STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS **SECURITIES DIVISION**

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING whether there has been a violation of the Securities Act of Washington by:

Sally Jean Gray,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Order Number S-08-263-09-SC01

STATEMENT OF CHARGES AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO DENY FUTURE REGISTRATION

Respondent.

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON TO:

Sally Jean Gray (CRD #1801953)

STATEMENT OF CHARGES

Please take notice that the Securities Administrator of the State of Washington has reason to believe that the Respondent, Sally Jean Gray, has violated the Securities Act of Washington. The Securities Administrator believes those violations justify the denial of any future application or registration of Sally Jean Gray as a broker-dealer, securities salesperson, investment adviser representative, or investment adviser, pursuant to RCW 21.20.110(1). The Securities Administrator finds as follows:

TENTATIVE FINDINGS OF FACT

Respondent

1. Sally Jean Gray ("Gray") is an individual believed to be residing in the state of Washington. Gray was previously registered with the Washington State Securities Division as a securities salesperson for RBC Capital Markets Corporation from March 2, 1998 through April 8, 2008. While registered, her securities salesperson license number was CRD # 1801953.

22

21

23

24

25

STATEMENT OF CHARGES AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO DENY FUTURE REGISTRATION

1

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS **Securities Division** PO Box 9033 Olympia, WA 98507-9033 360-902-8760

Nature of the Conduct

- 2. Gray used her position as a securities salesperson to borrow money from customers and coworkers. After her employer learned that she had borrowed from coworkers, her supervisors asked her if she had borrowed from customers. She falsely denied having done so.
- 3. In or about March 2006 Gray contacted a client, Customer A, and asked to borrow \$45,000. Gray claimed she needed the funds to pay income taxes and would repay the loan in two weeks. Customer A agreed to loan Gray the \$45,000 on or about March 30, 2006. Gray did not pay off the loan in two weeks. Approximately two months later Gray asked Customer A for another \$45,000. Customer A declined. A few months later Gray again requested an additional \$45,000 and Customer A declined.
- 4. In or about September 2006 Gray approached another client, Customer B, about getting a loan of \$50,000. Gray told Customer B that she needed the funds to cover some problems for her sister. Gray said that she could not provide the funds herself until she received some commission checks from work at the end of the year or in early March 2007. Gray agreed to pay Client B a 5% return on the funds for a six month term. Gray also agreed to pay the interest on Customer B's margin account with RBC during that time period. Customer B provided the \$50,000 loan. Customer B granted two 6 month extensions on the note, with the last note coming due on December 31, 2007. Gray failed to repay the note.
- 5. In or about February 2007 Gray again approached Customer A about getting a loan for additional funds. Gray told Customer A that if she didn't get \$80,000 she would jump off of a bridge. When pressed as to why she needed the funds so badly, Gray told Customer A that her sister borrowed some money and needed to pay it back. Gray said that she couldn't use her own investment funds to pay her sister's debt because it would look bad at work. Gray told Customer A that she had a big deal

2.

pending at work which would allow her to payoff the loan. Customer A and his wife did not want Gray's suicide on their conscience and agreed to loan Gray \$80,000 at a 5% interest rate. Gray paid back \$25,000 of \$125,000 principal loaned by Customer A. Two checks Gray sent to Customer A for \$45,000 bounced.

- 6. In or about June 2007 Gray approached a coworker at RBC (Coworker A) about getting a \$50,000 loan. Gray did not tell Coworker A what she needed the funds for, but said that she had loaned funds to her sister and would repay Coworker A when Gray's sister repaid her. On or about June 29, 2007 Coworker A loaned Gray \$50,000. The loan had an interest rate of 4% and had a due date of September 29, 2007. Gray failed to repay the debt by the due date.
- 7. In or around July 2007 Gray approached another coworker at RBC (Coworker B) about getting a loan. Gray told Coworker B that she needed the funds because her husband was in trouble because of a bad investment. Coworker B sympathized with Gray's position and agreed to loan her \$55,000. Gray signed a promissory note on or about July 2, 2007. The note promised to pay 10.25% interest on the funds with the balance due on December 31, 2007. Gray failed to repay the debt by the agreed upon due date.
- 8. In or about October 2007 Coworker A and Coworker B attended a conference together. Another employee of RBC attending the conference, Coworker C, warned Coworker B not to loan money to Gray. Coworker C told Coworker B that she loaned funds to Gray in 2005 and did not get paid back for two years. Coworker A and Coworker B also learned of each others loans to Gray at this conference.

