondents have engaged in the business of making small loans from the following locations:
- A. For at least the period beginning July 2003 and ending September 2004, the Department has reason to believe that Respondent Cash USA engaged in the business of making small loans from 6951 Martin Luther King Way, Ste 103 & 104, Seattle, WA 98118.
- B. For at least the period beginning October 1, 2004 through the date of this order, the Department has reason to believe that Respondent Cash USA engaged in the business of making small loans from 18230 East Valley Highway, Ste 141, Kent, WA 98032.
- 1.3 Check Casher License with Small Loan Endorsement. To date, the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Department) has not issued a license to, or received an application from, any of the Respondents to conduct the business of a check casher with a small loan endorsement. To date, the Department has not issued a license to, or received an application from, any person to conduct the business of a check casher with a small loan endorsement from either of the addresses listed in paragraphs 1.2A and 1.2B. The Department also has reason to believe that Respondents do not have the statutorily required surety bond to engage in the business of making small loans.
- 1.4 Unauthorized Making of Small Loans. The Department has reason to believe that

  Respondents have engaged in the business of making small loans from the addresses listed in paragraphs 1.2A

  and 1.2B from at least July 2003 through the date of this order.

(a) In the Profit Corporation License Renewal and Annual Report filed for Respondent Cash USA by Respondents with the State of Washington Department of Licensing Master Licensing Service in October 2003, the company's listed address is the same as the address listed in paragraph 1.2A (Suite 104) and the company's business is described as "Check

		2
		3
		4
		5
		6
		7
		8
		9
	1	C
	1	1
	1	2
D	1	3
	1	4
	1	5
	1	6
	1	7
	1	8
	1	9
	2	€
	2	1
	2	2
	2	3
	2	4
	2	4

Discounting." In the Profit Corporation License Renewal and Annual Report filed for Respondent Cash USA by Respondents with the State of Washington Department of Licensing Master Licensing Service in October 2004, the company's listed address is the same as the address listed in paragraph 1.2B.

- (b) In or around September 2003, Respondent N. Tuazon filed two (2) complaints with the Seattle City Police Department alleging that in August 2003 two (2) people had fraudulently obtained payday loans from Respondent Cash USA, at the address listed in paragraph 1.2A, by providing checks drawn on bank accounts that had been closed.
- (c) Two (2) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that they obtained small loans from Respondents Cash USA and N. Tuazon during the period from August 2004 through October 2004 from the locations listed in paragraphs 1.2A and 1.2B, and each of these borrowers has an outstanding small loan with Respondents.
- (d) One (1) borrower has provided the Department with a copy of a Notice of Small Claim for one thousand one hundred seventy-five dollars (\$1,175.00) for a dishonored one thousand one hundred fifty dollar (\$1,150.00) check written to "Cash USA", plus a twenty-five dollar (\$25.00) returned check fee, filed in the West Division of the King County District Court by Respondent Cash USA, and a declaration that such claim arises from a check the borrower gave to Respondent Cash USA as part of a small loan transaction.
- (e) From July 2003 through October 2004, Respondents Cash USA and N. Tuazon filed at least one hundred thirty-nine (139) Small Claims in the West Division of the King County District Court. On October 28, 2004, Department personnel examined the court dockets of twenty-six (26) of these claims and found all were claims to collect on dishonored checks written to Respondent Cash USA. Department personnel further examined the physical files of ten (10) of these claims and found that Respondent Cash USA had entered dishonored checks

as evidence in six (6) of the files and had entered loan applications completed by borrowers as evidence in five (5) of the files.

- (f) On October 28, 2004, Department personnel observed Respondents' place of business at the address listed in paragraph 1.2B. Near the street (East Valley Highway), Department personnel observed a removable sign indicating that "Pay Day Loans" were available inside from "Cash U.S.A. Inc." Inside the Great Wall Shopping Mall, Department personnel observed a similar sign in front of the door to a small office, Suite 141.
- (g) On October 28, 2004, Department personnel obtained a business card from a borrower for "Cash U.S.A., Inc.". This business card lists "Nilo Tuazon" as "C.E.O." and indicates Cash USA makes payday loans from the address listed in paragraph 1.2A.
- 1.5 Failing to Disclose Terms of Small Loans to Borrowers. The Department has reason to believe that Respondents have failed to provide borrowers with written agreements or written disclosures of any kind during the course of making small loans.
  - (a) Two (2) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that they were provided no written agreements or written disclosures of any kind during the course of obtaining small loans from Respondents.
  - (b) Respondent Cash USA did not enter a written loan agreement as evidence in any of the ten (10) small claim files physically examined by Department personnel on October 28, 2004, as discussed in paragraph 1.4(e).
- 1.6 Making Small Loans in Excess of Statutory Maximum. The Department has reason to believe that Respondents have provided borrowers with small loans with aggregated principal exceeding seven hundred dollars (\$700.00) at any one time.
  - (a) Two (2) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that they were given small loans with aggregated principal ranging from eight hundred dollars (\$800.00) to two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500.00) at any one time.

(b)	Four (4) of the small claim files physically examined by Department personnel on
Octobe	r 28, 2004, as discussed in paragraph 1.4(e), involve claims for principal balances
which :	appear to have ranged from eight hundred dollars (\$800.00) to one thousand two
hundre	d dollars (\$1,200.00).

- 1.7 Charging Interest or Fees on Small Loans in Excess of Statutory Maximum. The Department has reason to believe that Respondents have charged interest or fees in the aggregate exceeding fifteen percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of principal and ten percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of principal.
  - (a) Two (2) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that Respondents charge interest or fees equaling, in the aggregate, fifteen percent (15%) of the total principal which, as discussed in paragraph 1.6(a), ranged from eight hundred dollars (\$800.00) to two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500.00) at any one time.
  - (b) The borrower who provided the small claims notice to the Department, as discussed in paragraph 1.4(d), has provided a declaration that the small loan transaction consisted of a two-week one thousand dollar (\$1,000.00) loan and one hundred fifty dollars (\$150.00) in interest.
  - (c) The four (4) small claims files physically examined by Department personnel on October 28, 2004 involving small loans with principal amounts which appear to range from eight hundred dollars (\$800.00) to one thousand two hundred dollars (\$1,200.00), as discussed in paragraph 1.6(b), appear to have involved interest calculated at fifteen percent (15%) of the entire principal balances.
- 1.8 Small Loans Repaid with Proceeds of Successive Small Loans. The Department has reason to believe that Respondents have allowed borrowers to repay small loans with the proceeds from successive small loans. Two (2) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that Respondents allowed them to extend their small loans by paying the interest portion of their small loan balance in cash and providing

Respondents with a new post-dated check or allowing Respondents to change the date on an existing post-dated check.

- 1.9 **Substantial Injury to Public.** The Department has reason to believe that Respondents have caused substantial injury to the public.
  - (a) From at least July 2003 through October 28, 2004, Respondents have: engaged in the business of making small loans without a license, as discussed in paragraphs 1.3 and 1.4; failed to disclose terms of small loans to borrowers, as discussed in paragraph 1.5; made small loans in amounts in excess of the statutory maximum, as discussed in paragraph 1.6; charged interest on small loans in excess of the statutory maximum, as discussed in paragraph 1.7; and allowed borrowers to repay small loans with the proceeds from successive small loans, as discussed in paragraph 1.8. There is reasonable cause to believe that the threat exists for Respondents to do or continue the acts and conduct described in paragraphs 1.3 through 1.8.
  - (b) Of the twenty-six (26) small claims dockets reviewed by Department personnel on October 28, 2004, as discussed in paragraph 1.4(e), Respondents pursued writs of garnishment to enforce eight (8) of their small claim judgments against borrowers.
  - (c) Of the twenty-six (26) small claims dockets reviewed by Department personnel on October 28, 2004, as discussed in paragraph 1.4(e), two (2) borrowers filed for bankruptcy protection from creditors which included Respondents.

#### II. GROUNDS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER

- 2.1 **Definition of Check Casher.** Pursuant to RCW 31.45.010(5), a "Check Casher" is defined as an individual, partnership, unincorporated association, or corporation that, for compensation, engages, in whole or in part, in the business of cashing checks, drafts, money orders, or other commercial paper serving the same purpose.
- 2.2 **Definition of Licensee.** Pursuant to RCW 31.45.010(12), a "Licensee" is defined as a check casher or seller licensed by the director to engage in business in accordance with the Act. For the purpose of

the enforcement powers of the Act, including the power to issue cease and desist orders under RCW 31.45.110, "licensee" also mans a check casher or seller who fails to obtain the license required by the Act.

