STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SECURITIES DIVISION

3

2

1

4

56

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

2021

22

23

24

25

Order Number S-06-204-10-CO01

CONSENT ORDER

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING whether there has been a violation of the Securities Act of Washington by:

First Line Finance, LLC; Carl Cravens; and Thomas Rudland;

Respondents.

Pursuant to the Securities Act of Washington, RCW 21.20, the Securities Division of the Department of Financial Institutions ("Securities Division") and Respondents, First Line Finance, LLC; Carl Cravens; and Thomas Rudland, do hereby enter into this CONSENT ORDER in settlement of the matters alleged herein. Respondents neither admit nor deny the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law stated below.

FINDINGS OF FACT

I. Respondents

First Line Finance, LLC (First Line) is a Washington State limited liability company formed in 2004 and whose principal place of business is located in Lynnwood, Washington.

Carl Cravens (Cravens), a King County, Washington resident, is the founding and managing member of First Line Finance, LLC.

Thomas Rudland (Rudland), a Snohomish County, Washington resident, is a principal of and registered agent for First Line Finance, LLC.

1

CONSENT ORDER

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Securities Division PO Box 9033 Olympia, WA 98507-9033 360-902-8760

II. Nature of the Offering

From 2005 to 2006, Respondents offered promissory notes secured by deeds of trust to Washington State residents. First Line offered the promissory note investments through advertisements in various newspaper publications; on Craig's List; in online classified advertisements; and on its website, www.firstlineinvestments.com. The advertisements included representations that borrowers could earn "10% interest, guaranteed," or earn from 8 to 15%. In a 2006 email to a prospective offeree, Rudland represented that First Line "fully administered" the Deed of Trust; that First Line would "continue your payments even in the event of default by the Borrower," and that interest rates may adjusted if interest rates are rising, but, they will never go down..." At least twenty investors responded to the First Line advertisements and solicitations and communicated with Rudland about the investments offered.

In offering promissory notes to prospective investors, Respondents represented that an investor/lender would be protected because the promissory notes offered would be secured by a deed of trust. First Line told prospective investors that it would screen borrowers and the property securing the investment. First Line represented to investors that it would pay fees and costs associated with an investment, including closing costs. First Line represented that it would arrange for the collection and distribution of borrower payments. First Line represented that an investor or his assigns would be named as a beneficiary of the note and deed of trust. First Line represented that an investor could cancel an investment, subject to the payment of a cancellation fee if the investment was cancelled within three years. First Line also represented to investors that it would pay all cost of collection and enforcement of the underlying note and deed of trust in the event of a default by a borrower.

In 2005 and 2006, Respondents sold approximately at \$300,000 of promissory notes secured by deeds of trust to at least two Washington State residents. One of the Washington residents received a CONSENT ORDER

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

FMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Securities Division PO Box 9033 Olympia, WA 98507-9033 360-902-8760 promissory note and loan agreement signed by Carl Cravens and Thomas Rudland, both in their individual capacity and on behalf of First Line Finance, LLC. The other investor received an "Investor Agreement" signed by Thomas Rudland as "Manager" for First Line Finance, LLC. Respondents provided the investors with borrowers that it had pre-screened and arranged for assignments deeds of trust to be issued to secure the notes. Respondent also arranged for the collection of borrower payments and pursued collection efforts on one of the notes that went into default.

III. Misrepresentations and Omissions of Material Facts

In connection with the offer and sale of the promissory notes on its 2006 website, First Line misrepresented the background of its principals by claiming that "we have substantial experience in real estate lending" when they had little to no prior experience in real estate lending.

Respondents failed to provide prospective investors with material information about the investments. In offering promissory notes to investors, Respondents did not adequately disclose the risks of the investments. Although Respondents represented to investors that First Line would provide substantial ongoing services in connection with the investment, they failed to provide prospective investors with information such as financial statements that would allow an investor to assess First Line's financial ability to provide the promised services.

IV. Registration Status

Respondent First Line is not currently registered to sell its securities in the state of Washington and has not previously been so registered. There is no notification of claim of exemption from registration from First Line on file with the state of Washington.

Respondent Thomas Rudland is not registered as a securities salesperson or broker-dealer in the State of Washington and has not previously been so registered.

CONSENT ORDER

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Securities Division PO Box 9033 Olympia, WA 98507-9033 360-902-8760

1	Based upon the above Findings of Fact, the following Conclusions of Law are made:	
2	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
3	I.	
4	The offer and/or sale of the promissory note investments described above constitutes the offer and/or	
5	sale of a security as defined in RCW 21.20.005(10) and (12), to wit: a note or investment contract.	
6	п.	
7	The offer and/or sale of said securities was made in violation of RCW 21.20.010 because	
8	Respondents made untrue statements of material facts or omitted to state material facts necessary in order to	
9	make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.	
10		
11	III.	
12	The offer and/or sale of said securities is in violation of RCW 21.20.140 because no registration for	
13	such offer and/or sale is on file with the Administrator of Securities, state of Washington.	
14		
15		
16	IV.	
17	Respondent Thomas Rudland has violated RCW 21.20.040 by offering and/or selling said securities	
18	while not registered as a securities salesperson or broker-dealer in the state of Washington.	
19	CONSENT ORDER	
20	Based upon the foregoing:	
21		
22		
23	CONSENT ORDER DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Securities Division PO Page 9022	
24	PO Box 9033 Olympia, WA 98507-9033 360-902-8760	

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS **Securities Division** PO Box 9033 Olympia, WA 98507-9033 360-902-8760

1	/s/	/s/
2		By Carl Cravens, individually
3	SIGNED this _19 th _day ofJuly	, 2010 by
4	/s/	
5	Thomas Rudland, individually	
67	SIGNED and ENTERED this _5th_	day of, 2010
8 9		Millianders
10		WILLIAM M. BEATTY Securities Administrator
2	Presented by:	Martin Cordell
3		MARTIN CORDELL
4		Financial Legal Examiner
5		
6		
17		
8		
9		
20		
21		
22		
23	CONSENT ORDER	DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Securities Division PO Roy 9033