22

23

STATEMENT OF CHARGES AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO

DENY FUTURE REGISTRATION

25

9. Coworker A and Coworker B told RBC supervisors about their loans to Gray. The RBC supervisors spoke with Gray about the loans and specifically asked her if she had loans with clients. Gray told the supervisors that she did not have loans with clients.

- 10. In or about December 2007 Coworker A and Coworker B filed a lawsuit against Gray to recover the funds owed on their loans.
- 11. In or about December 2007 Gray approached Customer C about getting a loan. Gray claimed to need the funds to pay a debt with the Internal Revenue Service and promised to pay the funds back from commissions on insurance product sales coming within the next month or two. Gray also claimed to have sufficient funds in her 401k to pay the debt, but wished to wait for the commissions in order to avoid tax penalties. Customer C agreed to loan \$55,000 to Gray with a 5.8% interest rate. The note had a due date of January 31, 2008 and Gray agreed to take a distribution from her 401k if her commissions had not yet been paid.
- 12. Gray failed to meet the January 31, 2008 deadline for the note with Customer C. Customer C emailed Gray at RBC and demanded to speak with her. In or about April 2008, after numerous delays and excuses from Gray for failing to repay her loan, Customer C wrote Gray a letter demanding repayment. Customer C sent the letter to Gray at her office with RBC, and per compliance, RBC management reviewed the letter and discovered the loan. RBC Capital Markets Corporation terminated Gray on April 8, 2008 for non-compliance with FINRA Rule 2370(B)(1) which prohibits borrowing money from a client without member pre-approval. After Gray's termination, the other loans with clients were discovered.

Based upon the above Tentative Findings of Fact, the following Conclusions of Law are made:

2 3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

STATEMENT OF CHARGES AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO

DENY FUTURE REGISTRATION

24

25

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Sally Jean Gray, as described above, engaged in one or more dishonest or unethical practices in the securities business, as defined by WAC 460-22B-090. By borrowing money from customers, Gray also engaged in dishonest or unethical practices in the securities business, as defined by WAC 460-22B-090(1). Additionally, Gray failed to comply with NASD Conduct Rule 2370, which prohibits borrowing money from a customer without member pre-approval. Such conduct is dishonest or unethical business practice as defined by WAC 460-22B-090(19). Gray's denial to her employer of borrowing funds from customers constitutes a "deceptive practice" which is deemed a dishonest or unethical practice under WAC 460-22B-090. Pursuant to RCW 21.20.110(1)(g), such practices are grounds for denial of any future application or registration by Sally Jean Gray as a broker-dealer, securities salesperson, investment adviser representative, or investment adviser.

NOTICE OF INTENT TO DENY FUTURE REGISTRAION

Pursuant to RCW 21.20.110(1), and based upon the above Tentative Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Securities Administrator intends to order that any future application or registration of the Respondent as a broker-dealer, securities salesperson, investment adviser representative, or investment adviser be denied.

AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE

This Statement of Charges is entered pursuant to the provisions of RCW 21.20.110 and is subject to the provisions of RCW 21.20.120 and Chapter 34.05 RCW. The Respondent may make a written request for a hearing as set forth in the NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING accompanying this Order.

If the Respondent does not request a hearing, the Securities Administrator intends to adopt the above Tentative Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as final, and enter a permanent order against that Respondent denying the Respondent's future application or registration as a broker-dealer, securities salesperson, investment adviser representative or investment adviser.

DATED and ENTERED this 2nd day of February, 2010.

An Elm

SUZANNE SARASON Chief of Enforcement

Presented by:

Jack McClellan Enforcement Attorney