- 2.3 **Definition of Small Loan.** Pursuant to RCW 31.45.010(19), a "Small Loan" is defined as a loan up to the maximum amount and for a period of time up to the maximum term specified in RCW 31.45.073. (See paragraph 2.7).
- 2.4 Check Casher License Required. Based on the above Factual Findings, Respondents are in violation of RCW 31.45.030(1) for engaging in the business of a check casher without first obtaining a license from the Department.
- 2.5 **Small Loan Endorsement Required.** Based on the above Factual Findings, Respondents are in violation of RCW 31.45.070 and RCW 31.45.073 for engaging in the business of making small loans without first obtaining a small loan endorsement from the Director.
- 2.6 **Small Loan Disclosures Required.** Based on the above Factual Findings, Respondents are in violation of RCW 31.45.088(3), WAC 208-630-065 and WAC 208-630-068 for failing to provide disclosures to small loan borrowers including the terms of the small loan, the principal amount of the small loan, the total of payments of the small loan, the fee or interest rate charged by the licensee on the small loan, and the annual percentage rate resulting from this fee or interest rate.
- 2.7 **Statutory Maximum Principal Amount of Small Loan.** Based on the above Factual Findings, Respondents are in violation of RCW 31.45.073(2) for making loans with principal in excess of seven hundred dollars (\$700.00).
- 2.8 **Statutory Maximum Interest or Fees on Small Loan.** Based on the above Factual Findings, Respondents are in violation of RCW 31.45.073(3) for charging interest or fees in the aggregate exceeding fifteen percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of principal and ten percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of principal of the small loans.
- 2.9 **Small Loan Repaid with Proceeds of Another Small Loan.** Based on the above Factual Findings, Respondents are in violation of RCW 31.45.073(4) and WAC 208-630-085(2)(a) for redeeming post

declare

dated checks held as collateral for small loans with subsequent post dated checks, and for applying the proceeds of subsequent small loans to outstanding small loans.

- 2.10 Authority to Examine and Investigate. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.100, the Director or the Director's designee is authorized at any time to examine and investigate the business and examine the books, accounts, records, and files, or other information, wherever located, of any licensee or person who the Director has reason to believe is engaging in the business governed by this chapter.
- 2.11 Authority to Issue Cease and Desist Order. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.120, the Director is authorized to issue a temporary cease and desist order requiring the licensee to cease and desist from a violation or practice whenever the Director determines that the acts specified in RCW 31.45.110 or their continuation are likely to cause insolvency or substantial injury to the public. Based on the above Factual Findings, Respondents have violated RCW 31.45.030(1), RCW 31.45.070, RCW 31.45.073, and RCW 31.45.088(3) and based upon reasonable cause the threat exists for Respondents to do or continue the acts and conduct described in the above Factual Findings. Continuation of the unauthorized conduct is reasonably likely because of the outstanding loans with consumers, the outstanding small claims against consumers, and the active operation and advertising of the business. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.120, RCW 31.45.110(1)(b), and RCW 31.45.110(1)(c), the Director determines Respondents' acts and conduct and its continuation is likely to cause substantial injury to the public.
- 2.12 Effective Date of Temporary Cease and Desist Order. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.120, the Temporary Cease and Desist Order "becomes effective upon service upon the licensee and remains effective unless set aside, limited, or suspended by a court under RCW 31.45.130 pending the completion of the administrative proceedings under the notice and until such time as the director dismisses the charges specified in the notice or until the effective date of the cease and desist order issued against the licensee under RCW 31.45.110."
- 2.13 Violations Affect the Public Interest. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.190 the legislature found and declared that any violation of the Act substantially affects the public interest and is an unfair and deceptive act

or practice and an unfair method of competition in the conduct of trade or commerce as set forth in RCW 19.86.020 of the Consumer Protection Act.

#### III. ORDER

- 3.1 Respondents Cash U.S.A., Inc., Nilo Tuazon, and Marita Tuazon are to immediately cease and desist from the making of any small loans and from all small loan activity regulated under chapter 31.45 RCW, the Check Cashers and Sellers Act, at any locations, including but not limited to 18230 East Valley Highway in Kent, Washington.
- 3.2 Respondents Cash U.S.A., Inc., Nilo Tuazon, and Marita Tuazon are to immediately cease and desist from engaging in any loan business or from negotiation of loan activity regulated under chapter 31.45 RCW, the Check Cashers and Sellers Act, at any locations including but not limited to 18230 East Valley Highway in Kent, Washington.
- 3.3 Respondents Cash U.S.A., Inc., Nilo Tuazon, and Marita Tuazon are to retain all records of any small loan or loan activity and make these records immediately available for the Department's inspection pursuant to Subpoena to Produce Records, C-04-218-04-SB01, issued by the Department and served on Respondents contemporaneously with this Temporary Order to Cease and Desist.
- 3.4 Based on the above Factual Findings and Grounds for Entry of Order and pursuant to RCW 31.45.120, RCW 31.45.110(1)(b), and RCW 31.45.110(1)(c), the Director determines the acts and conduct of Respondents Cash U.S.A., Inc., Nilo Tuazon, and Marita Tuazon and the continuation of such conduct is likely to cause substantial injury to the public.
- 3.5 This order shall take effect immediately and shall remain in effect unless set aside, limited, or suspended by a court under RCW 31.45.130.

## NOTICE

YOU ARE ENTITLED TO A HEARING PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 31.45 RCW TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS ORDER SHALL BECOME PERMANENT. IF YOU DESIRE A HEARING, THEN YOU MUST RETURN THE ATTACHED APPLICATION FOR ADJUDICATIVE HEARING INCORPORATED

1 HO
2 A
3 IN
4 Y
5 H
7 C
8 SI
9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

2324

25

HEREIN BY THIS REFERENCE. FAILURE TO COMPLETE AND RETURN THE APPLICATION FOR ADJUDICATIVE HEARING FORM SO THAT IT IS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS WITHIN TWENTY (20) DAYS OF THE DATE THAT THIS ORDER WAS SERVED ON YOU WILL CONSTITUTE A DEFAULT AND WILL RESULT IN THE LOSS OF YOUR RIGHT TO A HEARING. SERVICE ON YOU IS DEFINED AS POSTING IN THE U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, TO YOUR LAST KNOWN ADDRESS. BE ADVISED THAT DEFAULT WILL RESULT IN THIS ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST BECOMING PERMANENT ON THE TWENTY-FIRST (21ST) DAY FOLLOWING SERVICE OF THIS ORDER UPON YOU.

WITHIN TEN DAYS AFTER YOU HAVE BEEN SERVED WITH THIS TEMPORARY CEASE AND DESIST ORDER, YOU MAY APPLY TO THE SUPERIOR COURT IN THE COUNTY OF YOUR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS FOR AN INJUNCTION SETTING ASIDE, LIMITING, OR SUSPENDING THIS ORDER PENDING THE COMPLETION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO THIS NOTICE.

DATED this 22 day of November, 2004.



CHUCK CROSS

10

Director and Enforcement Chief Division of Consumer Services Department of Financial Institutions

24

25

RCW 31.45.010 Definitions.

same purpose.

Unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter.

(5) "Check casher" means an individual, partnership, unincorporated association, or corporation that, for compensation, engages, in whole or in part, in the business of cashing checks, drafts, money orders, or other commercial paper serving the

(12) "Licensee" means a check casher or seller licensed by the director to engage in business in accordance with this chapter. For purposes of the enforcement powers of this chapter, including the power to issue cease and desist orders under RCW 31.45.110, "licensee" also means a check casher or seller who fails to obtain the license required by this chapter.

(19) "Small loan" means a loan of up to the maximum amount and for a period of time up to the maximum term specified in

RCW 31.45.073.

[2003 c 86 § 1; 1995 c 18 § 1; 1994 c 92 § 274; 1993 c 143 § 1; 1991 c 355 § 1.]

RCW 31.45.030 License required -- Small loan endorsement -- Application -- Fee -- Bond -- Deposit in lieu of bond -- Director's duties.

- (1) Except as provided in RCW 31.45.020, no check casher or seller may engage in business without first obtaining a license from the director in accordance with this chapter. A license is required for each location where a licensee engages in the business of cashing or selling checks or drafts.
- (2) Each application for a license shall be in writing in a form prescribed by the director and shall contain the following information:
- (a) The legal name, residence, and business address of the applicant and, if the applicant is a partnership, association, or corporation, of every member, officer, and director thereof;
  - (b) The location where the initial registered office of the applicant will be located in this state;
- (c) The complete address of any other locations at which the applicant proposes to engage in business as a check casher or seller; and
- (d) Such other data, financial statements, and pertinent information as the director may require with respect to the applicant, its directors, trustees, officers, members, or agents.
- (3) Any information in the application regarding the personal residential address or telephone number of the applicant, and any trade secret as defined in RCW 19.108.010 including any financial statement that is a trade secret, is exempt from the public records disclosure requirements of chapter 42.17 RCW.
- (4) The application shall be filed together with an investigation and supervision fee established by rule by the director. Such fees collected shall be deposited to the credit of the financial services regulation fund in accordance with RCW 43.320.110.
- (5)(a) Before granting a license to sell checks, drafts, or money orders under this chapter, the director shall require that the licensee file with the director a surety bond running to the state of Washington, which bond shall be issued by a surety insurer which meets the requirements of chapter 48.28 RCW, and be in a format acceptable to the director. The director shall adopt rules to determine the penal sum of the bond that shall be filed by each licensee. The bond shall be conditioned upon the licensee paying all persons who purchase checks, drafts, or money orders from the licensee the face value of any check, draft, or money order which is dishonored by the drawee bank, savings bank, or savings and loan association due to insufficient funds or by reason of the account having been closed. The bond shall only be liable for the face value of the dishonored check, draft, or money order, and shall not be liable for any interest or consequential damages.
- (b) Before granting a small loan endorsement under this chapter, the director shall require that the licensee file with the director a surety bond, in a format acceptable to the director, issued by a surety insurer that meets the requirements of

chapter 48.28 RCW. The director shall adopt rules to determine the penal sum of the bond that shall be filed by each licensee. A licensee who wishes to engage in both check selling and making small loans may combine the penal sums of the bonding requirements and file one bond in a form acceptable to the director. The bond shall run to the state of Washington as obligee, and shall run to the benefit of the state and any person or persons who suffer loss by reason of the licensee's violation of this chapter or any rules adopted under this chapter. The bond shall only be liable for damages suffered by borrowers as a result of the licensee's violation of this chapter or rules adopted under this chapter, and shall not be liable for any interest or consequential damages.

- (c) The bond shall be continuous and may be canceled by the surety upon the surety giving written notice to the director and licensee of its intent to cancel the bond. The cancellation is effective thirty days after the notice is received by the director. Whether or not the bond is renewed, continued, reinstated, reissued, or otherwise extended, replaced, or modified, including increases or decreases in the penal sum, it shall be considered one continuous obligation, and the surety upon the bond shall not be liable in an aggregate or cumulative amount exceeding the penal sum set forth on the face of the bond. In no event shall the penal sum, or any portion thereof, at two or more points in time be added together in determining the surety's liability. The bond shall not be liable for any liability of the licensee for tortious acts, whether or not such liability is imposed by statute or common law, or is imposed by contract. The bond shall not be a substitute or supplement to any liability or other insurance required by law or by the contract. If the surety desires to make payment without awaiting court action against it, the penal sum of the bond shall be reduced to the extent of any payment made by the surety in good faith under the bond.
- (d) Any person who is a purchaser of a check, draft, or money order from the licensee having a claim against the licensee for the dishonor of any check, draft, or money order by the drawee bank, savings bank, or savings and loan association due to insufficient funds or by reason of the account having been closed, or who obtained a small loan from the licensee and was damaged by the licensee's violation of this chapter or rules adopted under this chapter, may bring suit upon such bond or deposit in the superior court of the county in which the check, draft, or money order was purchased, or in the superior court of a county in which the licensee maintains a place of business. Jurisdiction shall be exclusively in the superior court. Any such action must be brought not later than one year after the dishonor of the check, draft, or money order on which the claim is based. In the event valid claims against a bond or deposit exceed the amount of the bond or deposit, each claimant shall only be entitled to a pro rata amount, based on the amount of the claim as it is valid against the bond, or deposit, without regard to the date of filing of any claim or action.
- (e) In lieu of the surety bond required by this section, the applicant for a check seller license may file with the director a deposit consisting of cash or other security acceptable to the director in an amount equal to the penal sum of the required bond. In lieu of the surety bond required by this section, the applicant for a small loan endorsement may file with the director a deposit consisting of cash or other security acceptable to the director in an amount equal to the penal sum of the required bond, or may demonstrate to the director net worth in excess of three times the amount of the penal sum of the required bond.

The director may adopt rules necessary for the proper administration of the security or to establish reporting requirements to ensure that the net worth requirements continue to be met. A deposit given instead of the bond required by this section is not an asset of the licensee for the purpose of complying with the liquid asset provisions of this chapter. A deposit given instead of the bond required by this section is a fund held in trust for the benefit of eligible claimants under this section and is not an asset of the estate of any licensee that seeks protection voluntarily or involuntarily under the bankruptcy laws of the United States.

(f) Such security may be sold by the director at public auction if it becomes necessary to satisfy the requirements of this chapter. Notice of the sale shall be served upon the licensee who placed the security personally or by mail. If notice is served by mail, service shall be addressed to the licensee at its address as it appears in the records of the director. Bearer bonds of the United States or the state of Washington without a prevailing market price must be sold at public auction. Such bonds having a prevailing market price may be sold at private sale not lower than the prevailing market price. Upon any sale, any surplus above amounts due shall be returned to the licensee, and the licensee shall deposit with the director additional security sufficient to meet the amount required by the director. A deposit given instead of the bond required by this section shall not be deemed an asset of the licensee for the purpose of complying with the liquid asset provisions of this chapter.

[2003 c 86 § 3; 2001 c 177 § 11; 1995 c 18 § 4; 1994 c 92 § 276; 1993 c 176 § 1; 1991 c 355 § 3.]

8

10

12

14 15

16 17

19

18

21

20

2223

24

25

## RCW 31.45.070 Licensee -- Permissible transactions -- Restrictions.

- (1) No licensee may engage in a loan business or the negotiation of loans or the discounting of notes, bills of exchange, checks, or other evidences of debt on the same premises where a check cashing or selling business is conducted, unless the licensee:
  - (a) Is conducting the activities of pawnbroker as defined in RCW 19.60.010;
  - (b) Is a properly licensed consumer loan company under chapter 31.04 RCW;
  - (c) Is conducting other lending activity permitted in the state of Washington; or
  - (d) Has a small loan endorsement.
- (2) Except as otherwise permitted in this chapter, no licensee may at any time cash or advance any moneys on a postdated check or draft. However, a licensee may cash a check payable on the first banking day following the date of cashing if:
- (a) The check is drawn by the United States, the state of Washington, or any political subdivision of the state, or by any department or agency of the state or its subdivisions; or
- (b) The check is a payroll check drawn by an employer to the order of its employee in payment for services performed by the employee.
- (3) Except as otherwise permitted in this chapter, no licensee may agree to hold a check or draft for later deposit. A licensee shall deposit all checks and drafts cashed by the licensee as soon as practicable.
- (4) No licensee may issue or cause to be issued any check, draft, or money order, or other commercial paper serving the same purpose, that is drawn upon the trust account of a licensee without concurrently receiving the full principal amount, in cash, or by check, draft, or money order from a third party believed to be valid.
- (5) No licensee may advertise, print, display, publish, distribute, or broadcast or cause or permit to be advertised, printed, displayed, published, distributed, or broadcast, any statement or representation that is false, misleading, or deceptive, or that omits material information, or that refers to the supervision of the licensee by the state of Washington or any department or official of the state.
- (6) Each licensee shall comply with all applicable federal statutes governing currency transaction reporting.
- [2003 c 86 § 7; 1995 c 18 § 7; 1994 c 92 § 280; 1991 c 355 § 7.]
- RCW 31.45.073 Making small loans -- Endorsement required -- Termination date -- Maximum amount -- Interest -- Fees -- Postdated check or draft as security.
- (1) No licensee may engage in the business of making small loans without first obtaining a small loan endorsement to its licensee from the director in accordance with this chapter. An endorsement will be required for each location where a licensee engages in the business of making small loans, but a small loan endorsement may authorize a licensee to make small loans at a location different than the licensed locations where it cashes or sells checks. A licensee may have more than one endorsement.
- (2) The termination date of a small loan may not exceed the origination date of that same small loan by more than forty-five days, including weekends and holidays, unless the term of the loan is extended by agreement of both the borrower and the licensee and no additional fee or interest is charged. The maximum principal amount of any small loan, or the outstanding principal balances of all small loans made by a licensee to a single borrower at any one time, may not exceed seven hundred dollars.
- (3) A licensee that has obtained the required small loan endorsement may charge interest or fees for small loans not to exceed in the aggregate fifteen percent of the first five hundred dollars of principal. If the principal exceeds five hundred dollars, a licensee may charge interest or fees not to exceed in the aggregate ten percent of that portion of the principal in excess of five hundred dollars. If a licensee makes more than one loan to a single borrower, and the aggregated principal of all loans made to that borrower exceeds five hundred dollars at any one time, the licensee may charge interest or fees not to exceed in the aggregate ten percent on that portion of the aggregated principal of all loans at any one time that is in excess of five hundred dollars. The director may determine by rule which fees, if any, are not subject to the interest or fee

The director or the director's designee may at any time examine and investigate the business and examine the books, accounts, records, and files, or other information, wherever located, of any licensee or person who the director has reason to believe is engaging in the business governed by this chapter. For these purposes, the director or the director's designee may require the attendance of and examine under oath all persons whose testimony may be required about the business or the subject matter of the investigation. The director or the director's designee may require the production of original books, accounts, records, files, or other information, or may make copies of such original books, accounts, records, files, or other information. The director or the director's designee may issue a subpoena or subpoena duces tecum requiring attendance and testimony, or the production of the books, accounts, records, files, or other information. The director shall collect from the licensee the actual cost of the examination or investigation.

[2003 c 86 § 16; 1994 c 92 § 283; 1991 c 355 § 10.]

## RCW 31.45.110 Violations or unsound financial practices -- Statement of charges -- Hearing -- Sanctions --Director's authority.

- (1) The director may issue and serve upon a licensee or applicant a statement of charges if, in the opinion of the director, any licensee or applicant:
- (a) Is engaging or has engaged in an unsafe or unsound financial practice in conducting the business of a check seller governed by this chapter;
- (b) Is violating or has violated this chapter, including rules, orders, or subpoenas, any rule adopted under chapter 86, Laws of 2003, any order issued under chapter 86, Laws of 2003, any subpoena issued under chapter 86, Laws of 2003, or any condition imposed in writing by the director or the director's designee in connection with the granting of any application or other request by the licensee or any written agreement made with the director;
- (c) Is about to do the acts prohibited in (a) or (b) of this subsection when the opinion that the threat exists is based upon reasonable cause;
- (d) Obtains a license by means of fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, or through mistake or inadvertence of the
- (e) Provides false statements or omissions of material information on the application that, if known, would have allowed the director to deny the application for the original license;
  - (f) Fails to pay a fee required by the director or maintain the required bond;
  - (g) Commits a crime against the laws of the state of Washington or any other state or government involving moral

APPENDIX - STATUTES AND RULES

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS 150 Israel Rd SW PO Box 41200 Olympia, WA 98504-1200

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

22

21

23

24

ADDENDIV CTATITES AND

turpitude, financial misconduct, or dishonest dealings;

- (h) Knowingly commits or is a party to any material fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, conspiracy, collusion, trick, scheme, or device whereby any other person relying upon the word, representation, or conduct acts to his or her injury or damage;
  - (i) Converts any money or its equivalent to his or her own use or to the use of his or her principal or of any other person;
- (j) Fails, upon demand by the director or the director's designee, to disclose any information within his or her knowledge to, or to produce any document, book, or record in his or her possession for inspection of, the director or the director's designee;
- (k) Commits any act of fraudulent or dishonest dealing, and a certified copy of the final holding of any court, tribunal, agency, or administrative body of competent jurisdiction regarding that act is conclusive evidence in any hearing under this chapter; or
- (l) Commits an act or engages in conduct that demonstrates incompetence or untrustworthiness, or is a source of injury and loss to the public.
- (2) The statement of charges shall be issued under chapter 34.05 RCW. The director or the director's designee may impose the following sanctions against any licensee or applicant, or any director, officer, sole proprietor, partner, controlling person, or employee of a licensee or applicant:
  - (a) Deny, revoke, suspend, or condition the license;
- (b) Order the licensee to cease and desist from practices in violation of this chapter or practices that constitute unsafe and unsound financial practices in the sale of checks;
  - (c) Impose a fine not to exceed one hundred dollars per day for each day's violation of this chapter;
- (d) Order restitution to borrowers or other parties damaged by the licensee's violation of this chapter or take other affirmative action as necessary to comply with this chapter; and
- (e) Remove from office or ban from participation in the affairs of any licensee any director, officer, sole proprietor, partner, controlling person, or employee of a licensee.
- (3) The proceedings to impose the sanctions described in subsection (2) of this section, including any hearing or appeal of the statement of charges, are governed by chapter 34.05 RCW.
- Unless the licensee personally appears at the hearing or is represented by a duly authorized representative, the licensee is deemed to have consented to the statement of charges and the sanctions imposed in the statement of charges.

[2003 c 86 § 17; 1994 c 92 § 284; 1991 c 355 § 11.]

## RCW 31.45.120 Violations or unsound practices -- Temporary cease and desist order -- Director's authority.

Whenever the director determines that the acts specified in RCW 31.45.110 or their continuation is likely to cause insolvency or substantial injury to the public, the director may also issue a temporary cease and desist order requiring the licensee to cease and desist from the violation or practice. The order becomes effective upon service upon the licensee and remains effective unless set aside, limited, or suspended by a court under RCW 31.45.130 pending the completion of the administrative proceedings under the notice and until such time as the director dismisses the charges specified in the notice or until the effective date of the cease and desist order issued against the licensee under RCW 31.45.110.

[2003 c 86 § 18; 1994 c 92 § 285; 1991 c 355 § 12.]

## RCW 31.45.130 Temporary cease and desist order -- Licensee's application for injunction.

Within ten days after a licensee has been served with a temporary cease and desist order, the licensee may apply to the superior court in the county of its principal place of business for an injunction setting aside, limiting, or suspending the order pending the completion of the administrative proceedings pursuant to the notice served under RCW 31.45.120. The superior court has jurisdiction to issue the injunction.

RCW 31.45.190 Violation -- Consumer protection act -- Remedies.

The legislature finds and declares that any violation of this chapter substantially affects the public interest and is an unfair and deceptive act or practice and an unfair method of competition in the conduct of trade or commerce as set forth in RCW 19.86.020. Remedies available under chapter 19.86 RCW shall not affect any other remedy the injured party may have.

[1991 c 355 § 19.]

[1991 c 355 § 13.]

1 STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS 2 CONSUMER SERVICES DIVISION 3 IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING NO. C-04-218-05-SC01 Whether there has been a violation of the 4 Check Cashers and Sellers Act of Washington by: 5 STATEMENT OF CHARGES and CASH U.S.A., INC., and NILO TUAZON, President, Chief Executive NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENTER 6 Officer and Owner, and AN ORDER TO DENY LICENSE APPLICATION, IMPOSE FINE, ORDER MARITA TUAZON, Secretary, Vice-President and 7 RESTITUTION, BAN FROM INDUSTRY, Owner, AND COLLECT INVESTIGATION FEE Respondents. 8 9 INTRODUCTION 10 Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110 and RCW 31.45.200, the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions 11 of the State of Washington (Director) is responsible for the administration of chapter 31.45 RCW, the Check Cashers and Sellers Act (Act). The referenced statutes (RCW) and rules (WAC) are attached, in pertinent part. 12 After having conducted an investigation pursuant to RCW 31.45.100, and based upon the facts available as of June 13 15, 2005 the Director institutes this proceeding and finds as follows: 14 15 I. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS 16 1.1 Respondents. 17 Cash U.S.A., Inc. (Cash USA) submitted an application to the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Department) for a license to conduct the business of a check casher with a 18 small loan endorsement at the following location: 19 18230 East Valley Road, Suite 141 20 Kent, Washington 98032 21 The application was received by the Department on November 8, 2004. 22 Nilo Tuazon (N. Tuazon) is listed as President, Chief Executive Officer and Owner of B.

STATEMENT OF CHARGES C-04-218-05-SC01 Cash USA, Inc. and Nilo Tuazon and Marita Tuazon

Respondent Cash USA in the application.

23

24

25

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Division of Consumer Services
150 Israel Rd SW
PO Box 41200
Olympia, WA 98504-1200
(360) 902-8795

- C. **Marita Tuazon** is listed as Secretary, Vice-President and Owner of Respondent Cash USA in the application.
- **1.2 Locations.** For at least the period beginning July 2003 through January 13, 2005, Respondents have conducted business from the following locations:
  - A. 6951 Martin Luther King Way, Ste 103 & 104, Seattle, WA 98118.
  - B. 18230 East Valley Highway, Ste 141, Kent, WA 98032.
- 1.3 Check Casher License with Small Loan Endorsement. To date, the Department has not issued a license to any of the Respondents to conduct the business of a check casher with a small loan endorsement. To date, the Department has not issued a license to any person to conduct the business of a check casher with a small loan endorsement from either of the addresses listed in paragraph 1.2.

## 1.4 Temporary Order to Cease and Desist.

- A. On November 2, 2004, the Department issued Temporary Order to Cease and Desist C-04-218-04-TD01 (TCD) and served the TCD on Respondents. The TCD ordered Respondents to immediately cease and desist from: the making of any small loans and from all small loan activity regulated under the Act at any locations; and engaging in any loan business or from negotiation of loan activity regulated under the Act at any locations. Respondents filed timely applications requesting an adjudicative hearing on the TCD.
- B. On December 20, 2004, Respondent N. Tuazon filed an Answer with Affirmative Defenses (Answer Pleading) on behalf of all Respondents.
- C. On January 13, 2005, an adjudicative hearing was conducted in Tacoma Washington by the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH). Administrative Law Judge Michelle C. Mentzer (ALJ Mentzer) presided over the hearing.
- D. On February 14, 2005, ALJ Mentzer issued an Initial Order Continuing Temporary Order to Cease and Desist (Initial Order), making specific findings of fact and conclusions of law and ordering that the provisions of the TCD shall remain in effect pending issuance and final resolution of a Statement of Charges in this matter.

24

25

23

21

1.5 Unauthorized Making of Small Loans. Respondents have engaged in the business of making small loans from the addresses listed in paragraph 1.2 from at least July 2003 through January 13, 2005.

- A. On November 2, 2004, Subpoena to Produce Records C-04-218-04-SB01 (Subpoena) was issued by the Department and served on Respondents concurrently with the TCD discussed in paragraph 1.4. Pursuant to the subpoena, Department personnel obtained small loan files for over one hundred twenty-five (125) borrowers from Respondents. According to these small loan files, all of these borrowers had outstanding small loans due to Respondents as of November 2, 2004, with principal balances totaling over ninety thousand dollars (\$90,000.00).
- B. Pursuant to the subpoena discussed in paragraph 1.5A, Department personnel obtained loan activity schedules from Respondents for the period from January 2004 through November 2, 2004. According to these loan activity schedules, Respondents made over one million eighty-four thousand dollars (\$1,084,000.00) in small loans and collected over one hundred seventy-seven thousand dollars (\$177,000.00) in interest on small loans during this period.
- C. From June 2003 through October 2004, Respondents made over four hundred fifteen thousand dollars (\$415,000.00) in deposits and over four hundred seven thousand dollars (\$407,000.00) in withdrawals in their operating account at Bank of America, NA.
- D. According to financial statements provided to the Department by Respondents as part of their license application materials, Respondent Cash USA earned over one hundred fifty-five thousand dollars (\$155,000.00) in fees between January 1, 2004 and September 30, 2004, and had notes receivable totaling over one hundred seventy thousand dollars (\$170,000.00) as of September 30, 2004.
- E. At least ten (10) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that they obtained small loans from Respondents Cash USA and N. Tuazon during at least the period from March 2004 through November 2004, and that each of these borrowers had a small loan outstanding with Respondents.
- F. From July 2003 through October 2004, Respondents filed over one hundred thirty (130) Small Claims in the West Division of the King County District Court to collect on dishonored checks written to

Respondent Cash USA. In some of these Small Claims, Respondents entered dishonored checks and loan applications as evidence.

- G. In the Answer Pleading discussed in paragraph 1.4B, Respondent N. Tuazon stated that Respondents operated their business without first obtaining a license from the Department.
- H. At the OAH adjudicative hearing discussed in paragraph 1.4C, Respondent N. Tuazon testified under oath that Respondents had engaged in the business of making small loans from the addresses listed in paragraph 1.2 from at least May 2003 through the date of the hearing.
- 1.6 Failing to Disclose Terms of Small Loans to Borrowers. Respondents have failed to provide borrowers with statutorily required written agreements or written disclosures during the course of making small loans.
- A. None of the small loan files discussed in paragraph 1.5A contain copies of statutorily required written agreements or written disclosures.
- B. At least two (2) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that Respondents did not provide any written agreements or written disclosures related to the small loans these borrowers obtained from Respondents.
- C. In the Answer Pleading discussed in paragraph 1.4B, Respondent N. Tuazon did not deny that Respondents had failed to disclose terms of small loans to borrowers from at least July 2003 through October 28, 2004, but rather argued that such allegation was part and parcel with operating without a license and was already included in such charge.
- D. At the OAH adjudicative hearing discussed in paragraph 1.4C, Respondent N. Tuazon testified under oath that Respondents had failed to provide borrowers with statutorily required written agreements or written disclosures during the course of making small loans.<sup>2</sup>
- 1.7 Making Small Loans in Excess of Statutory Maximum. Respondents have provided borrowers with small loans with aggregated principal exceeding seven hundred dollars (\$700.00) at any one time.

<sup>2</sup> TR P 149 LN 25 - P 150 LN 9, TR P 162 LN 14 - P 163 LN 2, TR P 182 LN 22 - P 183 LN 6.

24

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Transcript (TR) Page (P) 139 Line (LN) 24 - P 140 LN 8, TR P 142 LN 2-15, TR P 181 LN 2-20.

A. Upon review of the small loan files discussed in paragraph 1.5A, at least forty-three (43) borrowers had small loans due to Respondents with aggregated principal exceeding seven hundred dollars (\$700.00) as of November 2, 2004. At least fourteen (14) of these borrowers had small loans due to Respondents with aggregated principal of one thousand four hundred dollars (\$1,400.00) or more (twice the statutory limit), and at least eight (8) of these borrowers had small loans due to Respondents with aggregated principal of two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) or more (nearly three times the statutory limit). The highest aggregated principal balance of any of these borrowers exceeded four thousand two hundred dollars (\$4,200.00).

B. At least seven (7) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that Respondents provided them with small loans with aggregated principal exceeding seven hundred dollars (\$700.00) at any one time.

- C. In the Answer Pleading discussed in paragraph 1.4B, Respondent N. Tuazon did not deny that Respondents had made small loans in excess of the statutory maximum from at least July 2003 through October 28, 2004, but rather argued that such allegation was part and parcel with operating without a license and was already included in such charge.
- D. At the OAH adjudicative hearing discussed in paragraph 1.4C, Respondent N. Tuazon testified under oath that Respondents had provided borrowers with small loans with aggregated principal exceeding seven hundred dollars (\$700.00) at any one time.<sup>3</sup>
- 1.8 Charging Interest or Fees on Small Loans in Excess of Statutory Maximum. Respondents have charged interest or fees in the aggregate exceeding fifteen percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of aggregated principal and ten percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of aggregated principal of small loans outstanding at any one time.
- A. Upon review of the small loan files discussed in paragraph 1.5A, at least fifty-seven (57) borrowers had small loans outstanding with Respondents where interest or fees were being charged that, in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> TR P 145 LN 17 – P 146 LN 14, TR P 150 LN 17-21, TR P 181 LN 21 – P 182 LN 7.

aggregate, exceeded fifteen percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of aggregated principal and ten percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of aggregated principal outstanding as of November 2, 2004.

- B. At least eight (8) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that Respondents charged them interest or fees in the aggregate exceeding fifteen percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of aggregated principal and ten percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of aggregated principal of small loans outstanding at any one time.
- C. In the Answer Pleading discussed in paragraph 1.4B, Respondent N. Tuazon did not deny that Respondents had charged interest on small loans in excess of the statutory maximum from at least July 2003 through October 28, 2004, but rather argued that such allegation was part and parcel with operating without a license and was already included in such charge.
- D. At the OAH adjudicative hearing discussed in paragraph 1.4C, Respondent N. Tuazon testified under oath that Respondents had charged interest or fees in the aggregate exceeding fifteen percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of aggregated principal and ten percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of aggregated principal of small loans outstanding at any one time.<sup>4</sup>
- **1.9** Accepting Multiple Postdated Checks. Respondents have accepted more than one postdated check per small loan as security for the small loan.
- A. Upon review of the small loan files discussed in paragraph 1.5A, at least sixteen (16) borrowers had provided two (2) or more postdated checks as security for their small loans outstanding as of November 2, 2004.
- B. At least one (1) borrower has provided the Department with a declaration that Respondents requested that the borrower provide two (2) postdated checks as security for a small loan.
- 1.10 Small Loans Repaid with Proceeds of Successive Small Loans (Rolling). Respondents have allowed borrowers to extend existing small loans by paying the interest portion of their small loan balance when the

25

18

19

20

21

22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> TR P 147 LN 1-5, TR P 150 LN 22-25.

small loan was due and either allowing Respondents to change the date on their post dated check to a later date or exchanging their post dated check for a new check post dated to a later date than the original, effectively allowing borrowers to repay small loans with proceeds from successive small loans. This practice is commonly referred to as rolling loans.

- A. Upon review of the small loan files discussed in paragraph 1.5A and the loan activity schedules discussed in paragraph 1.5B, at least twenty (20) borrowers were allowed to repay small loans with proceeds from successive small loans from April 2004 through November 2, 2004. During this period, these twenty (20) borrowers extended small loans with aggregated principal balances ranging from one hundred dollars (\$100.00) to four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00), each making between eight (8) and fourteen (14) interest-only payments cumulatively totaling thirty-six thousand nine hundred forty-one dollars (\$36,941.00). As of November 2, 2004, each of these twenty (20) borrowers still had outstanding small loans due to Respondents, with cumulative principal balances of twenty-three thousand six hundred fifty dollars (\$23,650.00).
- B. At least ten (10) borrowers have provided the Department with declarations that Respondents allowed them to extend their existing small loans by paying the interest portion of their small loan balance when the small loan was due and either allowing Respondents to change the date on their post dated check to a later date or exchanging their post dated check for a new check post dated to a later date than the original.
- C. In the Answer Pleading discussed in paragraph 1.4B, Respondent N. Tuazon did not deny that Respondents had allowed borrowers to repay small loans with the proceeds from successive small loans from at least July 2003 through October 28, 2004, but rather argued that such allegation was part and parcel with operating without a license and was already included in such charge.
- D. At the OAH adjudicative hearing discussed in paragraph 1.4C, Respondent N. Tuazon testified under oath that Respondents had allowed borrowers to extend their existing small loans by paying the interest portion of their small loan balance when the small loan was due, but under his understanding of the Act "I have not violated [the Act] by just accepting the interest and then [giving] the borrower another term of two weeks

because this one, as defined, what is prohibited, and I do not fall in it.<sup>5</sup>" Respondent N. Tuazon further testified that complying with the Act's prohibition on rolling loans "is like playing games<sup>6</sup>" and Respondents "appeared ridiculous<sup>7</sup>" if they required borrowers to pay their entire small loan balance before getting a new small loan.<sup>8</sup>

1.11 Charging Fees on Delinquent Small Loans in Excess of Statutory Maximum. When Respondents have taken civil action to collect on delinquent small loans, which generally involved checks that have been dishonored, Respondents have charged borrowers fees in excess of: (1) a one-time fee up to twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) where a borrower's check has been returned unpaid by the financial institution upon which it is drawn;

RCW 62A.3-515 (the lesser of forty dollars (\$40.00) or the face amount of the check) but not attorney's fees or

and (2) where civil action is taken under Title 62A RCW, the allowable cost of collection as allowed under

A. Pursuant to the subpoena discussed in paragraph 1.5A, Department personnel obtained documents related to at least fifty (50) Writs of Garnishment filed by attorneys acting as third-party collectors

on behalf of Respondents to collect on Small Claims judgments against small loan borrowers. At least nine (9)

of these Writs of Garnishment included fees for items such as: pre-collection and post-collection interest; Writ

application filing fees; postage; and garnishing attorney fees. The fees in these nine (9) cases totaled over one

thousand dollars (\$1,000.00), in addition to the fees allowed by the Act discussed above.

any other interest or damages as allowed under RCW 62A.3-515.

B. From March 2004 through October 2004, Respondents deposited checks from attorneys acting as third party collectors totaling almost three thousand five hundred dollars (\$3,500.00) into their operating

account at Bank of America, NA.

1.12 Charging Fees on Small Loan Payment Plans in Excess of Statutory Maximum. When

Respondents have set up small loan payment plans with borrowers, Respondents have charged borrowers fees in excess of: (1) a one-time fee up to twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) where a borrower's check has been returned

unpaid by the financial institution upon which it is drawn; and (2) a one-time payment plan fee up to fifteen

<sup>5</sup> TR P 183 LN 9-13.

<sup>6</sup> TR P 151 LN 8.

21

22

23

24

25

TR P 151 LN 9-10.

<sup>8</sup> TR P 148 LN 10 – P 149 LN 24, TR P 151 LN 1-15, TR P 183 LN 7-13.

STATEMENT OF CHARGES

C-04-218-05-SC01

Cash USA, Inc. and Nilo Tuazon and Marita Tuazon

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Division of Consumer Services
150 Israel Rd SW
PO Box 41200
Olympia, WA 98504-1200
(360) 902-8795

percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of aggregated principal and ten percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of aggregated principal; and (3) a one-time payment plan default fee of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) where a borrower defaults on the payment plan (a borrower may not be charged any fee for any dishonored check accepted as payment under the payment plan).

- A. Pursuant to the subpoena discussed in paragraph 1.5A, Department personnel obtained documents related to at least twenty-seven (27) payment plans Respondent entered into with borrowers for their small loans from January 2004 through October 2004. According to these documents:
  - four (4) of the payment plans not involving dishonored checks added fees ranging from one hundred thirty-five dollars (\$135.00) to seven hundred dollars (\$700.00) to the outstanding small loan balance;
  - four (4) of the payment plans involving dishonored checks added fees ranging from one hundred thirty-one dollars (\$131.00) to two hundred thirty-six dollars (\$236.00) to the outstanding small loan balance;
  - three (3) of the payment plans contained provisions for the payment of interest at a rate of two percent (2%) per month until the completion of the payments;
  - all twenty-seven (27) of the payment plans contained provisions for a penalty payable to Respondents in the event the borrowers failed to pay any single installment due under the plan, twenty-three (23) provisions were for a penalty ranging from one hundred dollars (\$100.00) to five hundred dollars (\$500.00) and four (4) provisions were for a penalty of twenty-five percent (25%) of the outstanding payment plan balance.
- В. At least one (1) borrower has provided the Department with a declaration that Respondents charged a three hundred twenty-five dollar (\$325.00) fee to set up a payment plan for the outstanding two thousand dollar (\$2,000.00) principal balance of the borrower's small loan. The terms of the payment plan included a five hundred dollar (\$500.00) fee payable to Respondents in the event the borrower failed to pay any single installment due under the plan.
- C. At the OAH adjudicative hearing discussed in paragraph 1.4C, Respondent N. Tuazon testified under oath that Respondents had allowed borrowers to enter into payment plans for the repayment of their small loans and that such payment plans, including the payment plan for the borrower discussed in paragraph 1.12B, contained no provision for the payment of interest.9

25

17

18

19

20

21

22

TR P 159 LN 4 - P 160 LN 1.

**1.13 Violating an Order.** Respondents violated the TCD issued by the Department on November 2, 2004, discussed in paragraph 1.4.

A. On November 9, 2004, James R. Brusselback (Brusselback), then Supervisor of the Investigation and Enforcement Section of the Department, received a voicemail from Respondent N. Tuazon requesting the return of some checks and loan folders, provided to the Department pursuant to the subpoena discussed in paragraph 1.5A, to facilitate the redemption of such checks by borrowers. On November 9, 2004, Brusselback sent a letter via telefacismile and overnight mail to Respondent N. Tuazon clarifying that the TCD ordered Respondents to immediately cease and desist from any and all check cashing/selling and small loan activity, including the acceptance of interest on existing small loans and the rolling of existing small loans. On November 10, 2004, Respondent N. Tuazon left Brusselback the following voicemail message:

- "Hello there James, uh, this is, uh, Nilo from Cash USA. I got your fax, uh, message and I am sorry for this because I thought the, uh, cease and desist order only pertains to the granting of new and fresh loans. I did not know it also covered payments that are being made to us. So please be assured that with this notification we will not do so anymore. And then, uh, again, uh, please, uh, have our assurance that this will not happen anymore. So I look forward to your calling me on the, uh, PC that was told to me by Mark that may be available by Friday. So far as your letter is concerned, again I am assuring you that we will not do it anymore. Thank you."
- B. At least eight (8) borrowers provided the Department with declarations that, after November 2, 2004, Respondents were continuing to collect on their small loans and contact them to discuss payment arrangements.
- C. On December 3, 2004, the Superior Court for King County issued a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause (TRO) after a hearing attended by Respondent N. Tuazon on behalf of all Respondents. The court found that irreparable harm would result to the public if Respondents continued conducting an unlicensed business as a check casher or seller in violation of the Act and engaging in check cashing or selling activities in violation of the Act.
- D. At least two (2) borrowers provided the Department with declarations that, after December 3, 2004, Respondents were continuing to collect on their small loans and contact them to discuss payment arrangements.

- E. On January 4, 2005, the Superior Court for King County issued an Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Preliminary Injunction) after a hearing attended by Respondent N. Tuazon on behalf of all Respondents. The Preliminary Injunction enjoined Respondents from doing business as a check casher or seller as defined in the Act or engaging in any check cashing or selling activities as defined in the Act.
- F. On January 13, 2005, at the OAH adjudicative hearing discussed in paragraph 1.4C,
   Respondent N. Tuazon testified under oath that:
  - Respondents collected money from small loan borrowers after the issuance of the TCD<sup>10</sup> because "...it is not a crime nor against the law to collect or to be paid payments from my borrowers because I read the order, cease and desist order, as not having contained a specific provision that I should forego totally with the payments of my borrowers<sup>11</sup> ...nowhere in the order does it say I should not even accept nor even attempt. It is not specific, it is not written in black and white that I am forbidden and prohibited from accepting money or payments<sup>12</sup>...I understand [the TCD] to mean no fresh and new loans should be done by me. That is my interpretation of that order<sup>13</sup>;" and
  - Respondents collected money from small loan borrowers after receiving Brusselback's letter, and after Respondent N. Tuazon left Brusselback the voicemail message<sup>14</sup>, both discussed in paragraph 1.13A, because "...later on, after I read the order, I have changed my mind or my decision on it because the order, which is the controlling one, not what I said to Mr. Brusselback, is the one to be followed. And if you follow the order, there is no express prohibition not to even accept or even attempt to collect payments<sup>15</sup>;" and
  - Respondents collected money from small loan borrowers after the issuance of the TRO<sup>16</sup> because "...the order contained in the cease and desist order has no specific order for me not to accept payments nor even to attempt collection<sup>17</sup>" and if Respondents had stopped collecting money from small loan borrowers after the issuance of the TRO, "[a] ridiculous situation arises, that if I am prevented from even accepting payments from existing borrowers<sup>18</sup>;" and
  - Respondents collected money from small loan borrowers after the issuance of the Preliminary Injunction<sup>19</sup> because "...the order does not expressly prohibit me, and [the Department's] conclusion that I am prohibited is just an inference by reading in between the lines of the order because the order does not so speak<sup>20</sup>...I am not prohibited by the order. Neither is it against the law or a crime to be collecting on payments from borrowers<sup>21</sup>;" and

```
<sup>10</sup> TR P 152 LN 4 – P 154 LN 3, TR P 183 LN 14 – P 184 LN 15, TR P 187 LN 3-20.
```

23

24

25

STATEMENT OF CHARGES

C-04-218-05-SC01

Cash USA, Inc. and Nilo Tuazon and Marita Tuazon

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> TR P 152 LN 22 – P 153 LN 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> TR P 153 LN 25 – P 154 LN 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> TR P 187 LN 6-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> TR P 187 LN 21 – P 189 LN 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> TR P 188 LN 21 – P 189 LN 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> TR P 153 LN 2 – P 154 LN 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> TR P 153 LN 7-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> TR P 153 LN 15-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> TR P 154 LN 17 – P 155 LN 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> TR P 154 LN 22-25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> TR P 155 LN 20-22.

- Respondents were keeping the money they collected from small loan borrowers after the issuance of the TCD<sup>22</sup> because "That is my money, to begin with. Of course, I keep it, because that is my money. I have not harmed anybody when they pay me because that, in the first place, is my money<sup>23</sup>;" and
  - as for applying the money Respondents collected from small loan borrowers after the issuance of the TCD<sup>24</sup>, "...some are interest, some are principal because that indicate there this is a payment for the principal. Some say it is a payment for the interest. So it depends on the paying how he wants his money or his payment applied25;" and
  - as for discussions with small loan borrowers regarding the status of Respondents' license application with the Department after the issuance of the TCD<sup>26</sup>, "I have not told them anything about licensing. I do not want them to be alarmed because, again, there is that thought, that if the department will give me another chance, I don't like to lose them. I could hunt them back as customers. 27,
- On February 14, 2005, ALJ Mentzer issued the Initial Order discussed in paragraph 1.4D, G. ordering that the provisions of the TCD shall remain in effect pending issuance and final resolution of a Statement of Charges in this matter.
- On February 17, 2005, applications for writs of garnishment were filed in at least two of the H. Small Claims discussed in paragraph 1.5F. On February 24, 2005, requests were filed to transfer at least two of the Small Claims discussed in paragraph 1.5F to Civil Dockets (a preliminary step for collecting on a Small Claim judgment).
- On-Going Investigation: The Department's investigation into the alleged violations of the Act by Respondents continues to date.

#### II. GROUNDS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER

**Definition of Check Casher.** Pursuant to RCW 31.45.010(5), a "Check Casher" is defined as an 2.1 individual, partnership, unincorporated association, or corporation that, for compensation, engages, in whole or in part, in the business of cashing checks, drafts, money orders, or other commercial paper serving the same purpose.

25

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> TR P 157 LN 9-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> TR P 157 LN 11-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> TR P 157 LN 17-23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> TR P 157 LN 19-23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> TR P 165 LN 5-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> TR P 165 LN 10-14.

2.2	<b>Definition of Licensee.</b> Pursuant to RCW 31.45.010(12), a "Licensee" is defined as a check casher of
seller li	censed by the director to engage in business in accordance with the Act. For the purpose of the
enforce	ment powers of the Act, including the power to issue cease and desist orders under RCW 31.45.110,
"license	ee" also means a check casher or seller who fails to obtain the license required by the Act.

- **2.3 Definition of Small Loan.** Pursuant to RCW 31.45.010(19), a "Small Loan" is defined as a loan up to the maximum amount and for a period of time up to the maximum term specified in RCW 31.45.073. (See paragraph 2.7).
- 2.4 Check Casher License Required. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.030(1) for engaging in the business of a check casher without first obtaining a license from the Director.
- 2.5 Small Loan Endorsement Required. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.070 and RCW 31.45.073 for engaging in the business of making small loans without first obtaining a small loan endorsement from the Director.
- 2.6 Small Loan Disclosures Required. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.088(3), WAC 208-630-065, WAC 208-630-068 and WAC 208-630-080(3) for failing to provide disclosures to small loan borrowers including the terms of the small loan, the principal amount of the small loan, the total of payments of the small loan, the fee or interest rate charged by the licensee on the small loan, and the annual percentage rate resulting from this fee or interest rate.
- 2.7 Statutory Maximum Principal Amount of Small Loan. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.073(2) for making small loans with aggregated principal exceeding seven hundred dollars (\$700.00) at any one time.
- 2.8 Statutory Maximum Interest or Fees on Small Loan. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.073(3) for charging interest or fees in the aggregate exceeding fifteen percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of principal and ten percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of principal of the small loans.

2.9	Acceptance of Multiple Postdated Checks.	Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I
above,	Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW	31.45.073(4) for accepting more than one postdated
check	per small loan as security for the small loan.	

- 2.10 Small Loan Repaid with Proceeds of Another Small Loan. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.073(4) and WAC 208-630-085(2)(a) for redeeming post dated checks held as collateral for small loans with subsequent post dated checks, and for applying the proceeds of subsequent small loans to outstanding small loans.
- 2.11 Statutory Maximum Fees on Delinquent Small Loans. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.082 for charging fees on delinquent small loans in excess of: (1) a one-time fee as determined in rule by the director where a borrower's check has been returned unpaid by the financial institution upon which it is drawn; and (2) where civil action is taken under Title 62A RCW, the allowable cost of collection as allowed under RCW 62A.3-515 but not attorney's fees or any other interest or damages as allowed under RCW 62A.3-515. Pursuant to WAC 208-630-085(1)(b), the allowable one-time fee where a borrower's check has been returned unpaid by the financial institution upon which it is drawn is currently up to twenty-five dollars (\$25.00). Pursuant to RCW 62A.3-515(a), the allowable cost of collection is currently the lesser of forty dollars (\$40.00) or the face amount of the check.
- 2.12 Statutory Maximum Fees on Small Loan Payment Plans. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.084(1) for charging fees on small loan payment plans in excess of the fee or interest on the outstanding principal of the loan as allowed under RCW 31.45.073(3).
- 2.13 Investigation of License Application. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.040(1), the director shall conduct an investigation of the applicant to determine the financial responsibility, experience, character, and general fitness of the applicant. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.040(1)(b), prior to the issuance of a license the director must determine to his or her satisfaction that the applicant is financially responsible and appears to be able to conduct the business of cashing or selling checks or making small loans in an honest, fair, and efficient manner with the

confidence and trust of the community. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, the
Director has not determined to his satisfaction that Respondents are financially responsible and able to conduct
the business of cashing or selling checks or making small loans in an honest, fair, and efficient manner with the
confidence and trust of the community.

- **2.14 Authority to Deny License.** Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(a), the Director may deny a license application if a licensee or applicant is violating or has violated the Act including rules and orders, or commits any act or engages in conduct that demonstrates incompetence or untrustworthiness, or is a source of injury or loss to the public.
- 2.15 Authority to Impose Fine. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(c), the Director may impose a fine, not to exceed one hundred dollars per day for each day's violation of the Act, on any licensee or applicant, or any director, officer, sole proprietor, partner, controlling person, or employee of a licensee or applicant, that is violating or has violated the Act including rules and orders, or commits any act or engages in conduct that demonstrates incompetence or untrustworthiness, or is a source of injury or loss to the public.
- **2.16 Authority to Order Restitution.** Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(d), the Director may order restitution to borrowers damaged by the licensee's violation of this chapter.
- 2.17 Authority to Remove and Ban from the Industry. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(e), the Director may remove from office or ban from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any licensee any director, officer, sole proprietor, partner, controlling person, or employee of a licensee that is violating or has violated the Act including rules and orders, or commits any act or engages in conduct that demonstrates incompetence or untrustworthiness, or is a source of injury or loss to the public.
- **2.18** Authority to Charge Investigation Fee. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.050(1), RCW 31.45.100, WAC 208-630-020, WAC 208-630-023 and WAC 208-630-02303, upon completion of any investigation of the books and records of a licensee, the Director shall collect from the licensee the actual cost of the investigation. The investigation charge will be calculated at the rate of sixty-nine dollars and one cent (\$69.01) per hour that each staff person devoted to the investigation.

1	
1	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

23

24

25

STATEMENT OF CHARGES C-04-218-05-SC01

Cash USA, Inc. and Nilo Tuazon and Marita Tuazon

#### III. NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENTER ORDER

Respondents' violations of the provisions of chapter 31.45 RCW and chapter 208-630 WAC, as set forth in the above Factual Allegations and Grounds for Entry of Order, constitute a basis for the entry of an Order under RCW 31.45.110 and RCW 31.45.200. Therefore, it is the Director's intention to ORDER that:

- 3.1 Respondent Cash USA, Inc.'s application for a license to conduct the business of a Check Casher with a Small Loan Endorsement be denied; and
- 3.2 Respondents Cash USA, Inc., Nilo Tuazon, and Marita Tuazon jointly and severally pay a fine of \$65,000.00 for:
  - a. Engaging in the business of a check casher making small loans without a check casher license with a small loan endorsement, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 383 days; and
  - b. Failing to disclose the terms of small loans to borrowers, calculated at \$75.00 per day for 30 days;
  - c. Making small loans in excess of the statutory maximum, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 30 days;
  - d. Charging interest or fees on small loans in excess of the statutory maximum, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 30 days; and
  - e. Accepting multiple postdated checks for small loans, calculated at \$75.00 per day for 30 days; and
  - f. Allowing small loans to be repaid with the proceeds of successive small loans, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 30 days; and
  - g. Charging fees on delinquent small loans in excess of the statutory maximum, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 30 days; and
  - h. Charging fees on small loan payment plans in excess of statutory maximum, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 30 days; and
  - i. Violating an Order of the Director, calculated at \$100.00 per day for 72 days; and
- 3.3 Respondents Cash USA, Inc., Nilo Tuazon, and Marita Tuazon jointly and severally pay restitution to all affected borrowers for:
  - a. any interest or fees collected on small loans originated without a license from July 2003 through the date of this order, including at least \$177,000.00 collected from borrowers between January 2004 and November 2, 2004 as discussed in paragraph 1.5B, and any interest or fees collected from borrowers after the issuance of the TCD as discussed in paragraph 1.13; and
  - b. any fees collected related to delinquent small loans originated without a license from July 2003 through the date of this order, including at least \$1,000.00 to the borrowers discussed in paragraph 1.11; and
  - c. any fees collected related to payment plans on small loans originated without a license, including the borrowers discussed in paragraph 1.12; and
- 3.4 Respondent Cash USA, Inc. be banned from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any check casher or seller subject to licensure by the Director, in any manner, for a period of ten (10) years; and
- 3.5 Respondent Nilo Tuazon be banned from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any check casher or seller subject to licensure by the Director, in any manner, for a period of ten (10) years; and
- 3.6 Respondent Marita Tuazon be banned from participation in the conduct of the affairs of any check casher or seller subject to licensure by the Director, in any manner, for a period of ten (10) years; and

3.7 Respondents Cash USA, Inc., Nilo Tuazon, and Marita Tuazon jointly and severally pay an investigation fee in the amount of \$15,015.52, calculated at \$69.01 per hour for two hundred ten (210) staff hours devoted to the investigation, less the fifteen (15) staff hours paid out of Respondents' application deposit, plus \$1,558.57 in expenses related to the investigation.

## IV. AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE

This Statement of Charges and Notice of Intention to Enter an Order to Deny License Application, Impose Fine, Order Restitution, Ban from Industry, and Collect Investigation Fee is entered pursuant to the provisions of RCW 31.45.110 and RCW 31.45.200, and is subject to the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW (The Administrative Procedure Act). Respondents may make a written request for a hearing as set forth in the NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING accompanying this Statement of Charges and Notice of Intention to Enter an Order to Deny License Application, Impose Fine, Order Restitution, Ban from Industry, and Collect Investigation Fee.

Dated this 15th day of June, 2005.

CHUCK CROSS

Director

Division of Consumer Services Department of Financial Institutions

Presented by:

Mark T. Olson Financial Examiner

STATEMENT OF CHARGES C-04-218-05-SC01 Cash USA, Inc. and Nilo Tuazon and Marita Tuazon



150 Israel Rd SW PO Box 41200

(360) 902-8795

Olympia, WA 98504-1200

23

24

25

#### RCW 31.45.070 Licensee -- Permissible transactions -- Restrictions.

- (1) No licensee may engage in a loan business or the negotiation of loans or the discounting of notes, bills of exchange, checks, or other evidences of debt on the same premises where a check cashing or selling business is conducted, unless the licensee:
  - (a) Is conducting the activities of pawnbroker as defined in RCW 19.60.010:
  - (b) Is a properly licensed consumer loan company under chapter 31.04 RCW;
  - (c) Is conducting other lending activity permitted in the state of Washington; or
  - (d) Has a small loan endorsement.
- (2) Except as otherwise permitted in this chapter, no licensee may at any time cash or advance any moneys on a postdated check or draft. However, a licensee may cash a check payable on the first banking day following the date of cashing if:
- (a) The check is drawn by the United States, the state of Washington, or any political subdivision of the state, or by any department or agency of the state or its subdivisions; or
- (b) The check is a payroll check drawn by an employer to the order of its employee in payment for services performed by the employee.
- (3) Except as otherwise permitted in this chapter, no licensee may agree to hold a check or draft for later deposit. A licensee shall deposit all checks and drafts cashed by the licensee as soon as practicable.
- (4) No licensee may issue or cause to be issued any check, draft, or money order, or other commercial paper serving the same purpose, that is drawn upon the trust account of a licensee without concurrently receiving the full principal amount, in cash, or by check, draft, or money order from a third party believed to be valid.
- (5) No licensee may advertise, print, display, publish, distribute, or broadcast or cause or permit to be advertised, printed, displayed, published, distributed, or broadcast, any statement or representation that is false, misleading, or deceptive, or that omits material information, or that refers to the supervision of the licensee by the state of Washington or any department or official of the state.
- (6) Each licensee shall comply with all applicable federal statutes governing currency transaction reporting.

[2003 c 86 § 7; 1995 c 18 § 7; 1994 c 92 § 280; 1991 c 355 § 7.]

## RCW 31.45.073 Making small loans -- Endorsement required -- Termination date -- Maximum amount -- Interest --Fees -- Postdated check or draft as security.

- (1) No licensee may engage in the business of making small loans without first obtaining a small loan endorsement to its license from the director in accordance with this chapter. An endorsement will be required for each location where a licensee engages in the business of making small loans, but a small loan endorsement may authorize a licensee to make small loans at a location different than the licensed locations where it cashes or sells checks. A licensee may have more than one endorsement.
- (2) The termination date of a small loan may not exceed the origination date of that same small loan by more than fortyfive days, including weekends and holidays, unless the term of the loan is extended by agreement of both the borrower and the licensee and no additional fee or interest is charged. The maximum principal amount of any small loan, or the outstanding principal balances of all small loans made by a licensee to a single borrower at any one time, may not exceed seven hundred dollars.
- (3) A licensee that has obtained the required small loan endorsement may charge interest or fees for small loans not to exceed in the aggregate fifteen percent of the first five hundred dollars of principal. If the principal exceeds five hundred dollars, a licensee may charge interest or fees not to exceed in the aggregate ten percent of that portion of the principal in excess of five hundred dollars. If a licensee makes more than one loan to a single borrower, and the aggregated principal of all loans made to that borrower exceeds five hundred dollars at any one time, the licensee may charge interest or fees not to exceed in the aggregate ten percent on that portion of the aggregated principal of all loans at any one time that is in excess

A-2

Appendix - Pertinent Check Casher and Seller Statutes & Rules

(3) When making a small loan, each licensee shall disclose to the borrower the terms of the small loan, including the

A-3

on the small loan, and the annual percentage rate resulting from this fee or interest rate.

principal amount of the small loan, the total of payments of the small loan, the fee or interest rate charged by the licensee

[2003 c 86 § 14.]

Appendix - Pertinent Check Casher and Seller Statutes &

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Division of Consumer Services 150 Israel Rd SW PO Box 41200 Olympia, WA 98504-1200 (360) 902-8795

25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

11

10

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

Appendix – Pertinent Check Casher and Seller Statutes & Rules

### RCW 31.45.100 Examination or investigation -- Director's authority -- Costs.

The director or the director's designee may at any time examine and investigate the business and examine the books, accounts, records, and files, or other information, wherever located, of any licensee or person who the director has reason to believe is engaging in the business governed by this chapter. For these purposes, the director or the director's designee may require the attendance of and examine under oath all persons whose testimony may be required about the business or the subject matter of the investigation. The director or the director's designee may require the production of original books, accounts, records, files, or other information, or may make copies of such original books, accounts, records, files, or other information. The director or the director's designee may issue a subpoena or subpoena duces tecum requiring attendance and testimony, or the production of the books, accounts, records, files, or other information. The director shall collect from the licensee the actual cost of the examination or investigation.

[2003 c 86 § 16; 1994 c 92 § 283; 1991 c 355 § 10.]

# RCW 31.45.110 Violations or unsound financial practices -- Statement of charges -- Hearing -- Sanctions -- Director's authority.

- (1) The director may issue and serve upon a licensee or applicant a statement of charges if, in the opinion of the director, any licensee or applicant:
- (a) Is engaging or has engaged in an unsafe or unsound financial practice in conducting the business of a check seller governed by this chapter;
- (b) Is violating or has violated this chapter, including rules, orders, or subpoenas, any rule adopted under chapter 86, Laws of 2003, any order issued under chapter 86, Laws of 2003, any subpoena issued under chapter 86, Laws of 2003, or any condition imposed in writing by the director or the director's designee in connection with the granting of any application or other request by the licensee or any written agreement made with the director;
- (c) Is about to do the acts prohibited in (a) or (b) of this subsection when the opinion that the threat exists is based upon reasonable cause;
- (d) Obtains a license by means of fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, or through mistake or inadvertence of the director;
- (e) Provides false statements or omissions of material information on the application that, if known, would have allowed the director to deny the application for the original license;
  - (f) Fails to pay a fee required by the director or maintain the required bond;
- (g) Commits a crime against the laws of the state of Washington or any other state or government involving moral turpitude, financial misconduct, or dishonest dealings;
- (h) Knowingly commits or is a party to any material fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, conspiracy, collusion, trick, scheme, or device whereby any other person relying upon the word, representation, or conduct acts to his or her injury or damage;
  - (i) Converts any money or its equivalent to his or her own use or to the use of his or her principal or of any other person;
- (j) Fails, upon demand by the director or the director's designee, to disclose any information within his or her knowledge to, or to produce any document, book, or record in his or her possession for inspection of, the director or the director's designee;
- (k) Commits any act of fraudulent or dishonest dealing, and a certified copy of the final holding of any court, tribunal, agency, or administrative body of competent jurisdiction regarding that act is conclusive evidence in any hearing under this chapter; or

- (l) Commits an act or engages in conduct that demonstrates incompetence or untrustworthiness, or is a source of injury and loss to the public.
- (2) The statement of charges shall be issued under chapter 34.05 RCW. The director or the director's designee may impose the following sanctions against any licensee or applicant, or any director, officer, sole proprietor, partner, controlling person, or employee of a licensee or applicant:
  - (a) Deny, revoke, suspend, or condition the license;
- (b) Order the licensee to cease and desist from practices in violation of this chapter or practices that constitute unsafe and unsound financial practices in the sale of checks;
  - (c) Impose a fine not to exceed one hundred dollars per day for each day's violation of this chapter;
- (d) Order restitution to borrowers or other parties damaged by the licensee's violation of this chapter or take other affirmative action as necessary to comply with this chapter; and
- (e) Remove from office or ban from participation in the affairs of any licensee any director, officer, sole proprietor, partner, controlling person, or employee of a licensee.
- (3) The proceedings to impose the sanctions described in subsection (2) of this section, including any hearing or appeal of the statement of charges, are governed by chapter 34.05 RCW.

Unless the licensee personally appears at the hearing or is represented by a duly authorized representative, the licensee is deemed to have consented to the statement of charges and the sanctions imposed in the statement of charges.

[2003 c 86 § 17; 1994 c 92 § 284; 1991 c 355 § 11.]

### RCW 31.45.200 Director -- Broad administrative discretion.

The director has the power, and broad administrative discretion, to administer and interpret the provisions of this chapter to ensure the protection of the public.

[1994 c 92 § 291; 1991 c 355 § 20.]

## RCW 62A.3-515 Checks dishonored by nonacceptance or nonpayment; liability for interest; rate; collection costs and attorneys' fees; satisfaction of claim.

- (a) If a check as defined in RCW 62A.3-104 is dishonored by nonacceptance or nonpayment, the payee or person entitled to enforce the check under RCW 62A.3-301 may collect a reasonable handling fee for each instrument. If the check is not paid within fifteen days and after the person entitled to enforce the check or the person's agent sends a notice of dishonor as provided by RCW 62A.3-520 to the drawer at the drawer's last known address, and if the instrument does not provide for the payment of interest or collection costs and attorneys' fees, the drawer of the instrument is liable for payment of interest at the rate of twelve percent per annum from the date of dishonor, and cost of collection not to exceed forty dollars or the face amount of the check, whichever is less, payable to the person entitled to enforce the check. In addition, in the event of court action on the check, the court, after notice and the expiration of the fifteen days, shall award reasonable attorneys' fees, and three times the face amount of the check or three hundred dollars, whichever is less, as part of the damages payable to the person enforcing the check. This section does not apply to an instrument that is dishonored by reason of a justifiable stop payment order.
- (b)(1) Subsequent to the commencement of an action on the check (subsection (a)) but prior to the hearing, the defendant may tender to the plaintiff as satisfaction of the claim, an amount of money equal to the face amount of the check, a reasonable handling fee, accrued interest, collection costs equal to the face amount of the check not to exceed forty dollars, and the incurred court costs, service costs, and statutory attorneys' fees.
  - (2) Nothing in this section precludes the right to commence action in a court under chapter 12.40 RCW for small claims.

[2000 c 215 § 1; 1995 c 187 § 1; 1993 c 229 § 67; 1991 c 168 § 1; 1986 c 128 § 1; 1981 c 254 § 1; 1969 c 62 § 1; 1967

1

ex.s. c 23 § 1.]